Earthquake Damage Assessment Based on Deep Learning Method Using VHR Images
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Abstract: One of the numerous fundamental tasks to perform rescue operations after the earthquake, check the status of buildings that have been destroyed. The methods to obtain the damage map are two categories. The first group of methods uses data before and after the earthquake, and the second group only uses the data after the earthquakes that we want to offer a flexible and according to information that we are available to achieve the damage map. In this paper, we work on VHR satellite images of Haiti, and UNet which is a convolution network. The learning algorithms profound changes to improve the results were intended to identify the damage of the buildings caused by the earthquake. The deep learning algorithms require very training data that it's one of the problems that we want to solve. As well as Unlike previous studies by examining pixel by pixel degradation, ultimate precision to increase that shows the success of this approach felt and has been able to reach the overall accuracy of 68.71%. The proposed method for other natural disasters such as rockets, explosions, tsunamis, and floods also destroyed buildings in urban areas is to be used.
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1. Introduction

The world has constantly influenced natural disasters such as earthquakes, floods, and tsunami during civilization. They are considered to be extremely tragic threats and ruined for human security and property. A quick evaluation of infrastructure damage after a dangerous event has an essential role in emergency response management and recovery planning [1,2].

The traditional approach to estimating the spatial distribution of earthquake losses in buildings through building field inspection is made by a volunteer group consisting of engineers, architects, and other construction industry professionals. This precise inspection process is essential because evaluations are reliable and give us valuable information on the damaged building's seismic function. However, the duration of these inspections makes them impossible for emergency support and planning for early recovery. Depending on the availability of qualified specialists and the geographical distribution of damaged buildings, the field inspection process can last for months [3].

Therefore, for decades, remote sensing techniques play an essential role in examining the earthquake's data damage, especially due to its fast availability after catastrophic and large coverage. In most studies, remote sensing measurements have been used to detect collapsed buildings using different methods before and after the event. Some researchers have only used post-event...
information and the similarity between damaged buildings that use it to distinguish between destroyed and undamaged buildings that limit this method's accuracy [4,6].

As the main sources of remote sensing, optical images usually provide only two-dimensional information that is not suitable for detecting objects; using LIDAR and SAR can improve determination and identification, especially for three-dimensional objects. Because information about the height, especially for the three-dimensional interpretation of the building's state, can be detected by damaged and collapsed buildings by comparing the altitude information before and after the event in urban areas. The method of producing accurate altitude data is tough and expensive, which leads to the inaccessibility of the precise 3D data before and after the earthquake. UAV allows for higher resolution images and cloud 3D points. But, the preparation of UAV images is challenging before the earthquake because UAV images are not continually covering all regions of the world. However, satellite images are still the primary and commonest source for damage assessment. For this reason, they are more reliable and more accessible to detect the destruction of buildings [7-9].

With the rapid improvement of satellite optics sensors' spatial resolution, Optical data is promising data for identifying earthquake damages. However, achieving remote sensing VHR images before the earthquake is not easy. Therefore, in new studies, We have tried to achieve good results without having the before event information. This has led to valuable algorithms that can use with both access and not access to data before the earthquake. The other problem with satellite images is that they can't detect damage to the building's length due to the imaging of the above [10,11].

The most common method is to detect damage based on change detection techniques. Images before and after an event have been collected and create an image difference, representing the difference between the two datasets. However, this method limits the requirement to have two sets of before and after the earthquake that may not always be available. In such circumstances, machine learning methods had been introduced. Deep learning, one of the advanced techniques in the field of machine learning as the best method for complex and non-linear feature extraction, is at a high level. In recent years, convolutional neural networks (CNN) due to outstanding performance in extracting features on remote sensing have been widely used [12,13].

In terms of operational response to the disaster, many challenges remain, two of them to declare that we have and try to solve these challenges [14].

1. Good performance of deep learning algorithms is limited to the size of data available, and the network structure is considered. One of the most critical challenges for using a deep learning method for monitoring the buildings damaged in the disaster is that the training images of damaged targets are usually not very much. In terms of operational response to the disaster, many challenges remain, two of them to declare that we have and try to solve these challenges.

