

1 Proceedings

2 Estimating the exposure levels of Quercus pollen: A case study 3 in the greater area of Thessaloniki, Greece.

4 Serafim Kontos ^{1, *}, Dafni Parliari ², Sofia Papadogiannaki ³ and Dimitrios Melas ⁴

5 ¹ Laboratory of Atmospheric Physics, School of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki
6 54124, Greece; mkontos@auth.gr

7 ² Laboratory of Atmospheric Physics, School of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki
8 54124, Greece; dparliar@physics.auth.gr

9 ³ Laboratory of Atmospheric Physics, School of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki
10 54124, Greece; spapadog@physics.auth.gr

11 ⁴ Laboratory of Atmospheric Physics, School of Physics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki
12 54124, Greece; melas@auth.gr

13 * Correspondence: mkontos@auth.gr
14

15 **Abstract:** In this study the exposure levels from Quercus pollen in the greater area of Thessaloniki
16 are estimated. The estimation is implemented with a modeling system, comprising the meteorolo-
17 gical model WRF, the Natural Emissions Model (NEMO) for the calculation of the Quercus pollen
18 emissions and the chemistry-transport model CAMx for the advection and the deposition of the
19 pollen particles. The period of 2016 with the highest potential is selected, based on the available
20 measurements for the area of interest. The modeling system is evaluated with meteorological and
21 pollen measurements, as well on the expected exposure levels, indicating a satisfactory overall
22 performance. The modeling system is finally utilized for the estimation of exposure levels in the
23 greater area of Thessaloniki, showing that the city of is not going to experience significant number
24 of days with high Quercus pollen concentrations, but other smaller cities and towns might be af-
25 fected.

26 **Keywords:** pollen; Quercus; exposure; NEMO; WRF; CAMx

27

28 1. Introduction

29 Pollen has a significant impact on human health, because of its allergenic subsistence
30 [1]. They trigger a plethora of clinical diseases, such as hay fever and asthma [2]. Several
31 epidemiological studies have shown that aeroallergen pollen can sensitize up to 50% of
32 the population, especially on the westernized societies [3-7]. Current estimations in Eu-
33 rope indicate that 170 million citizens suffer from asthma and allergenic rhinitis, with
34 more than half of Europe's population to expected to suffer from asthma by 2025 [8],
35 while more than 300 million suffer from asthma and around half a billion from allergenic
36 rhinitis [9]. The above emphasize the need for the monitoring and the forecasting of al-
37 lergenic pollen, in order to protect the population and to improve the quality of life.

38 Several attempts have been made to monitor and forecast the pollen concentrations
39 for various taxa. The approaches followed are either observation or process based mod-
40 els. The methods utilized are usually observation-based, correlating daily meteorological
41 and pollen concentrations [10,11] in order to forecast the pollen intensity and the pollen
42 concentrations. The process-based models on the other hand incorporate the physics of
43 pollen emissions, as well their advection and deposition [12-19]. Although such models
44 are more suitable for the estimation of the pollen, since observation-based are prone to
45 problems related with the variable meteorological fields, their exploitation has started
46 just recently.

In Europe a significant effort is undertaken by Copernicus Atmospheric Monitoring Service, utilizing several models that estimate the surface pollen concentrations from specific taxa, aiming on the protection of EU population [20,21]. Although useful, these products have three disadvantages: 1) they don't provide pollen for taxa that might be significant for a specific area. Such case is Quercus, which is abundant in the Mediterranean countries, like Spain and Greece [22]. 2) the models provide fields at 10km spatial resolution, which might suffer from local meteorological conditions, such as mountain breezes [21] and 3) they don't provide exposure maps, an information easily understood by non-scientists.

The aim of this study is the creation of a high resolution modeling system, which will be able to predict with accuracy the Quercus surface pollen concentrations and the respective exposure levels. The greater area of Thessaloniki in Greece has been selected for this purpose for the year 2016. The modeling system consists of three models in series: a) the meteorological model WRF which will drive the pollen emissions, as well the advection of pollen [23], b) the Natural Emissions MOdel (NEMO) for the Quercus pollen emissions [24-26] and c) the photochemical model CAMx for the advection and the deposition [27]. The next section describes the modeling system, the measurements and the evaluation method followed. Section 3 presents the evaluation of the modeling systems' output for the meteorological fields, the Quercus pollen concentrations and the exposure levels of the selected period. In Section 4 the spatial distribution of the exposure levels is discussed, while in Section 5 the main conclusions are summarized.

2. Materials and Methods

3.1. Modeling system

The meteorological conditions for the studied period over the greater area of Thessaloniki are produced with WRF v4.1 [23]. Three domains are incorporated in a nested way, as seen in Fig. 1. The first domain (d01) covers the largest part of Europe with 18km spatial resolution, the second (d02) the southeastern Mediterranean with 6km and the third (d03) which covers the studied area with 2km. The ERA-5 are utilized for the initial and boundary conditions [28]. The simulations with WRF are performed in 4-days intervals, with 12-hours spin-up, producing hourly meteorological fields. The physics schemes used for these simulations are based on previous validated set up for the greater area of Thessaloniki [29].




Figure 1. Modeling domains' of the study.

For the calculation of the Quercus pollen emissions the Natural Emissions MOdel (NEMO) will be used [24-26]. NEMO is driven by WRF meteorological fields, producing biogenic, sea salt and desert dust emissions on hourly basis. In the framework of this study, NEMO is updated to include Quercus pollen emissions. The modifications made

within NEMO include two components: a) the yearly available pollen grains/m², referred here as pollen emission potential (PEP) and b) the physical mechanisms describing the pollen emissions. The derivation of the available Quercus pollen grains is realized with the aid of Quercus area fractions, provided by European Forest Inventory [30] at 1km spatial resolution. The area fractions of the Quercus taxa are then multiplied by 457x10⁷ pollen grains/crown m², deriving the final yearly available pollen grains. Then, the resultant PEP is reprojected to the three domain using the first-order area weighting method of CDO [31].

Concerning the physical mechanisms which will describe the pollen emissions, the scheme of Helbig [12] has been chosen, with the inhibition factors of precipitation, wind and relative humidity from Sofiev [17]. The fraction of PEP for each day is calculated by through a gaussian distribution, following the phenology of Quercus from the available observations in Thessaloniki [18]. For the latter, the starting, the date of maximum and ending date of pluming is needed. Based on the observations and the 95% method for the derivation of phenology [32], the starting date corresponds at 23th of March, the maximum at 17th of April and the ending date to 15th of May for the year 2016. Baring in mind the Quercus phenology, this period (23 March-15 May) is selected to perform the simulations with the modeling system.

The equation of pollen emissions within NEMO takes the following:

$$E_{pollen}(x, y, t) = c_b f_w f_r f_h \gamma \frac{PEP}{\Delta z} u^* \quad (1)$$

Where γ is the daily fraction of PEP, u^* is the friction velocity, f_w , f_r and f_h are the inhibition factors for wind, rain and humidity, as described in [17], Δz the first layer of the modeling system, equal to 28m in this study and c_b is a constant equal to 10⁻⁴ [12].

For the simulation period mentioned above, the meteorological fields derived with WRF are introduced in the updated NEMO, and the Quercus pollen emission fields are produced. Then, the chemistry-transport model CAMx [27] is utilized for the estimation of the surface pollen concentration in the greater area of Thessaloniki. The simulations are performed with CAMx at INERT mode (no chemistry), using a particles' diameter of 28 μ m and density of 1040 kg/m³ [33]. The advection scheme of the Bott [34], the dry deposition of Zhang [35] and the wet deposition of Seinfeld and Pandis [36] were selected for this study, while zero initial and boundary conditions were applied. CAMx produces 3-D hourly concentrations of the applied substances (i.e. pollen), and these will be used also for the evaluation of the modeling system, as well for the derivation of the exposure maps.

3.2. Measurements and evaluation

In order to test the reliability of the modeling system and the resultant exposure levels, an evaluation of meteorology, Quercus pollen concentrations and of the exposure levels. Available daily measurements of meteorological parameters and concentration levels will be utilized near the urban fabric of Thessaloniki. Concerning the meteorology, the station of the National Observatory of Athens (NOA) in Thessaloniki is used (40°35'07.9"N 22°56'15.2"E), provided by the CLIMPACT project [37]. The available meteorological variables used for the evaluation are the wind speed and the relative humidity, since these can promote or inhibit the pollen emission.

