Synthesis of some new diarylmethanes by McMurry coupling reaction: characterization and antibacterial activity
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Abstract: Diarylmethanes (DAMs) and triarylmethanes (TAMs), molecules bearing two or three aryl groups (phenyls or heterocycles) bonded to a central carbon atom, have numerous applications. The biological and therapeutic relevancy of this class of molecules has been demonstrated for various applications in the field of antimicrobials, infectious, cardiovascular and nervous system disorders, genital tract diseases, estrogen-related disorders and bone remodeling. These interesting compounds have also been used as starting materials for the development of high value-added molecules. We report here the synthesis of two new diarylmethanes using a McMurry coupling reaction as well as their antibacterial evaluation.
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1. Introduction

Di- and tri-arylmethanes have attracted much attention as a result of their unique structural and physical properties and applications in organic chemistry and as interesting scaffolds presented in biological active compounds [1-2]. The feature of triarylmethane compounds comes from the three aryl groups bonded to a sp3 hybridized central carbon atom bearing a hydrogen atom. Compounds containing two aryl groups connected by a single carbon atom are considered as diarylmethanes.
Triaryl methane scaffolds are biological and therapeutic relevancy in several areas such as antimicrobials, anticancer cardiovascular and nervous system disorders and bone remodeling. They have been used as like leuco dyes, pH indicators, photochromic agents, fluorescent probes and they also have many applications in materials science [1-7].

Diaryl methane derivatives have played an essential role in the development of supramolecular chemistry and specially in the synthesis of supramolecular compounds like calixarenes and pillar arenes. Furthermore, diarylmethanes have shown a major role in medicinal chemistry as GABA receptor modulators [8], anticancer and antibacterial compounds [9-10].

Diaryl methane scaffold is mainly obtained by Friedel–Crafts alkylation of the corresponding benzyl alcohols with another arene, metal-catalyzed cross coupling of aryl halides with benzyl nucleophiles, metal catalyzed cross coupling of benzyl halides with aryl nucleophiles and C-C bond formation between tosyl hydrazones and aryl boronic acids [1].

Considering this perspective, we presented in this paper the synthesis of two diarylmethanes using a McMurry cross coupling reaction. This coupling procedure has acquired great importance in organic synthesis particularly in the preparation of sterically hindered alkenes through homocouplings and in the construction of cycloalkenes with ring sizes ranging from 3 to 72 via intramolecular couplings. The utility of McMurry coupling reaction have been also highlighted as the key step in numerous syntheses of natural products [11].

We describe herein the synthesis of two new diarylmethanes derivatives: 4-methoxyphenyl-2-aryl vinyl-pyridine and its ferrocenyl analogue and the preliminary evaluation of their antibacterial activity.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of diarylmethanes

Diaryl methane derivatives were prepared via a McMurry coupling reaction. General synthetic methods to obtain the target compounds are outlined in Scheme 1. The first detailed synthesis has been described by Sylla-I-V and co-workers in 2015.

Scheme 1: Synthesis of diarylmethanes (a): n-BuLi, p-anisaldehyde, THF, -78 °C/r.t., 17 h; (b): NaOH, O2, toluene, reflux, 18 h; (c): TiCl4, Zn, THF, reflux, 2 h then the corresponding aldehyde, 8-10 min.

The (4-methoxyphenyl)(pyridin-2-yl)methanol 2 has been prepared by a lithium-bromine exchange following the procedure described by Seto et al. 2004 [12], from 2-bromopyridine and p-anisaldehyde in anhydrous THF. The desired carbinol 2 was isolated in 53% yield. This average yield could be explained to a certain extent by the formation of the by-product 6 formed by the reaction of 2-bromopyridine with two molecules of p-anisaldehyde (Figure 1).
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formation of the desired cross-coupled product and the two homo-coupled compounds [14].

2.2. Biological studies

Compounds 4 and 5 were screened for antibacterial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens. Gram-positive strains *Micrococcus luteus*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Listeria monocytogenes*, Gram-negative strains *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Salmonella enterica* were used for inhibitory tests, using doxycycline, a broad spectrum antibiotic, as a control. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) values for all compounds are presented in Table 1. The MIC and MBC values for doxycycline were found to be <12.5 μg/mL and 12.5 μg/mL on *Staphylococcus aureus*.

