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Syllogistic reasoning is one of the major research domains in cognitive science. Syllogisms are quantified semi-logical statements that consist of two premises, each relating two terms by one quantifier out of “All”, “No”, “Some”, and “Some not”. While one of the terms is mentioned in both premises, one is interested in what conclusion can be drawn about the relationship between the other two terms. For example, a well-formed syllogism task is “If all A are B and no B is a C, what, if anything, follows about the relationship between A and C?” While some syllogism tasks have a logically valid conclusion (in the example above, “No A is a C.” is logically valid), some have not, like “If all A are B and some B are C, what follows about A and C?” In cognitive science, human responses to syllogism tasks are studied in order to better understand the human understanding of quantification and uncertainty in reasoning.

In order to predict human responses to syllogism tasks, we develop a probabilistic model of syllogisms based on the principle of maximum entropy. For this, we translate the premises of syllogisms into probabilistic conditional statements and derive the probability distribution that satisfies the conditional probabilities while having maximal entropy. Then, we calculate the probabilities of all possible conclusions and compare them with the respective quantifier. As a prediction, we basically choose the option with the best matching. Based on empirical data, we show that our maximum entropy model predicts human responses better than established cognitive models.
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