The respective daily Quercus pollen concentrations are taken from a station located at the Department of Biology at the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki (40°38'00.2"N 22°57'27.0"E), at 30m a.g.l. This station operates continuously for more than 30 years [38,39], using a Bukard sampler, the standard pollen monitoring method [22,40]. Data from this station are used also to evaluate the exposure levels associate with the Quercus concentrations.

The derivation of the exposure levels from Quercus pollen is implemented with the aid of the method proposed by the Spanish aerobiology network (REA) [41], an area with similar Mediterranean climate, in lack of similar information for the Greek population in Thessaloniki. This method defines three exposure levels for Quercus pollen: a) Low, with concentrations between 1-50 grains/m³, b) Medium with concentrations between 51-200 grains/m³ and c) High for concentrations greater than 200 grains/m³.

In light of a potential human health impact, the evaluation should be performed for the days where the pollen concentrations are significant. Although a threshold of more than 5% of the pollen intensity has been proposed for Thessaloniki [22], preliminary tests for the studied period shown that a value of 1% is more suitable, at least for Quercus pollen, reflecting the most significant concentrations (see also Fig. 3). Thus, the evaluation will be performed for the simulation period for the daily pollen concentrations exceeding 1% of the total pollen intensity. Standard statistical metrics are utilized for the evaluation of the two meteorological variables and the Quercus pollen concentrations, namely the mean bias (MB), mean absolute error (MAE), Pearson correlation (R) and the Index of Agreement (IOA), which gives the overall performance. For the evaluation of exposure levels, the multi-categorical confusion matrix is used, as well the sensitivities and specificities for each exposure level.

3. Results

The timeseries of the two meteorological variables are illustrated in Figure 2. For both variables WRF is capable of simulating the patterns in Thessaloniki stations. For the wind speed, although the model captures the maxima, shows a tendency to overestimate the wind speed, especially for the case of 20th of April (Fig. 2a). On the other hand, the relative humidity is well captured by the modeling system, both in terms of pattern as well of magnitude. The statistical metrics depicts similar results, as seen in Table 1. The wind speed overestimates by 0.89 m/s (MB), with a MAE of 1.25 m/s, while WRF slightly underestimates by 3%, with the respective MAE at ~6.4%. The satisfactory correlation for both meteorological variables pinpoints exactly the capturing of their daily variability. Concerning the evaluated meteorological variables, the modeling system performs well overall, with IOA at 0.77 and 0.83 for wind speed and relative humidity respectively.




Figure 2. Timeseries of the daily mean observed and simulated WRF: (a) wind speed (in m/s); (b) relative humidity (in %).

Figures 3 present the timeseries of the observed and simulated Quercus pollen concentrations. The modeling system closely follows the pattern of the observed concentrations, reproducing the peaks of 14th and 20th of April. In general, the modeling system shows a slight underestimation, especially for the cases where the concentrations are lower, like the 17th of April and between 2-5th of this month. This might be due to the overestimation of wind speed shown before, leading eventually to lower concentrations. The previous results are also evident on the statistical metrics in Table 1. The modeling

system underestimates by 38 grains/m³, with a MAE ~72 grains/m³. The satisfactory pattern is in the timeseries of Fig. 3 is also depicted by the good correlation in Table 1. Overall, in terms of pollen concentrations, the modeling system performs very well, as indicated also by IOA (0.9).




Figure 3. Timeseries of the observed and simulated Quercus pollen concentrations.

Table 1. Metrics for the evaluation of the modeling system with the on-site daily measured wind speed, relative humidity and Quercus pollen concentrations. The statistics used are the Mean Bias (MB), Pearson correlation coefficient (R), Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and the Index of Agreement (IOA).

variable	MB	MAE	R	IOA
Wind speed (m/s)	0.89	1.25	0.77	0.77
Rel. humidity (%)	-3.00	6.37	0.72	0.83
Quercus conc. (pollen grains/m ³)	-37.89	72.14	0.83	0.90