As depicted in Table 1, compounds 4 and 5 displayed an excellent antimicrobial activity. The tested compounds seem to be more bactericidal than bacteriostatic, since the MBC/MIC ratio is less than or equal to four (≤4) [15]. No significant difference for antimicrobial activity was observed against Gram-positive or Gram-negative bacteria between E and Z isomers of 4 and 5. However, for both compounds, Z isomers seem to be more active than their E analogues. For instance, isomer 4b displayed MIC values between [6.25-12.5] μg/mL against *Listeria, E. Coli* and *Salmonella* whereas its isomer 4a showed MIC values between [12.5-25] μg/mL against the same pathogens. For compound 5, the Z isomer 5b exhibited MIC values between [12.5-25] μg/mL against *Micrococcus, Staphylococcus* and *E. Coli* versus [25-50] μg/mL for the E isomer 5a. These results suggest that the spatial arrangement of the molecule may play an important role in biological activity as it may be favorable in the interaction with biological receptors.

As shown in Table 1 the Z isomer 4b showed better activity than its ferroceny analogue 4a with MIC values between [6.25-12.5] μg/mL against Gram-positive strains *Listeria* and Gram-negative strains *Escherichia coli*, and *Salmonella* versus [25-50] μg/mL; similar results for Z isomer 5b were obtained ([12.5-25] μg/mL, [25-50] μg/mL respectively). In the case of Gram-positive strains *Micrococcus* and *Staphylococcus* and Gram-negative strains *Enterobacterium* the results are equivalents. These results could suggest that a ferrocenyl moiety may not be necessary to achieve excellent antimicrobial activity.

**Table 1.** Antimicrobial activities of compound 4 and its ferrocenyl analogue 5. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) in μg/mL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cpd. Num</th>
<th>MeO</th>
<th>Gram (+)</th>
<th>Gram (-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Micrococcus</em></td>
<td><em>Staphylococcus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td></td>
<td>[12.5-25]</td>
<td>[6.25-12.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E isomer R=Ph</td>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>MBC 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td></td>
<td>[12.5-25]</td>
<td>[12.5-25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z isomer R=Ph</td>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>MBC 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td></td>
<td>[25-50]</td>
<td>[25-50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E isomer R=Fc</td>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>MBC &gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td></td>
<td>[12.5-25]</td>
<td>[12.5-25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Z isomer R=Fc</td>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>MBC 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline</td>
<td></td>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>MBC &lt;12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>MBC 12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Materials and Methods

Experimental

Materials:

All reagents were obtained from commercial sources unless otherwise noted and used as received. All reactions were monitored by analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC). TLC was performed on aluminium sheets percolated silica gel plates (60 F254, Merck). TLC plates were visualized using irradiation with light at 254 nm or in an iodine chamber as appropriate.

Physical measurements:

The structure of the products prepared by different methods was checked by comparison of NMR, IR and MS data and by the TLC behavior. ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker BioSpin GmbH spectrometer 400 MHz, at room temperature. Chemical shifts are reported in δ units, parts per million (ppm). Coupling constants (J) are measured in hertz (Hz). Splitting patterns are designed as followed: s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; m, multiplet; br, broad; ddd: doublet of doublet of doublet. Various 2D techniques and DEPT experiments were used to establish the structures and to assign the signals. GC-MS analysis were performed with an Agilent 6890N instrument equipped with a dimethyl polysiloxane capillary column (12 m x 0.20 mm) and an Agilent 5973N MS detector-column temperature gradient 100-300 °C (method 160): 160 °C (1 min); 160 °C to 280 °C (10 °C/min), (method 180): 180 °C (1 min); 180°C to 300 °C (10 °C/min), (method 200): 200 °C (1 min); 200 °C to 300 °C (10 °C/min); 300 °C (4 min); Infrared spectra were recorded over the 400-4000 cm⁻¹ range with an Agilent Technologies Cary 630 FTIR/ ATR/ ZnSe spectrometer.

High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) analyses were acquired on a LTQ-Orbitrap XL from Thermo Scientific (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Courtaboeuf, France) mass spectrometer and operated in positive ionization mode, with a spray voltage at 3.6 kV. Detection was achieved in the Orbitrap with a resolution set to 60,000 (at m/z 400) and a m/z range between 110-1200 in profile mode. Spectrum was analyzed using the acquisition software XCalibur 2.1.

