The 2nd International Online Conference on Crystals, 10-20 NOV 2020, ONLINE 🛛 🌝 crystals

STRUCTURAL CHARACTERIZATION OF LIGHT **METAL BOROHYDRIDES** BY DISPERSION-CORRECTED DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY MODELLING

Mariana Derzsi,^{1,3} A. Starobrat,^{2,3} T. Jaroń,³ P. Malinowski³ and W. Grochala³

¹ATRI Institute Materal-Tech. Faculty, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava ²College of Inter-Faculty Individual Studies in Math. and Natural Sciences, University of Warsaw ³Center of New Technologies, University of Warsaw

mariana.derzsi@stuba.sk











METAL BOROHYDRIDES

Importance

• H-rich systems with: potential hydrogen storage material

Challenges

- Thermodynamic stability:
 - $Be(BH_4)_2$ with 20.7 wt.% \leftarrow immensely toxic
 - $Al(BH_4)_3$ 18.4 wt.% \leftarrow explosive
 - $Mg(BH_4)_2$ 14.8 wt.% \leftarrow overly-stable

Solutions

- Synthesis of multi-cation borohydrides.
- Structural systematics challenging: low crystallinity, poorly scattering atoms, frequent substitutional disorder.
- <u>Computational modelling</u> as a great aid to structure characterization.

de crystals

Mixed metal borohydride $LiSc(BH_4)_4$

- The first *tetragonal* **α** polymorph (*P*-42c, Z=2) was reported in 2008.
- In 2018, *tetragonal* β phase was observed (*I*4/m, Z=8).
- Recently, *cubic* **γ** polymorph (*P*-43m, Z=1) proposed.



MDPL



ordered α model (P222₁)



Predicted ground state (/-4)



not match the diffraction pattern of **α**

Kim's models do

MDPI

•

Synchrotron measurement

Hagemann et al., J. Phys. Chem. A (2008)

Kim et al., J. Phys. Chem. C (2009)

 β - LiSc(BH₄)₄



Starobrat, T. Jaroń, W. Grochala, Dalton Trans. (2018)

 $\begin{array}{lll} \beta \mbox{-} \mbox{LiSc(BH}_4)_4 & \mbox{NH}_4 \mbox{Sc(BH}_4)_4 \\ a = 14.3 \mbox{ \AA} & a = 15.7 \mbox{ \AA} \\ c = 7.4 \mbox{ \AA} & c = 7.9 \mbox{ \AA} \\ \beta = 90.5^{\circ} & \mbox{$$\Lambda$} & a = 89.5^{\circ} \\ \beta = 90.5^{\circ} & \mbox{$$\Lambda$} & p = 90.5^{\circ} \\ \gamma & = 81.4^{\circ} \\ (\mbox{in $$\beta$-LiSc(BH}_4)_4 \\ representation) \end{array}$

The 2nd International Online Conference on Crystals, 10-20 NOV 2020, ONLINE

β - LiSc(BH₄)₄ – DFT results



1. Ordered $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ models



2. NH₄Sc(BH₄)₄ type models

ig crystals

- Many ordered models were tested.

MDPI

 Our approach to describe β phase with ordered models has failed.

Common features of α and γ LiSc(BH₄)₄



• **α** and **γ** phase share simple cubic **Sc sublattice**

- Differ only in occupation of interstitial positions by Li
- Zr(BH₄)₄ prototype structure contains tetrahedral voids ready to host Li in:
 - Centre (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)
 - Face (1/2, 1/2,0)
 - Edge (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)

The 2nd International Online Conference on Crystals, 10-20 NOV 2020, ONLINE 🤙 crystals

DFT Modelling LiSc(BH_4)₄ in Zr(BH_4)₄ type lattice



DFT Modelling LiSc(BH_4)₄ in Zr(BH_4)₄ type lattice



Kim et al., J. Phys. Chem. C (2009) Kim's best model



-680 meV

 $\Delta E = -701 \text{ meV}$ a = 5.851 Å, c = 11.827 Å $d(H...H)_{inter} = 2.752 \text{ Å}$ **DFT-D3 result**

a = 5.760 Å, c = 11.985 Å d(H...H)_{inter} = 2.800 Å **DFT-D3 result** In the lowest-E models LiB₄ found in tetrahedral geometry

- The two models differ in Sc sublattice
- The model with simple cubic Sc sublattice with face-centred Li was found to be the ground state

DFT Modelling LiSc(BH_4)₄ in Zr(BH_4)₄ type lattice

Li on face P-42c



Rietveld refinement of α -phase with ordered *P*-42c model



ordered
modeldisordered
modelSPGRP-42cP-42cwRp [%]1.171.44

28.63

6.0670(5)

12.0147(10)

cRp [%]

a [Å]

c [Å]

Comparison of XRD parameters:

MDPI

ΔE = -701 meV

a = 5.851 Å, c = 11.827 Å d(H...H)_{inter} = 2.752 Å **DFT-D3 result** 32.21

6.0710(8)

12.0233(16)

DFT Modelling LiSc(BH_4)₄ in Zr(BH_4)₄ type lattice

Li on face



Importance of dispersion-corrected DFT (D3)

Simulated XRD patterns of α



basic DFT method fails

 Qualitative agreement reached only with dispersion-corrected DFT (DFT-D3)

MDPI

ΔE = -**701** meV

a = 5.851 Å, c = 11.827 Å d(H...H)_{inter} = 2.752 Å **DFT-D3 result**

👍 crystals The 2nd International Online Conference on Crystals, 10-20 NOV 2020, ONLINE

Modelling LiSc(BH_4)₄ – lattice dynamics approach

Phonon dispersion curves (DFT) • 16 14 Li(½,½,½) 12 *P*-43m 10 **E (THz)** 8 4 ۲ unstable 2 modes 0 -2 -4 -Μ M Brillouin zone The lowest-E

Searched for lower-energy solutions by distorting the structure along the atomic displacements of ALL unstable modes.

- Four optical modes are being instable:
 - Li displacement,
 - BH₄ reorientation and translation.
- Computed close to 100 structures.

Kim's 'ground state'(*I*-4) Kim et al., J. Phys. Chem. C (2009)

The 2nd International Online Conference on Crystals, 10-20 NOV 2020, ONLINE

 β phase = LiSc(BH₄)_{4-x}Cl_x, x≈0.7



$LiSc(BH_4)_{4-x}Cl_x$	x=0	x≈0.7
wRp [%]	0.98	0.97
cRp [%]	8.78	8.66

MDPI

ig crystals



- We have been able to **resolve the old standing problem** of the structure and stability of the α polymorph.
- α is best described by the ordered *P*-42c model.
- α is confirmed to be the ground state (only) polymorph of LiSc(BH₄)₄.
- γ can be interpreted as α with substantial Li disorder.
- β redetermined as **mixed anion** BH₄-Cl **phase**: LiSc(BH₄)_{4-x}Cl_x, where x≈0.7.



 Computational resources: the Interdisciplinary Centre for Mathematical and Computational Modelling, University of Warsaw under grant no. ADVANCE++ (GA76-19).

de crystals

- The European Regional Development Fund, Research and Innovation Operational Programme, project No. ITMS2014+: 313011W085.
- Polish National Science Centre under grant HYDRA no. 2014/15/B/ST5/05012.
- Biopolymers Laboratory, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, for the access to Agilent Supernova X-ray single-crystal diffractometer, co-financed by the European Union within the ERDF Project POIG.02.01.00-14-122/09.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTANTION