

ECWS-3 - 15-30/11/2018



Identification of flood-rich and flood-poor periods by using the longest streamflow records in Spain

Luis Mediero (luis.mediero@upm.es)

Universidad Politécnica de Madrid Department of Civil Engineering: Hydraulic, Energy and Environment







- INTRODUCTION
- METHODOLOGY
- CASE STUDY
- RESULTS
- CONCLUSIONS
- REFERENCES





- In the last decades, there has been general concern about if streamflow series are stationary or non-stationary.
- In 2008, Milly et al. stated that 'stationarity is dead'.







 Several studies have tried to find temporal trends in flood series, but no clear pattern was found.



• However, climate change is expected to change atmospheric pattern circulations.





• In Europe, Hall et al. (2014) offered a summary of previous flood trend studies:







 Flood trends were also studied at a European scale with the longest available streamflow records (COST ES0901):







 Blöschl et al. (2017) found a large-scale pattern of change in flood timing:







 In Spain, Mediero et al. (2014) found a general decreasing trend in flood series, with more notable evidence in the period 1959–2009:



Source: Mediero et al. (2014)





- This study aims at conducting a statistical analysis to identify potential oscillations in flood series in Spain.
- Such oscillations cannot be identified by the traditional Mann-Kendall test
- Temporal oscillations may drive consecutive flood-poor and flood-rich periods.
- A methodology has been developed to identify statistically significant flood-poor and flood-rich periods.







- INTRODUCTION
- METHODOLOGY
- CASE STUDY
- RESULTS
- CONCLUSIONS
- REFERENCES





- Annual maximum series are usually characterised by an extremal distribution function.
- In Spain, a Generalised Extreme Value (GEV) distribution fritted by the L-moment method is recommended.

$$F(x) = exp\left\{-\left[1-k\left(\frac{x-u}{\alpha}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{k}}\right\}$$

 The expected variability of flood magnitudes under an assumption of stationarity is compared with the actual variability of flood magnitudes in observed flood series.





- Flood-poor and flood-rich periods are identified when the stationary hypothesis is not met:
 - 1) A GEV distribution is fitted to AMS.
 - 2) *N* random series of *n* years are generated.
 - 3) The mean value for each series is calculated.
 - 4) Upper and lower thresholds are obtained for the confidence intervals ($\alpha/2$) and (1 $\alpha/2$).
- For instance, if the mean value in *n* consecutive years in the observed series is larger than the upper threshold, the stationary hypothesis is rejected.







- INTRODUCTION
- METHODOLOGY
- CASE STUDY
- RESULTS
- CONCLUSIONS
- REFERENCES





 7 gauging stations in near-natural catchments with observations in the longest period 1942-2014 are selected:









- INTRODUCTION
- METHODOLOGY
- CASE STUDY
- **RESULTS**
- CONCLUSIONS
- REFERENCES





• N = 100,000; n = 10, 20, 30 years; α = 10%, with 5% in each tail. Only the results for 20 years are shown: Annual maximum n-year moving floods average hual maximum floods - SPA1 - 20 years Upper threshold (ع) ⁴⁰ Lower threshold 1960 1970 1980 1990 2010 1940 1950 2000 2020 Hydrological year **Flood-rich periods Flood-poor periods**





- In the gauging station SPA1:
 - Flood-rich periods: 1942-1974
 - Flood-poor periods: 1966-1999







- In the gauging station SPA2:
 - Flood-rich periods: 1946-1980
 - Flood-poor periods: 1991-2014









- In the gauging station SPA3:
 - Flood-rich periods: -
 - Flood-poor periods: -







- In the gauging station SPA4:
 - Flood-rich periods: 1945-1980
 - Flood-poor periods: 1986-2014







- In the gauging station SPA5:
 - Flood-rich periods: 1942-1981
 - Flood-poor periods: 1974-2014







- In the gauging station SPA6:
 - Flood-rich periods: 1959-1986
 - Flood-poor periods: 1942-1964







- In the gauging station SPA7:
 - Flood-rich periods: 1944-1980
 - Flood-poor periods: 1980-2007







- In 6 gauging stations, a significant flood-rich period is identified from the beginning of the series to around 1980.
- In 5 gauging stations, a significant flood-poor period is identified at the end of the series with a varying beginning year, but most likely finishing at the ending year of the series.





- Similar patterns in the 7 gauging stations are found by using standardized discharge magnitudes of annual maximum floods.
- Oscillations are larger in the Ebro and Tagus basins than in the Douro and Júcar.