Figure 2. Convention adopted to assign signals of ¹H and ¹³C spectra.

4-methoxyphenyl-2-arylvinylypyridine 4

To a suspension of zinc (2.80 mmol, 182 mg) in dry THF (2.7 mL) under argon was added dropwise TiCl₄ (1.872 mmol, 0.3 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred during 2 h at 85 °C. A solution of benzaldehyde (0.487 mmol, 0.05 mL) and (4-methoxyphenyl) (pyridin-2-yl)methanone 3 (0.468 mmol, 100 mg) in THF (1 mL) was then added dropwise via a syringe. The reaction mixture was refluxed during 8 h, then stirred overnight at room temperature and was controlled by TLC. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and then poured into the water (5.8 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (8x9 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated. The oily crude was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 95:5) to afford the 2-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenylvinyl) pyridine 4 in two separable E and Z isomers.

E Isomer 4a 31.8 mg of an orange oil 24% Yield, R₉ = 0.58 SiO₂ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 80:20). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.65 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H, H12), 7.76 (s, 1H, H13), 7.57 –7.53 (td, J1= 7.8 Hz, J2= 1.8 Hz, 1H, H9), 7.17- 7.09 (m, 8H, H3, H7, H11, H15, H16, H17, H18, H19), 7.02 (d, J= 8 Hz, 1H, H9), 6.95-6.92 (m, 2H, H4, H6), 3.85 (s, 3H, H20, OMe ). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 159.4(C5), 159.2 (C8), 149.2 (C12), 140.1 (C1), 137.0 (C13), 136.5 (C10), 131.5 (C3, C7), 131.3 (C2), 130.1 (C15, C19), 128.0 (C16, C18), 127.3 (C17), 122.6 (C9), 122.0 (C11), 114.5 (C4, C6), 55.3 (OMe). IR (ATR): 3016, 3029 (vCsp²-h); 2922 (vCsp3-h); 2857 (vOMe); 1604, 1582, 1511 and 1462 (vC=O); 1246 (v asym C=O-C); 1031 (v asym C-O-C). LC-MS: method FIA. m/z = 288 [M+H]+; 271 [M-CH₃]+.
Z Isomer 4a: 31.6 mg of an orange oil in 23% Yield, R$_f$ = 0.36, SiO$_2$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 80:20). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.78 (d, J= 4 Hz, 1H, H12), 8.12-8.08 (m, 4H, H15, H16, H18, H19), 7.66-7.62 (m, 1H, H10), 7.48-7.44(t, J=8 Hz, 3H, H3, H7, H17), 7.29-7.27 (m, 1H, H11), 7.07 (s, 1H, H13), 6.96-6.93 (m, 1H, H9), 6.85 (d, J= 8 Hz, 2H, H4, H6), 3.79 (s, 3H, H20, OMe), 3.90 (s, 2H, H15, H16, J= 8.0 Hz, H9), 4.14 (s, 2H, H16, H17), 4.11 (s, 3H, H19), 7.04 (d, J= 8.7 Hz, 2H, H3, H7), 7.29 (m, 1H, H11), 7.04 (d, J= 8.7 Hz, 2H, H4, H6), 6.87 (d, 1H, J= 8.0 Hz, H9), 4.14 (s, 2H, H16, H17), 4.11 (s, 3H, H19), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 3.87 (s, 2H, H15, H18). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 159.1 (C8), 158.6 (C5), 149.1 (C12), 136.5 (C10), 136.0 (C1), 132.1 (C2), 131.3 (C3, C7), 130.0 (C13), 121.5 (C9), 121.1 (C11), 114.5 (C4, C6), 80.9 (C14), 70.3 (C15, C18), 69.5 (C16, C17), 69.4 (C19), 55.4 (OMe). GC/MS: method 160; Rt = 13.45 min, m/z = 395 [M] (100), 330 [C$_{19}$H$_{16}$FeNO]$^+$ (50), 274 [C$_{19}$H$_{16}$NO]$^+$ (30). IR (ATR): 3085, 3058 (v$_{C-H}$), 2956, 2933, 2857 (v$_{C-H}$), 1603, 1580, 1507 and 1459 (v$_{C=C}$), 1236 (v$_{sym}$ c-o-c). HRMS: calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{21}$FeNO [M+H]$^+$ (396.10453); found (396.10496).

