

## Preclinical development of a molecularly-defined liposomal vaccine for cutaneous leishmaniasis



David Ernesto Bautista-Erazo<sup>1</sup> Natalia García-Valencia<sup>1</sup> Verónica Guzmán-González<sup>1</sup> Gisela María García-Montoya<sup>2</sup> José Robinson Ramírez-Pineda<sup>1\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: ramirezpineda@yahoo.com



<sup>1</sup> Grupo Inmunomodulación, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia.



<sup>2</sup> Centro Nacional de Secuenciación Genómica, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia.

# Leishmaniasis: a public health problem

Group of neglected diseases widely distributed in tropical and subtropical areas Caused by an obligate intracellular protozoan kinetoplastid from the genus *Leishmania*  The infective form is transmitted to the human host by the bite of phlebotomineous females The clinical spectrum of the disease varies depending on the species involved and the immunogenic status of the host





Vector: sandfly





#### Child with cutaneous leishmaniasis





#### Leishmaniasis: a public health problem in Colombia



cutaneous leishmaniasis by municipality (1994)

Map of predicted risk for the probability of transmission (2004)

Alvar et al. PLoS One. 2012;7: e35671. | King et al. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004;10: 598-607.

#### Leishmaniasis: a public health problem in Colombia



Treatment: chemotherapy with antimonial compounds, pentamidine or amphotericin B

Complicated, expensive, inefficient (it affects hard-toreach poor population)

There is only one oral drug (miltefosine) but increasing resistance has been reported

A safe and effective vaccine is needed

Patino et al. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017;11: e0005876 | Ramírez et al. Sci Rep. 2016;6: 28266 | Modabber et al. Kinetoplastid Biol Dis. 2007;6: 3 | Chakravarty et al. J Glob Infect Dis. 2010;2: 167 Reithinger et al. Lancet Infect Dis. 2007;7: 581–596 | Soto et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2004;38: 1266–1272 | Rijal et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;56: 1530–1538.

# Leishmaniasis: vaccine development



Alexander & Bryson. Immunol Lett. 2005;99: 17–23. | de Moura et al. Infect Immun. 2005;73: 5827–5834. | Bosque et al. Scand J Immunol. 2000;51: 533–541. | Bourreau et al. J Infect Dis. 2001;183: 953–959.

## Leishmaniasis: vaccine development



Skwarczynski & Toth. Nanomedicine. 2014;9: 2657–2669.

#### **Components of a vaccine**



### **Trypanothione Reductase as vaccine candidate**



Angiulli et al. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015;1850: 1891–1897. | Fairlamb et al. Annu Rev Microbiol. 1992;46: 695–729. | Krauth-Siegel & Comini. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2008;1780: 1236–1248. Tovar et al. Mol Microbiol. 1998;29: 653–660. | Dumas et al. EMBO J. 1997;16: 2590–2598. | Ilari et al. Future Med Chem. 2017;9: 61–77.

## Trypanothione Reductase as vaccine candidate



The design of polyvalent chimeric vaccines is suggested but an encapsulation system is not proposed

Khare et al. Parasitol Res. 2014;113: 851–862. | Joshi et al. Front Microbiol. 2016;7: 312.

## **Cellular adjuvants for vaccines**



## **Cellular adjuvants for vaccines**

Receptors of innate immunity



They promote crucial aspects of the antigen presentation

✓ Antigen capture and processing✓ Dendritic cell maturation



Dowling & Mansell. Clin Transl Immunol. **2016**;5: e85. | Bramwell & Perrie. Drug Discov Today. **2005**;10: 1527–1534. | Modabber F. Int J Antimicrob Agents. **2010**;36: S58–S61. Mbow et al. Curr Opin Immunol. **2010**;22: 411–416. | Bode et al. Expert Rev Vaccines. **2011**;10: 499–511.



Monteiro et al. J R Soc Interface. 2014;11: 20140459. | Nekkanti & Kalepu. Pharm Nanotechnol. 2015;3: 35–55. | Pattni et al. Chem Rev. 2015; 10938-10966.