Table 2. presents the multi-categorical confusion matrix, the sensitivities and the specificities for the exposure levels, as attributed by the modeling system for Thessaloniki station. It is seen from the sensitivities that the modeling system is able to reproduce the Low and High exposure levels. The latter is of great importance, since the most severe allergenic reactions are expected at this level [22]. For the case of the Medium exposure level, the modeling system underestimates it, where in seven cases the model predicted Low, while in reality the actual exposure level was Medium. The source of this error is associated with the respective underestimation of the Quercus pollen concentrations (MB) and the magnitude of the error (MAE) found previously, which is comparable with the Low exposure level interval (1-50 grains/m³). The overall sensitivity was found to be ~0.71 and the specificity ~0.77, showing that the modeling system satisfactorily attributes the exposure levels for Quercus.

Table 2. Multi-categorical confusion matrix and calculated sensitivities and specificities for the three exposure levels.

	Predicted Low	Predicted Medium	Predicted High	Sensitivity	Specificity
Actual Low	1	0	0	1.00	0.70
Actual Medium	7	8	2	0.47	0.71
Actual High	0	2	4	0.67	0.89

4. Discussion

The evaluated modeling system can be used to investigate the expected exposure levels in the greater area of Thessaloniki, through the percentage of days for one. Figure 4a, 4b and 4c show the days of each exposure level for the studied year. Low exposure levels in the greater area, as well in the city of Thessaloniki, consist 5-7% of days of the year (Fig. 4a). The respective percentages for the Medium level in the city are found to be 2-2.5%, while the largest percentages (more than 4%) are present in Halkidiki and Larisa province at the eastern and southwestern of the domain respectively.

The High exposure levels for Quercus in Fig. 4c present the highest percentages at the western flank of the domain, exceeding 5% in areas where several smaller cities and towns are located, with population between 5,000 and 30,000. These people might be expected to have allergic symptoms and reactions for a significant amount of time during the spring, only because of Quercus pollen. In Thessaloniki the percentages are lower (1-2%), which is consistent with the results of a campaign took place during 2012-2013 [22]. Comparing the previous result with other cities, it can be found that the percentage is region dependent. For example in Guadalajara of Spain and in Funchal of Portugal [42,43] the number of high exposure days was less than 5% of the year, while in Toledo of Spain [44] and in Montpellier of France (<https://www.pollens.fr>) was more than 5%, all having in common the Mediterranean climate. Thus, city-specific research is needed when the assessment of the exposure levels is of interest.




Figure 4. Percentage of days of the year with (a) Low; (b) .Medium and (c) High exposure level and of the greater area of Thessaloniki.

4. Conclusions

In this study a modeling system has been constructed, aiming on the accurate prediction of the Quercus pollen concentrations, as well on the exposure levels. The modeling system was evaluated over certain meteorological variables, related to the pollen production/inhibition, the Quercus pollen concentrations and the expected exposure levels for the phonological period of the year 2016. Concerning the evaluated meteo-

1 logical variables, the modeling system showed a satisfactory overall performance for
2 both wind speed and relative humidity, with a slight overestimation and underestima-
3 tion respectively. The performance on the attributed exposure was also satisfactory for
4 the Low and especially for the High level, where the most severe allergenic reactions,
5 with an overall satisfactory performance. For the Medium level, the modeling system
6 showed an underestimation, attributing seven cases to Low, as a result of the underes-
7 timation of the pollen concentrations. The days of the year for each exposure level was
8 also studied, in order to investigate the locations where the Quercus pollen might have a
9 human health impact. In accordance with a previous campaign for the city of Thessalo-
10 niki, the days of the year with high risk were less than 5%, but smaller cities and towns
11 westwards might be affected for more than 5% of the year from high Quercus pollen
12 concentrations. Intercomparison with previous studies indicate that city-specific research
13 might be needed when the assessment of the exposure levels is of interest.

14
15
16 **Author Contributions:** “Conceptualization, Serafim.Kontos; methodology, Serafim.Kontos.; soft-
17 ware, Serafim.Kontos.; validation, Serafim.Kontos., Dafni.Parliari. and Sofia.Papadogiannaki; for-
18 mal analysis, Serafim.Kontos; investigation, Serafim.Kontos; writing—original draft preparation,
19 Serafim.Kontos; writing—review and editing, Dafni.Parliari, Sofia.Papadogiannaki and Dimitri-
20 os.Melas; visualization, Serafim.Kontos; supervision, Dimitrios.Melas. All authors have read and
21 agreed to the published version of the manuscript.”

