**1st International Electronic Conference on Applied Sciences** 

# **Deep Anomaly Detection via Morphological Transformations**

Taehyeon Kim and Yoonsik Choe

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering,

Yonsei University

pyomu@yonsei.ac.kr





#### Real-world industrial anomaly detection

- The goal of deep anomaly detection is to identify abnormal data by utilizing a deep neural network trained by a normal training dataset
- Industrial visual anomaly detection problems generally **distinguish normal and abnormal data through small morphological differences**, such as crack and stain.











#### Semantic differences VS Morphological differences

• Nevertheless, most existing algorithms focused on capturing not morphological features but semantic features of normal data.

**Semantic difference** 





#### Morphological difference



![](_page_2_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Morphological transformations - Erosion

• Erosion computes the minimum pixel value of image *i* in every neighborhood of (*x*, *y*), coincident with kernel *b*, it expected that the size of bright features in *i* will be reduced

$$[i \ominus b](x, y) = \min_{(s,t) \in b} i(x + s, y + t)$$

Normal

 Eroded normal

![](_page_3_Picture_5.jpeg)

Abnormal

![](_page_3_Picture_6.jpeg)

**Eroded abnormal** 

![](_page_3_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Morphological transformations - Dilation

• Dilation computes the maximum pixel value of image *i* in every neighborhood of (*x*, *y*), coincident with kernel *b*, it expected that the size of darker features in *i* will be reduced

$$[i \ominus b](x, y) = \max_{(s,t) \in b} i(x + s, y + t)$$

Normal

![](_page_4_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_5.jpeg)

Abnormal

![](_page_4_Picture_6.jpeg)

**Dilated abnormal** 

![](_page_4_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Morphological transformations – Morphological gradient

• To obtain the morphological gradient of an image, dilation and erosion can be used in combination with image subtraction.

$$i \odot b = (i \oplus b) - (i \ominus b)$$

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### The proposed deep anomaly detection

- The proposed deep anomaly detection aims to discriminate the abnormal data using the acquired morphological features of normal data in the training procedure.
- Therefore, if a given morphological transformed data generates a high prediction error, it can be considered abnormal.

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

- The proposed algorithm aims to train deep neural network-based morphological features in a self-supervised learning manner.
- To achieve this goal, we propose to train a deep neural network *F* to discriminate the morphological transformation types applied to an image that is given to it as input.
- Specifically, we define a set of  $N_1$  discrete morphological transformations,  $N_2$  discrete values for kernel width, and  $N_3$  discrete values for kernel height.

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

• We define a set of  $N_1N_2N_3$  discrete morphological transformations as follows:

$$G = \{g(. | n_1, n_2, n_3)\}_{n_1 = 1, n_2 = 1, n_3 = 1}^{N_1, N_2, N_3}$$

where  $g(. | n_1, n_2, n_3)$  denotes that applies to image *i* the morphological transformation with multi-class label  $\{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$  that produces the transformed image  $i^{n_1, n_2, n_3} = g(i|n_1, n_2, n_3)$ .

• The deep neural network *F* takes an input as transformed image  $i^{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*}$  where the label  $\{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*\}$  is unknown to *F*.

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

- The deep neural network *F* takes an input as transformed image  $i^{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*}$  where the label  $\{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*\}$  is unknown to *F*.
- It produces a probability distribution of softmax response over all possible morphological transformations, which is denoted as follows:

$$F(i^{n_1^*,n_2^*,n_3^*}|\theta) = \{F^{n_1,n_2,n_3}(i^{n_1^*,n_2^*,n_3^*}|\theta)\}_{n_1=1,n_2=1,n_3=1}^{N_1,N_2,N_3},$$

where  $F^{n_1,n_2,n_3}(i^{n_1^*,n_2^*,n_3^*}|\theta)$  is the predicted probability for morphological transformation with  $\{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*\}$  and  $\theta$  denotes the parameters of *F*.

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

• Consequently, the proposed self-supervised objective function to capture morphological features of normal data is as follows:

$$\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{3T} \sum_{j=1}^{T} \left( -\frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{n_1=1}^{N_1} \log \left( F^{n_1} (i^{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*} | \theta) \right) - \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{n_2=1}^{N_2} \log \left( F^{n_2} (i^{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*} | \theta) \right) - \frac{1}{N_3} \sum_{n_3=1}^{N_3} \log \left( F^{n_1} (i^{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*} | \theta) \right) \right)$$
where  $F^{n_1} (i^{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*} | \theta)$ ,  $F^{n_2} (i^{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*} | \theta)$ , and  $F^{n_3} (i^{n_1^*, n_2^*, n_3^*} | \theta)$  denote predicted probability for  $n_1^*$ ,  $n_2^*$ , and  $n_3^*$ , respectively.

• Through the above formulation, we enforce the deep neural networks to learn morphological features of normal by predicting both transformation type and kernel size simultaneously.

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

Ι

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Experimental results – implementation details

- In the experimental results, there are three types of the proposed method to **verify kernel** size learning's influence;
  - **Type 1**:  $n_1 \in \{Erosion, Dilation, Gradient\}, n_2 \in \{1, 28, 56\}, n_3 \in \{1, 28, 56\}$
  - **Type 2**:  $n_1 \in \{Erosion, Dilation, Gradient\}, n_2 \in \{8, 28, 56\}, n_3 \in \{8, 28, 56\}$
  - **Type 3**:  $n_1 \in \{Erosion, Dilation, Gradient\}, n_2 \in \{1,8,28,56\}, n_3 \in \{1,8,28,56\}$
- PyTorch with RTX 2080Ti 11GB GPU and Intel i7 CPU.

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Experimental results

• Comparison of AUROC (area under the receiver operating characteristic, %) performance

between [1] and the prop	osed algorithm in MVTec dataset.
--------------------------	----------------------------------

Class	bottle	cable	capsule	carpet	grid	hazelnut	leather
[1]	83.10	77.81	75.31	38.12	31.47	67.14	64.10
Ours-type 1	87.86	76.89	77.50	57.22	15.62	68.71	39.67
Ours-type 2	88.41	77.55	69.92	53.97	29.91	62.29	66.58
Ours-type 3	95.16	80.34	73.08	57.91	29.99	68.04	82.88
Class	pill	screw	tile	toothbrush	transistor	wood	average
[1]	62.17	27.73	52.13	82.73	88.25	84.30	64.18
Ours-type 1	50.60	28.06	84.70	93.33	77.92	85.44	63.17
Ours-type 2	51.72	46.96	92.71	70.22	84.04	90.96	66.19
Ours-type 3	57.23	61.86	93.58	91.67	83.29	87.37	72.92

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

[1] Golan, Izhak, and Ran El-Yaniv. "Deep anomaly detection using geometric transformations." Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. 2018.

#### Conclusion

- The proposed method achieves superior performance in deep anomaly detection on industrial inspection by training the deep neural network to capture salient morphological features of normal data.
- The proposed algorithm can flexibly adapt to various real-world deep anomaly detection problem by choosing the adequate morphological transformation in image processing technology.
- Because the proposed methodology utilizes self-supervised learning, it has low computational complexity than other deep anomaly detection methods such as reconstruction-based algorithm.

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

**1st International Electronic Conference on Applied Sciences** 

# **Thank You!**

## Deep Anomaly Detection via Morphological Transformations

Taehyeon Kim and Yoonsik Choe

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering,

Yonsei University

pyomu@yonsei.ac.kr

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)