Depot effect



Smith et al. Nat Rev Immunol. 2013;13: 592–605. | Moyer et al. J Clin Invest. 2016;126: 799–808. | Park et al. Immune Netw. 2013;13: 177–183.



Irvine et al. Nat Mater. 2013;12: 978–990.



Irvine et al. Nat Mater. **2013**;12: 978–990.

Taking and trafficking of liposomal antigens

a Delivery of antigens



Smith et al. Nat Rev Immunol. 2013;13: 592–605. | Gause et al. ACS Nano. 2017;11: 54–68. | Zaman et al. Methods. 2013;60: 226–231.



Smith et al. Nat Rev Immunol. 2013;13: 592–605. | Moyer et al. J Clin Invest. 2016;126: 799–808. | Watson et al. Vaccine. 2012;30: 2256–2272. | Skwarczynski & Toth. Nanomedicine. 2014;9: 2657–2669.

# Subunit liposomal nanovaccines to prevent leishmaniasis

Infections caused by *L. major* and *L. donovani*  Mainly liposomes of total protein antigen Several purified proteins have been found to be protective

Some have been encapsulated in liposomes

Nahid Ali Research Group Subunit vaccine to prevent VL in India

Antigens encapsulated in rigid cationic liposomes are more efficient

Protection against infectious challenge

#### Cell-mediated immunity

Low levels of parasites Stimulation of Th1 response

Badiee et al. Vaccine. 2013;31: 735–749. | Askarizadeh et al. Therapeutic Advances in Vaccines. 2017;5: 85-101.

# BACKGROUND

Our ulcerative murine model of infection with *LVp* reproduces the human leishmaniasis characteristics

Synthetic ODN with CpG motifs is a protective adjuvant when combined with the total lysate of the parasite

Our liposomal formulation of soluble antigen from *Leishmania* induces protection against infectious challenge

TR is a promising antigen to formulate a molecularlydefined vaccine

# **HYPOTHESIS**

Vaccination with a micro/nanostructured formulation (cationic liposomes) of rTR, either individually or in combination with CpG, potentiates the specific immune response needed to protect mice from an infectious challenge in the model of cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by Leishmania (Viannia) panamensis

# **GENERAL OBJECTIVE**

To evaluate the prophylactic efficacy of both soluble and micro/nanostructured formulations of the rTR with/without CpG (soluble/liposomal)

#### METHODOLOGY Production of rTR

National Center for Genomic Sequencing

- ✓ Expression vector: pET28a (+)
- ✓ Heterologous expression system: *Escherichia coli* (DE)

Optimization of factors such as temperature, time and inductor concentration

Solubility evaluations by lysis method by sonication and separation by centrifugation

Protein labeled with polyhistidine-tag (His6-Tag)

Purification by immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC)

Desalting and gel filtration chromatography

Protein integrity monitoring by SDS-PAGE

Fraction selection

#### **METHODOLOGY** Preparation and characterization of liposomes



# Physical characterization

Particle size (hydrodynamic radius) by dynamic light scattering
 Particle charge (zeta potential) by electrophoretic mobility

Chemical characterization

Quantification of the protein by SDS-PAGE / densitometry
 Quantification of the adjuvant by UV-Vis spectrophotometry

#### METHODOLOGY Vaccination, infection and clinical follow-up



Female BALB/c mice 6-10 weeks old SPF Animal Care Facility – SIU/UdeA

Lesion area Score (0-4), the higher the number the more severe the injury Photographic record



#### METHODOLOGY Parasitic load and antibody measurement

#### Parasitic load Limiting dilution assay

Mechanical disruption of the infected ears was performed in supplemented Schneider medium

An initial dilution was performed and then 12 serial 1:3 dilutions were made in 96-well plates Cultures were incubated for 4 weeks at 26°C and the growth of parasites was monitored weekly

#### Antibody measurement

Serum levels of IgG1 and IgG2a type antibodies were determined by ELISA

Overnight sensitization with rTR (antigen) was performed, then a blockade with BSA was made. Sera and, subsequently, antibody for each subclass of IgG was added The addition of the chromogenic substrate tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) produced a colorimetric reaction whose absorbance was read at 655 nm

