Effect of Initial Microstructure on Soft Annealing of a Low-Carbon Bainitic Steel
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Abstract: A low-carbon bainitic tool steel exhibiting high hardness after hot rolling typically has poor machinability. To soften this type of steel and to accelerate the soft annealing process, an austenitizing step was designed based on thermodynamic calculations of phase stability and introduced prior to the annealing step. Different initial microstructures were prepared by three austenitizing temperatures (680 °C, 850 °C, 1000 °C) and three cooling methods (water quenching, oil quenching, and air cooling). The effect of initial microstructure on microstructures and hardness was studied. Softening equations, function of annealing temperature and time, were established for different initial microstructures, and the relationships between annealing temperature, annealing time, activation energy and hardness were explored. The predicted hardness was consistent with the measured values. Martensitic structure has a low activation energy for diffusion and a higher softening rate compared to that of the bainitic structure. In addition, the higher carbide content in the bainitic structure, the smaller the activation energy tended to be.
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1. Introduction

The service conditions of drilling tools are very harsh, i.e., heavy abrasion of the rock, the corrosion of soil and water, and the frequent impact of the piston. Simultaneously, it also bears multiplied loads such as tension, torsion, shear and bending stress [1, 2]. These steels are typically bainitic which can easily form during cooling [3-8]. Hence, annealing treatment must be carried out to reduce the hardness for the requirement of machinability.

Usually, an annealing routine is to hold at a temperature near A3 of the steel for a long time to obtain an equilibrium or quasi-equilibrium microstructure. At present, spheroidizing annealing is a commonly used annealing softening process to obtain divorced pearlite [9, 10]. It can significantly reduce the strength and hardness of steel and improve toughness, which is generally used for hypereutectoid steel or high carbon steel as a preliminary heat treatment. According to many studies, the spheroidization rate is very slow [11]. Many attempts have been carried out to accelerate the spheroidization process, such as adding Al element [12], using cyclic heat treatment [13], applying ultra-fast cooling to avoid carbide network [14], adjusting the deformation processing parameters [15], conditioning the initial microstructure before annealing [16], and etc. However, lots of studies are focused on hypereutectoid steel or high carbon steel. And there are few reports on annealing softening of low-carbon steel whose initial microstructure is bainite or martensite.
In this study, a series of austenitizing routines were designed to prepare different microstructures for low-carbon bainitic steel before soft annealing. The effects of initial microstructures on microstructures and hardness were explored and described through the softening equations.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

The material used in this study was a commercial low-carbon bainitic steel named CTHQ25, which was provided by Pangang Jiangyou Changcheng Special Steel Co., Ltd, China. A hot-rolled bar was machined into 12 mm × 12 mm × 6 mm samples by wire cutting. The chemical composition of the steel were listed in Table 1. The Brinell hardness of the steel in the as-received condition was 371 HB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.23~</td>
<td>1.30~</td>
<td>1.30~</td>
<td>0.35~</td>
<td>1.75~</td>
<td>0.50~</td>
<td>0.15~</td>
<td>≤</td>
<td>≤</td>
<td>≤</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to investigate the effect of initial structure on soft annealing, austenitizing was designed with different austenitizing temperatures and cooling methods. Based on the chemical composition of the steel, $A_s$, $A_e$ and VC-solvus temperature were calculated by Thermo-Calc software with TCFE9 database. They were about 650 °C, 790 °C and 950 °C, respectively. Therefore, the austenitizing temperature were selected as 680 °C, 850 °C and 1000 °C. After holding at the austenitizing temperature for 1 h, the samples were cooled by three different cooling methods (water quenching, oil quenching and air cooling) to obtain 9 different initial microstructures. The subsequent annealing process was carried out for 48 h at different annealing temperatures ranging from 500 to 700 °C. The as-received sample was also annealed for comparison.

Samples after heat treatment were ground with 240#-1500# SiC abrasive paper and polished with 0.5μm diamond paste. The morphology of different microstructures was characterized by FEI Sirion 2000 scanning electron microscope after etched by 5% Nital solution. Brinell hardness was measured in 310HBS-3000 hardness tester with a 5mm spherical indenter and applying 750kgf for 15s. The hardness of each sample was taken as the mean of three measurements.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Initial microstructures

Figure 1. (a) SEM micrographs of hot-rolled sample; (b) Enlarged image of the yellow square in (a).

Figure 1 showed the microstructure of the hot-rolled sample. The bainite mainly consists of martensite/retained austenite (M/A) islands and lath-shaped bainitic ferrite as shown in Figure 1(a), corresponding to the Brinell hardness of 371 HB. There were very
few carbides in the bainite due to the high Si content, which inhibits the precipitation of cementite.

Figure 2. SEM micrographs of different initial microstructures obtained by different austenitizing process. (a) 680 °C/1h/AC;(b) 680 °C/1h/OQ;(c) 680 °C/1h/WQ;(d) 850 °C/1h/AC; (e) 850 °C/1h/OQ; (f) 850 °C/1h/WQ; (g) 1000 °C/1h/AC; (h) 1000 °C/1h/OQ; (i) 1000 °C/1h/WQ.