2. The size of blocks that have been labeled as undamaged or damaged buildings by the algorithm is ultimately a significant impact on overall accuracy. Previous studies major ways only a label on a large block was allocated. However, this block contains a large number of pixels is irrelevant. Therefore, theoretically, the pixel-based labeling method is more accurate [15,16].
U-Net, which is essentially a convolution network, can ultimately reduce the challenges, and we change its layers to make it better performance [17].

In this study, the convolution neural network U-net for Monitoring Haiti earthquake damage on pixel-based images with high-resolution remote sensing is implemented.

2. Experiments

2.1. Datasets

On January 12th, 2010, an earthquake with a magnitude of 7 on the Richter scale hit Port-au-Prince, capital of Haiti, scrambled. In Port-au-Prince and in the southern areas of Haiti, about 97,294 houses completely destroyed and 188,383 houses have suffered damage [18]. The study area is part of the city of Port-au-Prince is shown in Figure 1. In this study, by Worldview 2 satellite imagery, pre-image acquired on January 16th, 2010, and post-image obtained on October 1st, 2009. The satellite image consists of four multi-spectral bands with a resolution of 2 m and one high-resolution panchromatic band with 0.5 m resolution. Four high-resolution colored bands are used in this algorithm, through integrating the multi-spectral and panchromatic bands. To assess damaged and undamaged buildings use the International Institute UNITAR / UNOSAT data and Earthquake Geospatial Data Dataverse (CGA, Harvard Univ) dataset with visual interpretation [19,20].
Figure 1. The location of the study area

View of the area before and after the earthquake is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The right image is before the earthquake, and the left image is after the earthquake.

2.2. Method

In this study, an approach based on deep learning algorithms and neural networks for monitoring the buildings destroyed by the earthquake is presented. In the way that we’re going to
explain it, based on previous studies on the use of VHR optical data is emphasized. The solution proposed to lack of access to the data before the earthquake [21-23].

Deep learning is said a neural network with a large number of hidden layers to extract many features from raw data. Data can be an image, pixel, signal, and so on. The different architecture of this kind exists today. The number of layers greater (deeper), so the more non-linear characteristics are obtained which is why we are interested in deep learning. Figure 3 shows the general view of the deep learning networks. Unlike deep learning, machine learning extracts features by itself, and they need to identify the characteristics and feature engineering [24-26].

Figure 3. Design of layers of the deep learning network

The UNet algorithm, due to high precision, high-speed processing, and learning, no need for large data sets to learn and complex and expensive hardware, in recent years Popular in detection the objects of the image and image processing has become. The characteristics of this network enable us to overcome two major challenges that we mentioned in the introduction [27,28].
Figure 4. The framework proposed in this paper for monitoring the destruction of buildings in earthquake

2.2.1. Pre-processing

The images collected before and after the event were compiled into a large image. The co-registration procedure was implemented on the pre and post-event images. Bands of pre-post images were sharpened and stacked together [29]. At this stage, each pixel should be assigned a value of zero or one that reflects the state of the destruction of the building. Both images and ground-truth data of building damage were projected into the UTM/WGS84 geo-referenced coordinate system. Theoretically and ideally, the image tiles with the pixel size of an arbitrary \(2^n\) are suitably used as the...
input [30]. When selecting random patches to do the training, we try to choose the patches that more than half of the pixels within them labeled as undamaged or damaged, it is.

2.2.2. Network Architecture and training

U-net originated from Ronneberger in 2015 [31]. The blocks of neural network units of U-net, U-net adopted in this study, and Deep Residual U-net that proposed by Zhang in 2018 are shown in Figure 5 [31-33].

Figure 5. Blocks of neural network units. (a) Neural unit of U-net in this work. (b) Neural unit in Deep Residual U-net. (c) Neural unit in general U-net.

It has already been shown in many studies that normalizing input data on different architectures to accelerate network convergence. The use of the Batch Normalization in deep learning algorithms makes sustainable education and training operations faster network [34]. So Residual Unet network, as well as our proposed network of Batch Normalization, is used. We normalize the input layer by adjusting and scaling the activations. for instance, once we have features from 0 to 1 and a few from 1 to 1000, we should always normalize them to hurry up learning. If the input layer is taking advantage of it, why not do an equivalent thing also for the values within the hidden layers, that are changing all the time, and get 10 times or more improvement in the training speed [35,36].
The max-pooling layer used in general U-net was replaced by a convolutional layer with a stride of 2 because the convolutional layer with increased stride outperforms the max-pooling with regards to several image recognition benchmarks as shown in Figure 5 [37].