#### **RESULTS** Selection of quantification methods



#### Table 1. Dispersion of CpG or rTR liposomes with mixtures of different chloroform:methanol proportions

MIXTURE		CnC linesomes		
Chloroform	Methanol	CpG liposomes	r i k liposomes	
2	1	Two turbid phases	Two turbid phases	
1	1	Two translucent phases	Two phases with suspended particles	
1	2	One hermoneeus trenslusent abese		
1	3	One nomogeneous translucent phase	One phase with suspended particles	
1	5	One phase with suspended particles	One phase with suspended particles	
Ethanol		One turbid phase with suspended particles	]	

#### **RESULTS** Optimization of the preparation of rTR liposomes

Table 2. Determination of the optimal levels of the factors that influence the manufacturing process of rTR liposomes

PROCESS	RESULTS/FACTORS	SELECTED CONDITIONS
Lipid film formation by rotary evaporation	Synchronization of parameters such as temperature, time, rotation and pressure is required	20 min, 65°C, 180 rpm, 800 → 400 → 70 mbar
Lipid film dispersion	<ul> <li>Sonication produces a high percentage of small undesirable liposomes</li> <li>Vortex agitation causes heterogeneous detachment of the film (aggregation of particles)</li> <li>Combination of rotation while heating and agitation through vortex generates adequate hydration and homogenous detachment of the film</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rotation/heating: 5 min, 65°C, 180 rpm</li> <li>Vortex agitation: 50 s, 1500 rpm</li> </ul>
Size homogenization by extrusion	11 extrusions through polycarbonate membra	nes (1000 nm)
Separation by centrifugation	Centrifugation time depends on stability (PDI and %EE)	6 / 3 min, 4°C, 21000 rcf
Other important factors	<ul> <li>Lipid amount and proportion</li> <li>Hydration medium</li> <li>Centrifugation volume</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>PC:SA:Ch 7:1,5:4 (30 mg)</li> <li>PBS 0,1X (pH 5,8)</li> <li>270 μL</li> </ul>

### **RESULTS** Optimization of the preparation of rTR liposomes



**FIGURE 1.** *rTR liposomes.* (A) Size distribution of three batches of rTR liposomes produced under the same conditions. Average PDI: 0.15. (B) Distribution of apparent zeta potential (mV) of two batches of rTR liposomes. A peak at +65 mV is appreciated in both batches.

## **RESULTS rTR quantification**



BSA Calibration Curve rTR liposome samples from different stages of the process

**FIGURE 2.** *rTR quantification.* **(A)** Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) of liposomal samples (TR22, different stages of the process) and calibration curve with BSA. In **(B)** a calibration curve is presented for the quantification of rTR which was obtained from each SDS-PAGE for each analyzed batch.



#### Table 3. Summary of rTR quantification results

Parameter	rTR liposomes
Adjusted amount of analyte in 100 μL (μg)	5.0
Theoretical encapsulation efficiency (%EET)	20.00
Experimental encapsulation efficiency (%EEE)	51.20

#### **RESULTS** Optimization of the preparation of CpG liposomes

#### Table 4. Determination of the optimal levels of the factors that influence the manufacturing process of CpG liposomes

Lipid proportion (PC:SA:Ch)	Lipid amount (mg)	Hydration medium	[CpG] (µg/mL)	Dispersion by rotation/heating	Size homogenization by extrusion	Separation by centrifugation
7:2:2	20,7	PBS 0.1X pH=5,8	200	<b>15 min</b> , 65 °C, 180 rpm	7 extrusions through polycarbonate membranes (1000 nm)	12 min, 4°C, 21000 rcf

The interaction between materials depends on the overcoming of electrostatic repulsion through modulation of temperature, mechanical agitation and progressiveness in the formation of stable vesicles that shield, as they are formed, the CpG negative charge We standardized a liposomal formulation which reproducibly encapsulated CpG Optimal levels were effectively adjusted and reproducible response variables were obtained: Suprananometric size (peak at 900-1000 nm), cationic zeta potential (+65 mV) and physical stability for at least six weeks



FIGURE 3. CpG liposomes. (A) Size distribution of three batches of CpG liposomes. **(B)** Distribution of apparent zeta potential (mV) of two batches of CpG liposomes (CPG04 and CPG11) which present a peak at +65 mV. (C,D) Stability of the CpG liposomes over time (weeks) according to variation of the (C) size (nm) and (D) PDI (a.u.). In (C), it is indicated with dotted horizontal lines the sizes that are considered adequate: between 700 nm and 1400 nm. In (D), the dotted line indicates horizontal the maximum value of PDI considered acceptable: 0.3. PDI: polydispersity index, a.u.: arbitrary unit.



