



## Evolution of Shannon entropy in a fish system (European seabass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*) during exposure to sodium selenite ( $Na_2SeO_3$ )

Harkaitz Eguiraun <sup>1,\*</sup> and Iciar Martinez <sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Center for Experimental Marine Biology and Biotechnology - Plentziako Itsas Estazioa (PIE), University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Plentzia, Spain;

E-Mail: [iciar.martinez@ehu.eus](mailto:iciar.martinez@ehu.eus) (I.M.)

<sup>2</sup> IKERBASQUE Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain.

<sup>3</sup> Norwegian College of Fishery Science, Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economics, University of Tromsø, Tromsø, Norway.

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: [harkaitz.eguirau@ehu.eus](mailto:harkaitz.eguirau@ehu.eus); Tel.: +34-946-018-448.

Published: 13 November 2015

---

**Abstract:** Present work shows the application of a Biological Warning System based on computer image and Shannon entropy analysis where fish's group swim response is measured. This kind of non-invasive approach requires the fish system to react in a quantifiable manner when exposed to perturbations. In this experiment European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) was exposed to sodium selenite ( $Na_2SeO_3$ , 10  $\mu$ g/l) in order to i) test the methodology proposed by Eguiraun (doi: 10.3390/e16116133, Entropy, 2014) and ii) to quantify the effect of the  $Na_2SeO_3$  on the fish system. Two experimental cases were performed: C<sub>1</sub> (control) and C<sub>2</sub> ( $Na_2SeO_3$  exposure) for 7 days. Fish were video monitored daily, and fish's group centroid trajectory was analyzed using Shannon Entropy (SE) in 3.5 minutes video sequences. Two sequences were recorded per day in C<sub>1</sub>: basal (rest state) and event response (hit in the tank) while only one sequence was recorded in C<sub>2</sub>: the event response. Results show that in C<sub>1</sub> the SE of the basal state (4.52) was lower than the SE of the event response (5.26), while the SE of the event response in C<sub>2</sub> showed a slightly lower but not significantly different value (5.10) than the control group.

**Keywords:** Shannon entropy; nonlinear analysis; image analysis; clustering; seafood safety; fish welfare; fish behaviour; environmental monitoring; aquaculture; biological warning systems.

---

## 1. Introduction

One of the challenges to the aquaculture industry is the lack of non-invasive, fast, easy, inexpensive methodologies to estimate the welfare of the fish and/or the detection of diseased and contaminated fish groups. The entrance points for undesirable agents, which affect fish welfare by infecting or contaminating fish groups, are usually the prey/feed, veterinary treatments (for farmed fish) and the environment (for both farmed and wild fish). Monitoring of the critical points of the production in aquaculture production would allow the early identification of the contamination and isolating or destroying the tainted fish. It has been, already, proposed the application of biological warning systems to monitor fish production, in a non invasive manner, in aquaculture [1] and developed a tool for this purpose in [2].

The purpose of this work is to test the above-mentioned tool in an experimental setting where the fish were exposed to sodium selenite ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$ ). There exist two main reasons to select this compound. First, it has been proposed as capable of neutralizing the toxic effect of an environmental contaminant considered a risk factor in fish consumption, named methylmercury ( $\text{CH}_3\text{ClHg}$ ). Second, it shows a high antioxidant effect [3–9].

$\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$  is a common form of selenium used as a supplement in foods and feed. Although it has been used in previous experimental works [10], its use and optimal dose is still subject to some controversy [11]. For example in humans, there is a very narrow range between the optimal daily ingestion of selenium and a toxic dose which is dependant on the selenium compound [11].

Regarding this work, it is expected that the exposure to  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$  should not have a negative effect in fish and indeed would protect the fish from future contaminant exposure, i.e.  $\text{CH}_3\text{ClHg}$  exposure [10]. Thus, two experimental cases should not reflect significant variations in their SE.