Different initial microstructures after austenitizing were shown in Figure 2. It can be observed that holding at 680 °C can only realize partial austenitization of the hot-rolled samples. The structures mainly consist of bainite ferrite, M/A islands and a few carbides with different cooling methods, which were similar to that of the hot-rolled.

However, it should be noted that some bainitic ferrite laths with high aspect ratio break down during the incomplete austenitizing. When the austenitizing temperature is chosen at 850 or 1000 °C, complete austenitization can be achieved. Therefore, the structure is martensite at higher cooling rates and bainite or a mixture of bainite and martensite at lower cooling rates.
Figure 4. Hardness of different initial microstructures obtained by different austenitizing methods with as-received hot-rolled sample as comparison.

The Brinell hardness testing was performed on different initial microstructures, and the results were shown in Figure 4. For comparison, the Brinell hardness of the as-received hot-rolled steel was also listed. It can be seen that the Brinell hardness of the 1000 °C/WQ, 1000 °C/OQ 850 °C/AC samples were higher than 500 HB, typical hardness of martensite. The hardness of 850 °C/OQ was 487 HB, which lies between the hardness of bainite and martensite. The hardness of the other specimens were close to 400 HB, which were slightly higher than the hardness of the hot-rolled sample, corresponding to the bainite structure.

3.2 Soft annealing

When annealed at 680 °C and 700 °C for 48 h, the softening effect of all initial structures was the most significant, and the hardness of some initial microstructures were reduced to less than 260 HB, criterion for good machinability. The ideal annealed structure with lower hardness is a mixed structure of quasi-equiaxed ferrite and granular carbides, as shown in Figure 5(a). Comparing Figure 5(a) and (b), it can be found that when the annealing temperature is 680 °C, the initial microstructure has little effect on hardness and structure after annealing. The microstructures of 680 °C/1h/AC and 850 °C/1h/AC after annealing were equiaxed ferrite and granular carbides, and the corresponding hardness were 249 HB and 247 HB, respectively. When the annealing temperature is 700 °C, higher percentage of M/A islands in the initial microstructure, such as 850 °C/1h/AC, results in higher hardness after annealing. And partially decomposed M/A islands still remain, as shown in Figure 5(d).
3.3 Soft annealing equation

The softening equations as a function of λ-value were established for different initial microstructures based on the hardness data from experiments. The microstructure evolution during annealing was the result of the diffusion of atoms at the thermally activated state. Hence, the reaction rate could be described by Arrhenius equation. The amount of reaction C for a certain time can be described by the following expression,

$$C = vt = At \exp \left( -\frac{Q}{RT} \right)$$

where $Q$ is the activation energy (J/mol), $R$ is gas constant, $T$ is annealing temperature (K), and $t$ is the annealing time (h). The value of activation energy reflects the barrier of phase transformation. The higher activation energy implies lower barrier for phase transformation to occur. Equation (1) can be rewritten as,

$$\log C = \log t - \left( \frac{Q}{2.3RT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{T} \right) + \log A$$

where the logA is constant, it can be an arbitrary value in theory. To avoid negative value of logC, logA is taken as 50. If logC = λ, then:

$$\lambda = \log t - \left( \frac{Q}{2.3RT} \right) \left( \frac{1}{T} \right) + 50$$

Obviously, λ is a physical parameter related to the amount of solid phase reaction, and the magnitude of this value directly determines the annealing process of steel. The hardness of steel after annealing $H$ is a function of $\lambda$. Using cubic polynomial expansion to fit $f(H)$, then:

$$f(H) = C + C_1 \log H + C_2 \log^2 H + C_3 \log^3 H = \log t - \left( \frac{Q}{19.12T} \right) + 50$$

After re-arrangement, we have:
\[ \log t = C + C_1 \log H + C_2 \log^2 H + C_3 \log^3 H + \left( \frac{Q}{19.12} \right) \frac{1}{T} - 50 \]  

(5)

where, \( C, C_1, C_2, C_3 \) and \( Q \) are constant.