CC BY Eppendorf centrifuge picture

#### **RESULTS** CpG quantification

 Table 5. Summary of CpG quantification results

Parameter	Mean of calculated values (n=8)
Percentage of CpG in resuspended pellet (%)	89
Percentage of CpG in dispersion (%)	94
Theoretical encapsulation efficiency (%EET)	64.53
Experimental encapsulation efficiency (%EEE)	69.16

# Main effects that influence liposome preparation



#### **RESULTS** Employed liposomes for *in vivo* experiment



**FIGURE 5.** *Employed liposomes for in vivo experiment.* (A-B) Stability of the employed liposomes for *in vivo* experiment, over time (21 weeks) according to variation of the (A) size (nm) and (B) PDI (a.u.). In (A), it is indicated with a dotted horizontal line the 1000 nm size as guidance. In (B), the dotted horizontal line indicates the maximum value of PDI considered acceptable: 0.3. (C-E) Size distribution of the batches of liposomes produced under the same conditions, (C) CpG liposomes, (D) rTR liposomes, (E) PBS liposomes –negative control–. PDI: polydispersity index, a.u.: arbitrary unit.



#### Experimental groups of treated mice () = liposomal; example: (CpG) = CpG encapsulated in liposomes Dosages: rTR $\rightarrow$ 5 µg, CpG $\rightarrow$ 12,5 µg





**FIGURE 6.** Lesion area kinetics and score measurements, clinical followup, from the in vivo experiment. BALB/c mice were infected in the dermis of the ear and then it was performed the clinical follow-up in terms of (A-B) lesion area and (C-D) score. In (B,D) negative control mice were pooled: PBS, (PBS) and (CpG).



FIGURE 7. Lesion area and score of the mice in week 8 post-infection. In week 8 post-infection, clinical measurements from each mice are shown in terms of (A-B) lesion area and (C-D) score. In (B,D) negative control mice were pooled: PBS, (PBS) and (CpG). Statistic analysis was performed as follows: (A) Unpaired Mann-Whitney non-parametric *t*-test. (B-C) One-way ANOVA (Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test) with Dunn's multiple comparisons test. In (D), the statistical analysis between control vehicles or rTR+(CpG) and (rTR)+CpG was performed using the same approach as (B-C). Also, in (D), the P<0,09 between control vehicles and (rTR) was obtained as explained for (A). P<0,05 in any case except for comparison between control vehicles and (rTR) in (D). The olive green horizontal line for each graph is plotted to show the mean values of the PBS or control vehicles groups.

PBS	
(PBS)	
(CpG)	
(TR)	
(TR)+CpG	
TR+(CpG)	
(TR)+(CpG)	

FIGURE 8. Clinical appearance of the lesions shown with photographs of the infected ears for each mice, in each group, in week 8 post-infection.



**FIGURE 9.** *Parasitic loads from the in vivo experiment.* Viable parasites per ear for each mice group with (A) individual negative controls or (B) pooled negative controls [control vehicles] – PBS, (PBS) and (CpG). Statistic analysis was performed as follows: (A) Unpaired Mann-Whitney non-parametric t-test. (B) One-way ANOVA (Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test) with Dunn's multiple comparisons. P<0,05 in any case.