- INTRODUCTION
- METHODOLOGY
- CASE STUDY
- RESULTS
- CONCLUSIONS
- REFERENCES





- A methodology to identify statistical significant flood-poor and flood-rich periods has been presented.
- A period with unexpected large consecutive floods, or a flood-rich period, from the beginning of the series to around 1980 has been identified in six of the seven sites.
- A period with unusual small consecutive floods under the hypothesis of stationarity, or a floodpoor period, has been identified at the end of the series in four of the seven gauging stations.





- This finding can explain the generalised significant decreasing trend identified in most of gauging stations in Spain previously.
- A flood-rich period at the beginning of the flood series followed by a flood-poor period at the end of the series condition the decreasing trends identified by the Mann-Kendall test.
- Consequently, the decreasing trend seems to be caused by a natural temporal oscillation in flood magnitudes.







- INTRODUCTION
- METHODOLOGY
- CASE STUDY
- RESULTS
- CONCLUSIONS
- REFERENCES



REFERENCES



- Blöschl G; Hall J; Parajka J; Perdigao RAP; Merz B; Arheimer B; Aronica GT; Bilibashi A; Bonacci O; Borga M; Canjevac I; Castellarin A; Chirico GB; Claps P; Fiala K; Frolova N; Gorbachova L; Gul A; Hannaford J; Harrigan S; Kireeva M; Kiss A; Kjeldsen TR; Kohnova S; Koskela JJ; Ledvinka O; Macdonald N; Mavrova-Guirguinova M; Mediero L; Merz R; Molnar P; Montanari A; Murphy C; Osuch M; Ovcharuk V; Radevski I; Rogger M; Salinas JL; Sauquet E; Sraj M; Szolgay J; Viglione A; Volpi E; Wilson D; Zaimi K; Zivkovic N. Changing climate shifts timing of European floods. Science, 2017, 357, 588–590.
- Hall, J.; Arheimer, B.; Borga, M.; Brázdil, R.; Claps, P.; Kiss, A.; Kjeldsen, T.R.; Kriauciuniene, J.; Kundzewicz, Z.W.; Lang; M., Llasat; M.C.; Macdonald, N.; McIntyre, N.; Mediero, L.; Merz, B.; Merz, R.; Molnar, P.; Montanari, A.; Neuhold, C.; Parajka, J.; Perdigão, R.A.P.; Plavcová, L.; Rogger, M.; Salinas, J.L.; Sauquet, E.; Schär, C.; Szolgay, J.; Viglione, A.; Blöschl, G. Understanding flood regime changes in Europe: a state-of-the-art assessment. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci, 2014, 18, 2735–2772.
- Kundzewicz, Z.W.; Graczyk, D.; Maurer, T.; Pinskwar, I.; Radziejewski, M.; Svensson, C.; Szwed, M. Trend detection in river flow series: 1. Annual maximum flow. Hydrolog. Sci. J., 2005, 50 (5), 797–810.



REFERENCES



- Mediero, L.; Kjeldsen, T.R.; Macdonald, N.; Kohnova, S.; Merz, B.; Vorogushyn, S.; Wilson, D.; Alburquerque, T.; Blöschl, G.; Bogdanowicz, E.; Castellarin, A.; Hall, J.; Kobold, M.; Kriauciuniene, J.; Lang, M.; Madsen, H.; Onuşluel Gül, G.; Perdigão, R.A.P.; Roald, L.A.; Salinas, J.L.; Toumazis, A.D.; Veijalainen, N.; Óðinn Þórarinsson. Identification of coherent flood regions across Europe using the longest streamflow records. J. Hydrol., 2015, 528, 341-360.
- Mediero, L.; Santillán, D.; Garrote, L.; Granados, A. Detection and attribution of trends in magnitude, frequency and timing of floods in Spain. J. Hydrol., 2014, 517, 1072-1088.
- Milly, P.C.D.; Betancourt, J.; Falkenmark, M.; Hirsch, R.M.; Zbigniew, W.; Lettenmaier, D. P.; Stouffer, R.J. Stationarity Is Dead : Whither Water Management? Science, 2008, 319, 573–574.
- Suassuna, M; Mediero, L.; Lima, C.; Zandonadi, L. Links between different classes of storm tracks and the flood trends in Spain. J. Hydrol., 2018, 567, 71– 85.