**4 methoxyphenyl-2-ferrocenyl-vinylpyridine 5**

To a suspension of zinc (55.5 mmol, 3.63 g) in dry THF (60 mL) under argon was added dropwise TiCl$_4$ (37.0 mmol, 4.08 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred during 2 h at 85 °C. A solution of ferrocene carboxaldehyde (9.25 mmol, 1.98 g) and (4-methoxyphenyl) (pyridin-2-yl)methanone 3 (9.71 mmol, 2.071 g) in THF (30 mL) was then added dropwise via a syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred during 8 min and was controlled by TLC. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and then poured into the water (100 mL) and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 * 150 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$, filtered and concentrated. The oily crude was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel using a gradient system (cyclohexane/EtOAc 98:2 and then 95:5) to afford the 2-(1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2 ferrocenovinyl) pyridine 5 in two separate E and Z isomers.

**E Isomer 5a:** 1.1 g of an orange solid in 30% Yield, Rf = 0.46, SiO$_2$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 80:20). $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.65-8.60 (m, 1H, H12), 7.72 (s, 1H, H13), 7.53-7.46 (m, 1H, H10), 7.20 (d, J= 8.7 Hz, 2H, H3, H7), 7.12-7.07 (m, 1H, H11), 7.04 (d, J= 8.7 Hz, 2H, H4, H6), 6.87 (d, 1H, J= 8.0 Hz, H9), 4.14 (s, 2H, H16, H17), 4.11 (s, 3H, H19), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$), 3.87 (s, 2H, H15, H18). $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 159.1 (C8), 158.6 (C5), 149.1 (C12), 136.5 (C10), 136.0 (C1), 132.1 (C2), 131.3 (C3, C7), 130.0 (C13), 121.5 (C9), 121.1 (C11), 114.5 (C4, C6), 80.9 (C14), 70.3 (C15, C18), 69.5 (C16, C17), 69.4 (C19), 55.4 (O Me). GC/MS: method 160; Rt = 13.45 min, m/z = 395 [M] (100), 330 [C$_{19}$H$_{16}$FeNO]$^+$ (50), 274 [C$_{19}$H$_{16}$NO]$^+$ (30). IR (ATR): 3085, 3058 (v$_{Csp2-H}$), 2955, 2925 (v$_{Csp3-H}$); 2835 (v$_{Ome}$); 1603, 1580, 1507 and 1459 (v$_{C=C}$); 1236 (v$_{sym}$ c-o-c); 1026 (v$_{sym}$ c-o-c). HRMS: calcd. for C$_{24}$H$_{21}$FeNO [M+H]$^+$ (396.10453); found (396.10494).

**Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimal Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)**

All synthesized compounds were tested in triplicate, using microplate dilution method. Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of compounds were determined according the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard (NCCLS, 2002). The test was performed in sterile 96-well microplates. The compounds were dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). Serial two fold dilutions of each sample to be evaluated were made to yield volumes of 100 μL per well with final concentrations ranging from 100 to 12.5 μg/mL. 100 μL of bacteria suspension with a concentration of 107 CFU/mL were added to each
well. Negative control wells contained bacteria only in LB broth medium. After incubation at 30°C for 16 h, the minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were recorded as the lowest concentration of compound in the medium that showed no microbial growth. 3-(4,5-dimethyl thiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) was added to the wells to facilitate reading of the plates. In case of microbial growth, MTT turns to blue, otherwise the medium remains yellow. Solvent medium and positive growth controls were also run simultaneously. Then from each tube, one loopful was cultured on plate count agar and incubated for 24 h at 30°C. The lowest concentration of the compound supporting no colony formation was defined as the MBC.

4. Conclusions

We have been involved in the design, synthesis and bioevaluation of new diarylmethanes derivatives 4 and 5 (Fig. 2) using a McMurry coupling reaction. The structural pharmacophore was based on a diarylmethane skeleton containing ferrocene and benzene rings, and compounds exhibited a good antibacterial activity in vitro. In order to optimize the antimicrobial activity of DAMs, we currently investigated the substitution of the aryl group by others aromatic rings and the introduction of the functionalization will be reported in due course.
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