#### FIGURE 10. Serum levels of IqG1 lgG2a antibodies. and type (A,B,D,E) Absorbance (a.u.) or optical density (OD) at 655 nm of the chromogenic reaction to determine anti-rTR (A,D) IgG1 or (B,E) IgG2a antibodies. (C,F) calculated IgG2a/IgG1 ratio from the measurement of the anti-rTR antibody levels determined in the sera of the mice after euthanasia (8 weeks post-infection) [shown in (A,B,D,E)]. (A-C) Individual negative controls or (D-F) pooled negative controls [control vehicles] - PBS, (PBS) and (CpG). Statistic analysis was performed as follows: one-way ANOVA (Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test) with Dunn's multiple comparisons. P<0,05 in any case. a.u.: arbitrary units.

# CONCLUSIONS

Cationic liposomes with homogeneous suprananometric size were prepared with high CpG encapsulation efficiency Preparation of micrometric cationic vesicles that encapsulate rTR protein was standardized without degrading nor aggregating it

Encapsulation efficiency was ~70% for CpG liposomes, and ~20% for rTR liposomes, and the procedure was reproducible enough to perform an *in vivo* experiment 87,5% [7/8] of the mice vaccinated with (rTR)+CpG were protected against the infectious challenge, with lower parasitic load and higher IgG2a/IgG1 antibody ratio vs. control vehicles

## PERSPECTIVES

#### **Co-encapsulation of CpG and rTR**

# Optimization the scheme and dosage of the vaccine to increase effectiveness

Advanced characterization of the most effective liposome formulation

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND FUNDING**

"Colloids" Research Group for their technical support regarding physical characterization

"Biopolimer" Research Group for providing us access to their facilities and equipment



## REFERENCES

Alavi, M., Karimi, N., & Safaei, M. (2017). Application of Various Types of Liposomes in Drug Delivery Systems. *Advanced Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 7(1), 3–9. https://doi.org/10.15171/apb.2017.002 Alexander, J., & Bryson, K. (2005). T helper (h)1/Th2 and: paradox rather than paradigm. *Immunology Letters*, 99(1), 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imlet.2005.01.009