To reduce the calculation time cost, we decreased the filter number to 50% of the first. This strategy was recommended in many studies because it was shown useful for remote-sensing recognition tasks [38].

We use a batch size of 25 and a patch size of 256 × 256 pixels for the Unet models. The models were trained for 50 epochs. We trained the network with a learning rate of 0.01 for all epochs. RMSProb is used for parameter optimization that is suitable for large datasets. The employed loss function is cross-entropy.

**Figure 6.** Architecture of the U-net in this study
2.2.3. Development Environment

In this work, we used the Deep Learning Studio (DLS) and Peltarion as the deep learning framework [39,40]. DLS is DeepCognition’s web-based software, that designs networks and trains deep learning algorithms for Windows and Linux. DLS supports deep learning networks for image recognition tasks. All experimentation and modeling environment tasks are implemented in Deep Learning Studio Virtual Machine (DLSVM).

The virtual machine is configured with 32 GB of RAM, a 2.30 GHz 2-core Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU, and a 1.59 GHz NVIDIA Tesla T4 GPU 16GB DDR6 with 50 GB memory [39,40].

The data are preprocessed and analyzed in Python using the GDAL, NumPy, pandas, OpenCV, Scipy, Scikit-image, Scikit-learn, Pillow, MKL, and Tiffile libraries. The deep learning algorithms are achieved in the Deep Learning Studio (DLS) which is a robust GUI, partially free, and easy-to-use framework. It can be used in the cloud or on our infrastructure.

3. Results

In this study, we completed 50 epochs for both the U-net model and deep residual U-net to get the trained building damage recognition model [31,32]. The relation between the cross-entropy loss and the iteration of epochs is shown in Figure 5. Trend graphs in Figure 7 show that our proposed method considerably noticeably improves results. Both networks till epoch 15 are close to each other, and not much difference between them.

![Figure 7. Relation between the loss and the number of epochs during the training.](image)

Here, the U-net has a much lower omission error (28.1% undamaged, and 39.3% damaged) than the deep residual U-net (37.7% undamaged, and 47.2% damaged). The overall accuracy of our proposed approach 69.71 and the overall accuracy of deep residual Unet 62.5%, which is shown the method is proposed in this paper proves the performance of the network. The Kappa value for Unet in this paper is 37.7%.

Some buildings were classified incorrectly, because of the orthographic projection characteristic of the optical remote sensing measurement, the sensor can only record the information on top of each object, and the damage situation under the roof is not reflected. An example is shown in figure 8 [41,42].
The final result of the buildings damage map shown in Figure 9. Although the number of buildings damaged and safe is almost equal, but about three times the pixels dedicated to undamaged building more than buildings have been destroyed. The black area relates to parks, slums, and tents survivors as well as other items that are not within the building kind.

From the availability of data to achieve the final map takes less than 7 hours that very faster than the field inspection and this advantage of this approach.

Figure 8. An example of collapsed buildings in the earthquake which incorrectly classified. The image doesn’t relate to Haiti earthquake.

Figure 9. Final damage Map- The blue colour represents safe buildings, and the red colour represents damaged buildings.
4. Discussion

This model was demonstrated for mapping the earthquake damage, but the framework also works for other hazards such as floods, missile attacks, hurricanes, and many natural and unnatural disasters. To generalize this framework to other tragic event types, the VHR satellite image and corresponding reference data for different disaster types should be used to training the new model. The proposed model is a supervised classification model. It can be simply implemented to react to future hazards after these models are well developed.

The role of building footprint data is to create training data labels. It is considering that the label of land covers the jungle, water, etc. The non-built-up regions are also available, and we can train a new model that does not depend on the building footprint data. From this aspect, the proposed framework does not depend on building footprint data and is a generalized framework.

5. Conclusions

Dominance image processing and artificial intelligence in the field of images of remote sensing, especially with the development of algorithms for deep learning, continually grow, but unlike other issues that improved very significantly, but in remote sensing a little performance increased, so still, need to research and further studies of the potential of the computer world in the field of geographical sciences and image processing used.
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