22 **Funding:** “This research was co-funded by the Greek government and the European Union (Eu-
23 ropean Social Fund-ESF) through the Operational Programme «Human Resources Development,
24 Education and Lifelong Learning 2014 - 2020» in the context of the project “Study of the Aeroal-
25 lergen Pollen in the Atmosphere (MAGYA)” (MIS 5047904).”

26 **Informed Consent Statement:** “Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the
27 study.”

28
29 **Acknowledgments:** We would like to acknowledge the Municipality of Thessaloniki for the aero-
30 biological information from the Department of Biology of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki
31 (<https://opendata.thessaloniki.gr/el/dataset>), which is made available here under the Open Data-
32 base License (ODbL).

33 **Conflicts of Interest:** “The authors declare no conflict of interest.”

References

1. D'amato, G.; Cecchi, L.; Bonini, S.; Nunes, C.; Annesi-Maesano, I.; Behrendt, H.; Liccardi, G.; Popov, T.; Van Cauwenberge, P. Allergenic pollen and pollen allergy in Europe. *Allergy* **2007**, *62*, 976-990.
2. Taylor, P.E.; Flagan, R.C.; Valenta, R.; Glovsky, M.M. Release of allergens as respirable aerosols: a link between grass pollen and asthma. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **2002**, *109*, 51-56.
3. Bachert, C.; Van Cauwenberge, P.; Olbrecht, J.; Van Schoor, J. Prevalence, classification and perception of allergic and nonallergic rhinitis in Belgium. *Allergy* **2006**, *61*, 693-698.
4. Bauchau, V.; Durham, S. Prevalence and rate of diagnosis of allergic rhinitis in Europe. *European Respiratory Journal* **2004**, *24*, 758-764.
5. Bousquet, J.; Khaltaev, N.; Cruz, A.A.; Denburg, J.; Fokkens, W.; Togias, A.; Zuberbier, T.; Baena-Cagnani, C.E.; Canonica, G.; Van Weel, C. Allergic rhinitis and its impact on asthma (ARIA) 2008. *Allergy* **2008**, *63*, 8-160.
6. Katelaris, C.H.; Lee, B.W.; Potter, P.C.; Maspero, J.F.; Cingi, C.; Lopatin, A.; Saffer, M.; Xu, G.; Walters, R.D.J.C.; Allergy, E. Prevalence and diversity of allergic rhinitis in regions of the world beyond Europe and North America. **2012**, *42*, 186-207.
7. Long, A.; McFadden, C.; DeVine, D.; Chew, P.; Kupelnick, B.; Lau, J. Management of allergic and nonallergic rhinitis. *Evidence report/technology assessment (Summary)* **2002**, 1-6.
8. Manifesto, A. Tackling the allergy crisis in Europe—concerted policy action needed. Available at EAACI website: <https://www.eaaci.org/outreach/public-declarations/3243-advocacy-manifesto-tackling-the-allergy-crisis-in-europe,-2015.html>. (Accessed May 2019) **2015**.
9. Collaborators, G. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. **2018**.
10. Charalampopoulos, A.; Damialis, A.; Tsiripidis, I.; Mavrommatis, T.; Halley, J.M.; Vokou, D.J.A. Pollen production and circulation patterns along an elevation gradient in Mt Olympos (Greece) National Park. **2013**, *29*, 455-472.
11. Damialis, A.; Fotiou, C.; Halley, J.M.; Vokou, D. Effects of environmental factors on pollen production in anemophilous woody species. *Trees* **2011**, *25*, 253-264.
12. Helbig, N.; Vogel, B.; Vogel, H.; Fiedler, F.J.A. Numerical modelling of pollen dispersion on the regional scale. **2004**, *20*, 3-19.
13. Hidalgo, P.J.; Mangin, A.; Galán, C.; Hembise, O.; Vázquez, L.M.; Sanchez, O. An automated system for surveying and forecasting Olea pollen dispersion. *Aerobiologia* **2002**, *18*, 23-31.
14. Hunt, J.; Carruthers, D.; Kilbane-Dawe, I. Developments in short range atmospheric dispersion modelling. In Proceedings of the Proc. Workshop on Reliability of Atmospheric Dispersion Models (Oct. 2001), 2002.
15. Liu, L.; Solmon, F.; Vautard, R.; Hamaoui-Laguel, L.; Torma, C.Z.; Giorgi, F.J.B. Ragweed pollen production and dispersion modelling within a regional climate system, calibration and application over Europe. **2015**, *13*, 2769-2786.
16. Sofiev, M.; Berger, U.; Prank, M.; Vira, J.; Arteta, J.; Belmonte, J.; Bergmann, K.-C.; Chéroux, F.; Elbern, H.; Friese, E. MACC regional multi-model ensemble simulations of birch pollen dispersion in Europe. *Atmospheric chemistry and physics* **2015**, *15*, 8115-8130.
17. Sofiev, M.; Siljamo, P.; Ranta, H.; Linkosalo, T.; Jaeger, S.; Rasmussen, A.; Rantio-Lehtimäki, A.; Severova, E.; Kukkonen, J.J.I.j.o.b. A numerical model of birch pollen emission and dispersion in the atmosphere. Description of the emission module. **2013**, *57*, 45-58.
18. Wozniak, M.C.; Steiner, A.L. A prognostic pollen emissions model for climate models (PECM1.0). *Geoscientific Model Development* **2017**, *10*, 4105.