- Alvar, J., Vélez, I. D., Bern, C., Herrero, M., Desjeux, P., Cano, J., ... WHO Leishmaniasis Control Team. (2012). Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its incidence. *PLoS ONE*, 7(5), e35671. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035671
- Angiulli, G., Lantella, A., Forte, E., Angelucci, F., Colotti, G., Ilari, A., & Malatesta, F. (2015). Leishmania infantum trypanothione reductase is a promiscuous enzyme carrying an NADPH:O2 oxidoreductase activity shared by glutathione reductase. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1850, 1891–1897. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2015.05.022
- Askarizadeh, A., Jaafari, M. R., Khamesipour, A., & Badiee, A. (2017). Liposomal adjuvant development for leishmaniasis vaccines. *Therapeutic Advances in Vaccines*, 5(4–5), 85–101. https://doi.org/10.1177/2051013617741578
- Badiee, A., Heravi Shargh, V., Khamesipour, A., & Jaafari, M. R. (2013). Micro/nanoparticle adjuvants for antileishmanial vaccines: Present and future trends. *Vaccine*, *31*(5), 735–749. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.11.068
- Bode, C., Zhao, G., Steinhagen, F., Kinjo, T., & Klinman, D. M. (2011). CpG DNA as a vaccine adjuvant. Expert Review of Vaccines, 10(4), 499–511. https://doi.org/10.1586/erv.10.174
- Bosque, F., Saravia, N. G., Valderrama, L., & Milon, G. (2000). Distinct innate and acquired immune responses to Leishmania in putative susceptible and resistant human populations endemically exposed to L. (Viannia) panamensis infection. *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology*, *51*, 533–541. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3083.2000.00724.x
- Bourreau, E., Prévot, G., Pradinaud, R., & Launois, P. (2001). Interleukin (IL)–13 Is the Predominant Th2 Cytokine in Localized Cutaneous Leishmaniasis Lesions and Renders Specific CD4 + T Cells Unresponsive to IL-12. The Journal of Infectious Diseases, 183(6), 953–959. https://doi.org/10.1086/319249
- Bramwell, V. W., & Perrie, Y. (2005). The rational design of vaccines. Drug Discovery Today, 10(22), 1527–1534. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6446(05)03600-7
- Chakravarty, J., & Sundar, S. (2010). Drug resistance in leishmaniasis. Journal of Global Infectious Diseases, 2(2), 167. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-777X.62887
- de Moura, T. R., Novais, F. O., Oliveira, F., Clarencio, J., Noronha, A., Barral, A., ... de Oliveira, C. I. (2005). Toward a Novel Experimental Model of Infection To Study American Cutaneous Leishmaniasis Caused by Leishmania braziliensis. *Infection and Immunity*, 73(9), 5827–5834. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.9.5827-5834.2005
- Dowling, J. K., & Mansell, A. (2016). Toll-like receptors: the swiss army knife of immunity and vaccine development. Clinical & Translational Immunology, 5(5), e85. https://doi.org/10.1038/cti.2016.22
- Dumas, C., Ouellette, M., Tovar, J., Cunningham, M. L., Fairlamb, A. H., Tamar, S., ... Papadopoulou, B. (1997). Disruption of the trypanothione reductase gene of Leishmania decreases its ability to survive oxidative stress in macrophages. *The EMBO Journal*, *16*(10), 2590–2598. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/16.10.2590
- Fairlamb, A. H., & Cerami, A. (1992). Metabolism and functions of trypanothione in the kinetoplastida. Annual Review of Microbiology, 46, 695–729. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.mi.46.100192.003403
- Gause, K. T., Wheatley, A. K., Cui, J., Yan, Y., Kent, S. J., & Caruso, F. (2017). Immunological Principles Guiding the Rational Design of Particles for Vaccine Delivery. ACS Nano, 11(1), 54–68. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.6b07343
- Ilari, A., Fiorillo, A., Genovese, I., & Colotti, G. (2017). An update on structural insights into the enzymes of the polyamine-trypanothione pathway: targets for new drugs against leishmaniasis. *Future Medicinal Chemistry*, 9(1), 61–77. https://doi.org/10.4155/fmc-2016-0180
- Irvine, D. J., Swartz, M. A., & Szeto, G. L. (2013). Engineering synthetic vaccines using cues from natural immunity. Nature Materials, 12(11), 978–990. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3775
- Joshi, S., Yadav, N. K., Rawat, K., Tripathi, C. D. P., Jaiswal, A. K., Khare, P., ... Dube, A. (2016). Comparative analysis of cellular immune responses in treated Leishmania patients and hamsters against recombinant Th1 stimulatory proteins of Leishmania donovani. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 312. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00312
- Khare, P., Jaiswal, A. K., Tripathi, C. D. P., Joshi, S., Sundar, S., & Dube, A. (2014). Efficacy of Leishmania donovani trypanothione reductase, identified as a potent Th1 stimulatory protein, for its immunogenicity and prophylactic potential against experimental visceral leishmaniasis. *Parasitology Research*, *113*, 851–862. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-013-3716-5
- King, R. J., Campbell-Lendrum, D. H., & Davies, C. R. (2004). Predicting Geographic Variation in Cutaneous Leishmaniasis, Colombia. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 10(4), 598–607. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1004.030241
- Krauth-Siegel, R. L., & Comini, M. A. (2008). Redox control in trypanosomatids, parasitic protozoa with trypanothione-based thiol metabolism. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1780(11), 1236–1248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2008.03.006

## REFERENCES

Mbow, M. L., De Gregorio, E., Valiante, N. M., & Rappuoli, R. (2010). New adjuvants for human vaccines. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 22(3), 411–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2010.04.004 Modabber, F. (2010). Leishmaniasis vaccines: past, present and future. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 36, S58–S61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.06.024

Modabber, F., Buffet, P. A., Torreele, E., Milon, G., & Croft, S. L. (2007). Consultative meeting to develop a strategy for treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. Institute Pasteur, Paris. 13–15 June, 2006. *Kinetoplastid Biology and Disease*, 6(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-9292-6-3

Monteiro, N., Martins, A., Reis, R. L., & Neves, N. M. (2014). Liposomes in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, *11*(101), 20140459. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.0459

Moyer, T. J., Zmolek, A. C., & Irvine, D. J. (2016). Beyond antigens and adjuvants: formulating future vaccines. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, *126*(3), 799–808. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI81083 Nekkanti, V., & Kalepu, S. (2015). Recent Advances in Liposomal Drug Delivery: A Review. *Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology*, *3*, 35–55.