Assuming

\[ x_0 = 1, x_1 = \log H, x_2 = \log^2 M, x_3 = \log^3 M, \]

\[ x_4 = \frac{1}{T}, C_0 = C - 50, C_4 = \frac{Q}{19.12}, y = \log t \]

then the soft annealing function can be expressed as the following:

\[ \hat{y} = C_0 x_0 + C_1 x_1 + C_2 x_2 + C_3 x_3 + C_4 x_4 \]  

(6)

To get the unknown quantities, \( n \) sets of annealing experiments at different temperatures and different times for each initial microstructure were needed. Substituting the \( n \) experimental data \((T, t, H)\) into the equation (6), \( n \) linear equations can be obtained as follows:

\[ y_1 = C_0 x_{10} + C_1 x_{11} + C_2 x_{12} + C_3 x_{13} + C_4 x_{14} \]

\[ y_2 = C_0 x_{20} + C_1 x_{21} + C_2 x_{22} + C_3 x_{23} + C_4 x_{24} \]

\[ \vdots \]

\[ y_n = C_0 x_{n0} + C_1 x_{n1} + C_2 x_{n2} + C_3 x_{n3} + C_4 x_{n4} \]  

(7)

According to the solution of the five-element linear equations, it can be transformed into a coefficient over-determined matrix, and the values \( C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 \) can be obtained. To verify the validity of soft annealing equation, a comparison between the calculated hardness and measured value after annealing was performed for hot-rolled samples. It was shown that the calculated value is generally in good agreement with the experimental value, and the correlation coefficient is 0.92. The coefficients of soft annealing equations for all initial microstructures were listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Soft Annealing equation of different microstructure and the corresponding correlation coefficients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing (austenitizing temperature/holding time/cooling methods(^1))</th>
<th>Soft Annealing equation ( (\log t = C_0 + C_1 \log H + C_2 \log^2 H + \log^3 H + \frac{C_4}{T}) )</th>
<th>( R )</th>
<th>Initial microstructure(^2)</th>
<th>( Q ) (J/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hot-rolled</td>
<td>2381.6</td>
<td>-2928.1</td>
<td>1199.7</td>
<td>-163.9609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680°C/1h/AC</td>
<td>4471.2</td>
<td>-5526.5</td>
<td>2276.9</td>
<td>-312.8366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680°C/1h/OQ</td>
<td>4405.3</td>
<td>-5409.8</td>
<td>2214.7</td>
<td>-302.3671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>680°C/1h/WQ</td>
<td>3873.1</td>
<td>-4761.2</td>
<td>1951.3</td>
<td>-266.7286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850°C/1h/AC</td>
<td>2570.8</td>
<td>-3198.7</td>
<td>1326.7</td>
<td>-183.5172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850°C/1h/OQ</td>
<td>3363.7</td>
<td>-4193.5</td>
<td>1742.1</td>
<td>-241.3281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850°C/1h/WQ</td>
<td>3390.7</td>
<td>-4197.9</td>
<td>1732.2</td>
<td>-238.2545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000°C/1h/AC</td>
<td>2453.9</td>
<td>-3034.3</td>
<td>1250.5</td>
<td>-171.8882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000°C/1h/OQ</td>
<td>3873.1</td>
<td>-3870.7</td>
<td>1584.5</td>
<td>-216.2508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000°C/1h/WQ</td>
<td>3054.1</td>
<td>-3754.3</td>
<td>1538.2</td>
<td>-210.0959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) AC represents air cooling, OQ represents oil quenching, WQ represents water quenching;
Br represents bainite ferrite, M/A represents martensite/retained austenite islands and Cem represents carbides in initial microstructure.

Once the soft annealing equation of a certain initial microstructure was obtained through limited number of experiments, it can be utilized to predict the required holding time at a specific annealing temperature or the required annealing temperature at a specific holding time for a desired hardness. Hence, the window of annealing process can be determined, and experimental verification can be preferentially performed around the predicted value, thereby reducing the number of experiments.

3.4 Effect of initial microstructure on activation energy

In soft annealing equation, \( C_1 = Q/19.22 \), where \( Q \) is the diffusion activation energy. The higher the value of \( Q \), the greater the energy required for atomic diffusion, and the more difficult to soften the steel during annealing.

According to Table 2, the martensitic structure typically has an activation energy less than 50 kJ/mol. Whereas, initial microstructures that are bainitic correspond to activation energies greater than 50 kJ/mol.

By comparing the bainitic microstructure features, it was found that the content of M/A islands and carbides influence the activation energy of bainite. For example, the initial structure of 850°C/1h/AC and 1000°C/1h/AC has lower carbide content, and the corresponding activation energy is higher. In contrast, if the initial structure contains pre-existing carbides, they will act as the core for spheroidization in subsequent annealing process. In addition, the content of martensite and retained austenite in the M/A island also affect the soft annealing of bainite. When the content of retained austenite in the M/A island is large, the barrier of bainite decomposition is greater. It was verified by that the activation energy of 1000°C/1h/AC is much lower than that of other bainitic initial microstructure.

4. Conclusions

In summary, based on the thermodynamic calculation of phase stability, the austenitizing route before annealing was designed and the different initial microstructures were prepared. The subsequent annealing was carried out to study the effect of initial microstructures on annealing hardness. The \( \lambda \) parameter method was used to obtain the soft annealing equations for different initial microstructures, which quantitatively describe the relationship between annealing hardness, holding time, annealing temperature, and diffusion activation energy. In comparison, the diffusion activation energy of bainite is greater than that of martensite. And the content of M/A islands and carbides in bainite can also influence the activation energy of the bainitic structures.
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