- 1 19. Zhang, R.; Duhl, T.; Salam, M.T.; House, J.M.; Flagan, R.C.; Avol, E.L.; Gilliland, F.D.; Guenther, A.; Chung, S.H.; Lamb,
2 B.K. Development of a regional-scale pollen emission and transport modeling framework for investigating the impact of
3 climate change on allergic airway disease. *Biogeosciences (Online)* **2014**, *10*, 3977.
- 4 20. Marécal, V.; Peuch, V.-H.; Andersson, C.; Andersson, S.; Arteta, J.; Beekmann, M.; Benedictow, A.; Bergström, R.;
5 Bessagnet, B.; Cansado, A. A regional air quality forecasting system over Europe: the MACC-II daily ensemble production.
6 *Geoscientific Model Development* **2015**, *8*, 2777-2813.
- 7 21. Sofiev, M.; Ritenberga, O.; Albertini, R.; Arteta, J.; Belmonte, J.; Bernstein, C.G.; Bonini, M.; Celenk, S.; Damialis, A.;
8 Douros, J.J.A.C.; et al. Multi-model ensemble simulations of olive pollen distribution in Europe in 2014: current status and
9 outlook. **2017**, *17*, 12341-12360.
- 10 22. Charalampopoulos, A.; Damialis, A.; Lazarina, M.; Halley, J.M.; Vokou, D. Spatiotemporal assessment of airborne pollen
11 in the urban environment: The pollenscape of Thessaloniki as a case study. *Atmospheric Environment* **2021**, *247*, 118185.
- 12 23. Dudhia, J. Numerical study of convection observed during the winter monsoon experiment using a mesoscale
13 two-dimensional model. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences* **1989**, *46*, 3077-3107.
- 14 24. Kontos, S.; Liora, N.; Giannaros, C.; Kakosimos, K.; Poupkou, A.; Melas, D. Modeling natural dust emissions in the central
15 Middle East: Parameterizations and sensitivity. *Atmospheric Environment* **2018**, *190*, 294-307.
- 16 25. Liora, N.; Markakis, K.; Poupkou, A.; Giannaros, T.M.; Melas, D. The natural emissions model (NEMO): Description,
17 application and model evaluation. *Atmospheric Environment* **2015**, *122*, 493-504.
- 18 26. Liora, N.; Poupkou, A.; Giannaros, T.M.; Kakosimos, K.E.; Stein, O.; Melas, D. Impacts of natural emission sources on
19 particle pollution levels in Europe. *Atmospheric Environment* **2016**, *137*, 171-185.
- 20 27. ENVIRON, M. User's Guide to the Comprehensive Air Quality Model with Extensions (CAMx) Version 5.20. **2009**.
- 21 28. Hersbach, H.; Bell, B.; Berrisford, P.; Hirahara, S.; Horányi, A.; Muñoz-Sabater, J.; Nicolas, J.; Peubey, C.; Radu, R.;
22 Schepers, D. The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* **2020**, *146*, 1999-2049.
- 23 29. Giannaros, C. Sensitivity analysis and optimization of a mesoscale atmospheric model. Αριστοτέλειο Πανεπιστήμιο
24 Θεσσαλονίκης (ΑΠΘ). Σχολή Θετικών Επιστημών. Τμήμα ..., 2018.
- 25 30. Brus, D.; Hengeveld, G.; Walvoort, D.; Goedhart, P.; Heidema, A.; Nabuurs, G.; Gunia, K. Statistical mapping of tree
26 species over Europe. *European Journal of Forest Research* **2012**, *131*, 145-157.
- 27 31. Schulzweida, U. Climate Data Operators (CDO) User Guide. **2017**.
- 28 32. Andersen, T.B. A model to predict the beginning of the pollen season. *Grana* **1991**, *30*, 269-275.
- 29 33. Durham, O.C. The volumetric incidence of atmospheric allergens: III. Rate of fall of pollen grains in still air. *Journal of*
30 *Allergy and Clinical Immunology* **1946**, *17*, 70-78.
- 31 34. Bott, A. A positive definite advection scheme obtained by nonlinear renormalization of the advective fluxes. *Monthly*
32 *Weather Review* **1989**, *117*, 1006-1016.
- 33 35. Zhang, L.; Brook, J.; Vet, R. A revised parameterization for gaseous dry deposition in air-quality models. *Atmospheric*
34 *Chemistry and Physics* **2003**, *3*, 2067-2082.
- 35 36. Seinfeld, J.H.; Pandis, S.N. *Atmospheric chemistry and physics: from air pollution to climate change*; John Wiley & Sons: 2016.
- 36 37. Lagouvardos, K.; Kotroni, V.; Bezes, A.; Koletsis, I.; Kopania, T.; Lykoudis, S.; Mazarakis, N.; Papagiannaki, K.;
37 Vougioukas, S. The automatic weather stations NOANN network of the National Observatory of Athens: Operation and
38 database. *Geoscience Data Journal* **2017**, *4*, 4-16.
- 39 38. Gioulekas, D.; Papakosta, D.; Damialis, A.; Spietsma, F.; Giouleka, P.; Patakas, D.J.A. Allergenic pollen records (15 years)
40 and sensitization in patients with respiratory allergy in Thessaloniki, Greece. **2004**, *59*, 174-184.
- 41 39. Damialis, A.; Halley, J.M.; Gioulekas, D.; Vokou, D.J.A.E. Long-term trends in atmospheric pollen levels in the city of
42 Thessaloniki, Greece. **2007**, *41*, 7011-7021.