## 2. Material and Methods.

### 2.1. Biological Material and Water/environmental conditions

Fish were European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*,  $4\pm 2$  g,  $8\pm 1$  cm) generously provided by Grupo Tinamenor (Cantabria, Spain). They were transported to our lab in their own seawater with constant aeration and acclimated for 1 week in 1,800 L epoxy-coated fibreglass tanks containing aerated, circulating seawater at 15 °C. During all the experimental period the fish were subject to a 12 h /12 h dark/light photoperiod and they were fed once a day INICIO Plus feed from BioMar (56% crude protein, 18% crude fat) according to manufacturers specifications for fish size, biomass and water temperature. According to its size fish were considered sexually immature [12].

Salinity was measured prior to the beginning of the experiment by a multiparametric meter HANNA HI98192 and was 33 gr/l. The values of ammonia, nitrate, dissolved  $\text{O}_2$ , temperature and pH

were kept in non-stressful levels. Seawater was naturally sand filtered and pumped directly from the Cantabric sea in the North of the Iberian Peninsula (43°24'49.5"N 2°57'06.5"W). Additional air supply diffused by stone was introduced per tank, interrupted only during the time necessary for perform the recordings in order to avoid artefacts in the images.

All experimental protocols and procedures conducted in the present experiment were carried out under the approbation of The Ethical Committee of the University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU for Animal Welfare No. CEBA/285/2013MG.

## *2.2. Experimental Cases and Exposure Period*

76 fish were analyzed in each of two experimental tanks.  $C_1$  was the control group and  $C_2$  was the group exposed to  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$ . The selenium compound was Sigma-Aldrich number S5251-10G. Each of the experimental cases was formed by a tank (100 cm x 100 cm x 90 cm), which was filled up with 810 L of seawater and was placed under direct artificial white light (2 x 58 W and 5200 lm) avoiding shadows as much as possible. Same experimental conditions were replicated in both tanks.

Fish were previously acclimatized for 3 days. First experimental day, was considered a control day in both tanks and was named as day 0. After record of fish were made in day 0, fish in  $C_2$  were exposed during next 6 days to a dosis of 10  $\mu\text{g}$  of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$  per liter according to Branco [10]. In order to ensure that the concentration of  $\text{Na}_2\text{SeO}_3$  remains constant during the exposure period, the water flux was mantained closed. Thus, the water in both tanks was renewed to reduce nitrogen residuals and dirty. Water change days were day 3 and day 4 (Table 1). After water change,  $C_2$  was exposed again. In order to minimize stress to the fish, they remained in the tanks during the changes of water. No mortality was registered.

## *2.3. Image acquisition, post processing, trajectory estimation and non-linear trajectory analysis*

The methodology used is the same described in Eguraun et al. [2] with the updates regarding clusters' centroid trajectory signal construction and normalization; and measurement of a rest state, added latter by same authors and described in Eguraun et al. [13]. In short, the trajectory signal of fish's group formed by the elements detected in each frame is built. This trajectory signal belongs to a 3.5 min videoclip of the basal or rest state and the event response of the fish. From the several algorithms tested (results not shown), Shannon entropy [14] provided the best results.

Entropy measurements are widely used and have been decisive to understand the non-linear nature of a system while providing a very powerful tool to measure the complexity of time series signals (i.e. physics [15], protein sequence analysis [16,17], telecommunications [18], physiology [19], speech recognition [20,21] and economy [22]).

Shortening, the Shannon entropy of the fish system was measured in a basal state and as a response to an event on the same normalized, by z-score, trajectory signals formed by the clusters' centroid over the videoclip in both axis, X and Y. The basal and event responses are measured in the control group  $C_1$  while only the event response is measured the exposed group  $C_2$ . All images where processed using the same computational parameters in despite the turbidity grade presented.

### 3. Results

Normalized X and Y signals had the same value of Shannon entropy, thus only results for one of them are displayed. The daily evolution of the Shannon entropy in the two experimental cases is shown in Table 1. As mentioned, day 0 was used as control of the beginning of the experiment. Thus data from this day was not taken into account for analyzing the evolution of both experimental cases. Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation of Shannon entropy values of C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> from day 1 to day 6. Day 3 and day 4 (denoted with \*) suffered a water change and consequently fish were stressed. Table 2 summarizes the averaged values of Shannon entropy from day 1 to day 6.

**Table 1** Results of the Shannon entropy of the trajectory of the fish cluster centroid per day and experimental case. Days denoted with (\*) suffered a water change. Three basal results and the averaged measure of C<sub>1</sub>, non-exposed case; and event responses for C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> exposed case, are shown. Day 0 was considered control day.

