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Piezoelectric ultrasonic micromotor
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Abstract: Ultrasonic motors are characterized by low speed and high torque operation, without the need of gear trains. They can be compact and lightweight and they can also work in the absence of applied loads, due to the frictional coupling between the rotor and the stator induced by the traveling wave. In this work, we discuss a concept design based on thin piezoelectric films, sol-gel directly deposited onto a silicon substrate to provide high-torque motors compatible with wafer integration technologies. Due to the large dielectric constants and the enhanced breakdown strengths of thin piezoelectric films, such ultrasonic micromotors can lead to meaningful improvements over electrostatic ones in terms of energy density. As far as the fabrication of the micromotor at the mm-scale is concerned, an integrated approach is proposed with significant improvements regarding: the comb-tooth structure, to maximize/optimize the motor torque; a back and front etch lithographic process; the design of the electrodes, which provide the electric signal at the central anchor of the stator, taking advantage of low temperature soldering. The proposed design has been assessed through multiphysics simulations, carried out to evaluate the resonant behavior of the stator and the motor performance in terms of angular velocity, torque and output power, and it is shown to lead to promising results.
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Traveling wave ultrasonic motors

- Rotation is exploited because of the stator traveling wave vibration
- High frequency PZT actuation
- Traveling wave vibration
- Frictional contact

Map of the vertical displacement during time

Is miniaturization possible?
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Eisenhaure, 2015
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Stator design

- Fabrication of a comb-tooth structure to enhance rotor motion
- Contact pads that work as an anchor for the stator
- PZT thin film deposition on the bottom with a specific electrode pattern

PZT layer:
- $t = 2 \, \mu m$
- $V_{max} = -30 \, V$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radius [µm]</th>
<th>Thickness [µm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stator</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor</td>
<td>1150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZT layer</td>
<td>250 &lt; r &lt; 1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth</td>
<td>1000 &lt; r &lt; 1100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fabrication process

Front
- PZT stack
- DRIE/deep etching
- Top view

Back
- Deep etching
- Die soldering
- Lapping/etching

Assembly

or
Stator resonance behavior – FE model

COMSOL Multiphysics is used for the simulations:

• Rotor is free to rotate at 5um vertical displacement from the stator
• Stator is fixed at the center
Stator resonance behavior

Modal analysis with zero applied voltage, to define:

- Working frequency = 49kHz
- Mode shape = B03 (3 nodal diameters)

4 out-of-phase monopolar voltage signals to avoid repoling of the PZT thin film

\[ V(t) = \begin{cases} 
-30 \sin \left( 2\pi ft + n \frac{\pi}{2} \right) & \text{if } V(t) < 0 \\
0 & \text{if } V(t) \geq 0 
\end{cases} \]

Electrode design, 12 PZT elements

BXY with X=n° of nodal circles and Y=n° of nodal diameters
Stator resonance behavior – elliptical motion

- Stator teeth describe an elliptical trajectory caused by the traveling wave.
- **Out-of-plane** displacement ensures a solid contact by generating a normal contact force.
- **In-plane** displacement is what generates motion by sweeping the rotor and providing tangential forces.

Traveling wave motion

**elliptical trajectory of a tooth**
Stator resonance behavior – vertical displacement

- Interference with other resonant modes when geometric nonlinearities are considered due to:
  - Actuation voltage
  - Similar mode shape

- Monopolar actuation leads to greater deflection towards \(-z\)

- Time average \(w\)-displacement is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Stationary</th>
<th>Time dependent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linear</td>
<td>-3.68 (\mu)m</td>
<td>-3.35 (\mu)m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonlinear</td>
<td>-3.69 (\mu)m</td>
<td>-3.27 (\mu)m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vertical disp. vs time

freq. spectrum
Stator resonance behavior – vertical displacement

- When damping is considered, interference with other resonant modes is reduced and narrower peaks are obtained in the frequency spectrum.
The rotor is considered as a rigid body made of silicon. Angular velocities and displacement b.c.:

- \( \omega_x^G = \omega_y^G = 0 \)
- \( u^G = v^G = 0; \ w^G \sim 0 \)

Coulomb’s friction model (Si-Si)

- Static friction: \( \mu = \mu_{stat} \)
- Dynamic/sliding friction:
  \( \mu = \mu_{dyn} + (\mu_{dyn} - \mu_{static}) \exp(-|v_{slip}|) \)

Geometric nonlinearities are considered

Rotor-stator initial gap: 5 µm
Performance – stator behavior

- The contact is limiting the displacement in the $+z$ direction
- Tens of mN of total normal force are detected, still discontinuous.
- $\text{torque} = \tau = \mu R F_z \sim \mu \text{Nm}$
Performance – static friction

- \( \mu = 0.4 \)
- \( \omega_{\text{steady}} = 13500 \text{ rpm} \)
- \( \tau_{\text{max}} = 4 \mu\text{Nm} \)
- \( P_{\text{mech}}^{\text{max}} = 4 \text{ mW} \)

\[ p_{\text{mech}} = \tau \omega \]

\[ \text{torque} = \tau = I \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} \]
Performance – dynamic friction

- $0.2 \leq \mu \leq 0.4$
- $\omega_{max} = 12500$ rpm
- $\tau_{max} = 3 \mu$Nm
- $p_{max}^{mech} = 3$ mW

NB: only solutions with positive acceleration are considered to compute the torque and output power
Performance – dynamic friction & damping

- $0.2 \leq \mu \leq 0.4$
- Damping
- $\omega_{max} = 9800$ rpm
- $\omega \sim 5000$ rpm
- Unstable velocity due to interference with other resonant modes
- Nonlinearities
- Contact-induced vibrations
- Simplified damping description
- Voltage actuation
Conclusions

• Extremely competitive if compared with other devices (2019).
• Design refinement needed to further improve the performance.
• Microfabrication and assembly issues to be faced.
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