Noh, H. J., Noh, Y.-W., Heo, M. B., Kim, E.-H., Park, S.-J., Kim, Y.-I., ... Lim, Y. T. (2016). Injectable and Pathogen-Mimicking Hydrogels for Enhanced Protective Immunity against Emerging and Highly Pathogenic Influenza Virus. *Small*, *12*(45), 6279–6288. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201602344

Park, Y.-M., Lee, S. J., Kim, Y. S., Lee, M. H., Cha, G. S., Jung, I. D., ... Han, H. D. (2013). Nanoparticle-Based Vaccine Delivery for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Immune Network*, 13(5), 177. https://doi.org/10.4110/in.2013.13.5.177

Patino, L. H., Mendez, C., Rodriguez, O., Romero, Y., Velandia, D., Alvarado, M., ... Ramírez, J. D. (2017). Spatial distribution, Leishmania species and clinical traits of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis cases in the Colombian army. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, *11*(8), e0005876. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005876

Pattni, B. S., Chupin, V. V., & Torchilin, V. P. (2015). New Developments in Liposomal Drug Delivery. Chemical Reviews, 115(19), 10938–10966. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00046

Perrie, Y., Crofts, F., Devitt, A., Grif, H. R., Kastner, E., & Nadella, V. (2016). Designing liposomal adjuvants for the next generation of vaccines. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 99, 85–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.11.005

Ramírez, J. D., Hernández, C., León, C. M., Ayala, M. S., Flórez, C., & González, C. (2016). Taxonomy, diversity, temporal and geographical distribution of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis in Colombia: A retrospective study. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 28266. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28266

Reithinger, R., Dujardin, J.-C., Louzir, H., Pirmez, C., Alexander, B., & Brooker, S. (2007). Cutaneous leishmaniasis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 7(9), 581–596. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70209-8

Rijal, S., Ostyn, B., Uranw, S., Rai, K., Bhattarai, N. R., Dorlo, T. P. C., ... Dujardin, J.-C. (2013). Increasing Failure of Miltefosine in the Treatment of Kala-azar in Nepal and the Potential Role of Parasite Drug Resistance, Reinfection, or Noncompliance. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *56*(11), 1530–1538. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit102

Skwarczynski, M., & Toth, I. (2014). Recent advances in peptide-based subunit nanovaccines. *Nanomedicine*, 9(17), 2657–2669. https://doi.org/10.2217/nnm.14.187

Smith, D. M., Simon, J. K., & Baker, J. R. (2013). Applications of nanotechnology for immunology. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 13(8), 592–605. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3488

Soto, J., Arana, B. A., Toledo, J., Rizzo, N., Vega, J. C., Diaz, A., ... Sindermann, H. (2004). Miltefosine for New World Cutaneous Leishmaniasis. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 38(9), 1266–1272. https://doi.org/10.1086/383321

Torres-Guerrero, E., Quintanilla-Cedillo, M. R., Ruiz-Esmenjaud, J., & Arenas, R. (2017). Leishmaniasis: a review. F1000Research, 6, 750. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11120.1

Tovar, J., Wilkinson, S., Mottram, J. C., & Fairlamb, A. H. (1998). Evidence that trypanothione reductase is an essential enzyme in Leishmania by targeted replacement of the tryA gene locus. *Molecular Microbiology*, *29*(2), 653–660. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00968.x

Watson, D. S., Endsley, A. N., & Huang, L. (2012). Design considerations for liposomal vaccines: Influence of formulation parameters on antibody and cell-mediated immune responses to liposome associated antigens. *Vaccine*, *30*(13), 2256–2272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.01.070

Yang, L., Li, W., Kirberger, M., Liao, W., & Ren, J. (2016). Design of nanomaterial based systems for novel vaccine development. *Biomaterials Science*, 4(5), 785–802. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5BM00507H

Zaman, M., Good, M. F., & Toth, I. (2013). Nanovaccines and their mode of action. Methods, 60(3), 226–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2013.04.014

# THANK YOU