Day	C <sub>1</sub>				C <sub>2</sub>	
	Basal	Basal	Basal	Average Basal	Event	Event
0	5.3707	5.3300	5.4184	5.3697±0.0492	5.6393	5.0132
1	4.6969	4.4265	4.6291	4.5842±0.1407	4.7242	5.1670
2	4.3904	4.0520	4.5787	4.3403±0.2669	5.0367	4.7467
3*	4.9046	4.7100	4.5365	4.7170±0.1842	6.2145	4.7868
4*	4.8874	4.8016	4.7104	4.7998±0.0885	6.0924	5.8601
5	4.6227	4.6259	4.5987	4.6158±0.0149	5.1643	5.2968
6	4.1976	4.0003	4.0387	4.0789±0.1046	4.3488	4.7703

**Table 2** Exposure summary. Shannon entropy of C<sub>1</sub>, non exposed case, and C<sub>2</sub>, exposed case, are summarized in terms of averaged per 6 days exposure.

		Shannon Entropy
C <sub>1</sub>	Basal	4.5227±0.0905
	Event	5.2635±0.7457
C <sub>2</sub>	Event	5.1046±0.4365

### 4. Discussion

The higher SE values of the event response suggest the idea that the event response induces a higher energy dispersion of the system than the basal response. Also, attending to the low basal values of day 2 and day 6, it can be postulated that the turbidity and water change induced not only stress to the fish, but also probably made the lost of valuable information due to the image processing thresholds. Nevertheless, and regarding event response, C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>, present a similar averaged values in terms of Shannon entropy during the exposure period and in day 0, despite turbidity and water change.

Taking together these facts and given the absence of physiological and biochemical parameters that may substantiate the differences between C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>, it can be suggested that the basal state is more

difficult to characterize due to arbitrariness and different circadian cycles of the fish. Oppositely, the fish's response to a sudden perturbation can be a more reliable way of measuring fish activity level. Consequently, SE values from C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> during the event, suggest that both cases have a nearly similar behaviour in terms of energy exchange, meaning, that exposure of Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> does not affect the energy balance of the fish system.

## 5. Conclusions and Future Work

In conclusion, the present work describes a case study of the methodology proposed by Eguiraun et al. [2,13]. The 6-day exposure period did not seem to be a long enough period to achieve any measurable effect, which could be reflected in fish basal state, or event responses. Probably, the dose provided is not sufficient to affect fish. SE values seemed to follow some variations according to the turbidity grade, probably due to the underwater visual conditions and to the fish stress originated by the lack of recirculating water and water changes. In short, and with current data in hand, it can be concluded that Na<sub>2</sub>SeO<sub>3</sub> exposure does not affect fish in a quantifiable manner using the proposed non invasive monitoring methodology.

Further work should be done in order to characterize a better model of the fish system with different experimental setups in order to reduce fish stress due to water change.

## Acknowledgments

Grupo Tinamenor (Cantabria, Spain) is gratefully acknowledged for generously providing the European sea bass used in this work. We also wish to thank Dr. Urtzi Izagirre for his contribution to the design of the experimental treatments and to Dr. Xabier Lekube and Gregor Bwye for assistance with the fish during the experiment. The Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness is gratefully acknowledged for the financial support to the project BMW: Biomarcadores estándar de base científica en mejillón, para diagnosticar y monitorizar los efectos biológicos de la contaminación en el G. de Bizkaia: implementación de la DEMA (Grant no CTM2012-40203-C02-01).

## Author Contributions

H.E. contributed to the design of the experiment, planned and performed the data analysis and interpretation of the results, and wrote the manuscript; I.M. designed the experiment and contributed to the interpretation of the results and drafting of the manuscript.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Eguiraun, H.; Izagirre, U.; Martinez, I. A paradigm shift in safe seafood production: From contaminant detection to fish monitoring – Application of biological warning systems to aquaculture. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* **2015**, *43*, 1–10.