-
- 1 40. Damialis, A.; Kaimakamis, E.; Konoglou, M.; Akritidis, I.; Traidl-Hoffmann, C.; Gioulekas, D.J.S.r. Estimating the
2 abundance of airborne pollen and fungal spores at variable elevations using an aircraft: how high can they fly? *2017*, *7*,
3 44535.
- 4 41. Soldevilla, C.G.; González, P.C.; Teno, P.A.; Vilches, E.D. Spanish Aerobiology Network (REA): management and quality
5 manual. *Servicio de publicaciones de la Universidad de Córdoba* **2007**, *184*, 1-300.
- 6 42. Camacho, I.C. Airborne pollen in Funchal city,(Madeira Island, Portugal)-First pollinic calendar and allergic risk
7 assessment. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine* **2015**, *22*.
- 8 43. Rojo, J.; Rapp, A.; Lara, B.; Sabariego, S.; Fernandez-Gonzalez, F.; Perez-Badia, R. Characterisation of the airborne pollen
9 spectrum in Guadalajara (central Spain) and estimation of the potential allergy risk. *Environmental monitoring and*
10 *assessment* **2016**, *188*, 130.
- 11 44. Pérez-Badia, R.; Rapp, A.; Morales, C.; Sardinero, S.; Galán, C.; García-Mozo, H. Pollen spectrum and risk of pollen allergy
12 in central Spain. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine* **2010**, *17*, 139-151.
- 13