2. Eguiraun, H.; Lopez-de-Ipina, K.; Martinez, I. Application of Entropy and Fractal Dimension Analyses to the Pattern Recognition of Contaminated Fish Responses in Aquaculture. *Entropy* **2014**, *16*, 6133–6151.
3. Ralston, N. V. C. Selenium health benefit values as seafood safety criteria. *EcoHealth* **2008**, *5*, 442–455.
4. Ralston, N. V. C.; Ralston, C. R.; Blackwell, J. L.; Raymond, L. J. Dietary and tissue selenium in relation to methylmercury toxicity. *NeuroToxicology* **2008**, *29*, 802–811.
5. Raymond, L. J.; Seale, L. A.; Ralston, N. V. Seafood Selenium in relation to assessments of methylmercury exposure risk. In *Selenium - Its Molecular Biology and Role in Human Health*; Hatfield, D. L.; Berry, M. J.; Gladyshev, V. N., Eds.; Springer Science & Business, 2012; pp. 399–408.
6. Yamashita, Y.; Yamashita, M. Identification of a novel selenium-containing compound, selenoneine, as the predominant chemical form of organic selenium in the blood of bluefin tuna. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2010**, *285*, 18134–18138.
7. Michiaki, Y.; Shintaro, I.; Hossain, A.; Takeshi, Y.; Ken, T.; Yumiko, Y. Protective Role of Selenoneine against Methylmercury Toxicity Selenoneine. In; p. 8648.
8. Yamashita, M.; Yamashita, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Kani, Y.; Mizusawa, N.; Imamura, S.; Takemoto, K.; Hara, T.; Hossain, M. A.; Yabu, T.; Touhata, K. Selenoneine, a novel selenium-containing compound, mediates detoxification mechanisms against methylmercury accumulation and toxicity in zebrafish embryo. *Marine Biotechnology* **2013**, *15*, 559–570.
9. Yamashita, Y.; Yamashita, M.; Iida, H. Selenium content in seafood in Japan. *Nutrients* **2013**, *5*, 388–395.
10. Branco, V.; Canário, J.; Lu, J.; Holmgren, A.; Carvalho, C. Mercury and selenium interaction in vivo: effects on thioredoxin reductase and glutathione peroxidase. *Free radical biology & medicine* **2012**, *52*, 781–93.
11. Nuttall, K. L. Evaluating selenium poisoning. *Annals of Clinical and Laboratory Science* **2006**, *36*, 409–420.
12. Anon A Global Information System on Fishes.
13. Eguiraun, H.; Izagirre, U.; Martinez, I. Variation in the Shannon entropy of the trajectory of a European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) system in response to the number of fish. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *Submitted*.
14. Shannon, C. E. A Mathematical Theory of Communication. *The Bell System Technical Journal* **1948**, *27*, 379–423.
15. Resconi, G.; Licata, I.; Fisaletti, D. Unification of Quantum and Gravity by Non Classical Information Entropy Space. *Entropy* **2013**, *15*, 3602–3619.
16. Hsu, H.; Yang, C.; Hsieh, C.; Cheng, C. An Integrated Information System for Protein Identification. **2006**.
17. Lian, H.; Song, C.; Cho, Y.-R. Decomposing Protein Interactome Networks by Graph Entropy. In *2010 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine Decomposing*; 2010; pp. 585–589.
18. Jiang, F.; Xu, L.; Shu, H. A New Decision Model Based on Entropy for Supplier Selection of Telecom Industry. *2009 International Conference on Management and Service Science* **2009**, 1–4.

19. Costa, M.; Goldberger, A.; Peng, C.-K. Multiscale Entropy Analysis of Complex Physiologic Time Series. *Physical Review Letters* **2002**, *89*, 068102.
20. Cover, T. M.; King, R. A Convergent Gambling Estimate of the Entropy of English. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **1978**, *IT-24*, 413–421.
21. Gu, L.; Gao, Y.; Liu, F.-H.; Picheny, M. Concept-Based Speech-to-Speech Translation Using Maximum Entropy Models for Statistical Natural Concept Generation. *IEEE Transactions on Audio, Speech and Language Processing* **2006**, *14*, 377–392.
22. Martina, E.; Rodriguez, E.; Escarela-Perez, R.; Alvarez-Ramirez, J. Multiscale entropy analysis of crude oil price dynamics. *Energy Economics* **2011**, *33*, 936–947.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).