

Proceedings

MDP

Characterization and Comparison of the Relative Humidity Response of Hydromorphic Polymers in Long-Period Fiber Grating Structures⁺

Bernardo Dias ^{1,2*}, João P. Mendes^{1,2,3}, José M. M. M. Almeida^{1,4} and Luís C. C. Coelho ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ INESC TEC Institute for Systems and Computer Engineering, Technology and Science, and Faculty of Sciences of University of Porto, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal
- ² Department of Physics and Astronomy, Faculty of Sciences of University of Porto, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal
 ³ Chemistry Research Unit of University of Porto, Faculty of Sciences of University of Porto, 4169-007 Porto,
- Portugal; joao.p.mendes@inesctec.pt
- ⁴ Department of Physics, School of Science and Technology, University of Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro, 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal; jmmma@utad.pt.
- * Correspondence bernardo.s.dias@inesctec.pt (BD) and luis.c.coelho@inesctec.pt (LCCC)

Abstract: Relative humidity monitorization is of extreme importance on scientific and industrial applications, and fiber optics-based sensors may provide solutions where other types of sensors have limitations. In this work, fiber optics sensors were fabricated by combining Long-Period Fiber Gratings with three different humidity responding polymers, namely Poly(vinyl alcohol), Poly(ethylene glycol) and Hydromed[™] D4. The performance of the multiple sensors was experimentally tested and crossed with numerical simulations, which provide a comparison with the expected response given the optical properties of the materials.

Keywords: Relative humidity sensors; Long-Period Fiber Gratings; Hydromorphic polymers; Optical sensors

1. Introduction

The real time monitoring of relative humidity on scientific and industrial applications is of extreme importance and many types of sensors have been developed, mostly based on capacitive or resistive structures which may display some flaws, such has, not beingimmune to electromagnetic radiation, and not fit to extreme and harsh environments (such as underwater applications). The usage of hydromorphic polymers in optical fiber structures is a thoroughly explored field of research with many publications associated [1-4], some of which include the usage of Poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) or the combination of the two [1]. The polymers display a refractive index (RI) that decreases with the absorption of water molecules, also displaying considerable swelling effects. These changes can be tracked by analyzing the spectral characteristics of specific optical structures such as long-period fiber gratings (LPFG) [5,6]. LPFGs consist of a periodic modulation to the RI of the core of the fiber, resulting in coupling of light from the fundamental core mode to the co-propagating cladding modes, which creates rejection bands in the transmission spectrum at specific wavelengths with high sensitivity to the surrounding RI. By coating LPFGs with the aforementioned materials, this refractometer can be used to measure relative humidity.

Preliminary results regarding LPFGs fabricated in single mode optical fibers and coated with three different humidity responding polymers PVA, PEG and a Hydrogel (Hydromed[™] D4) are presented. In the case Hydrogel, no such work seems to have been

Citation: Dias , B.; Mendes , J.P.; Almeida, J.M.M.M; Coelho, L.C.C. Characterization and Comparison of the Relative Humidity Re-sponse of Hydromorphic Polymers in Long-Period Fiber Grat-ing Structures . *Chem. Proc.* **2021**, *3*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Published: 1 July 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). published previously. When placed in an environment with varying humidity, the polymers used as coating of the LPFG will both swell and change their RI, resulting changes in the coupling conditions of the light to the cladding modes. Besides the experimental work, in order to have a better understanding of the effect of the coatings in the LPFG, simulations based on coupled-mode theory [7] were implemented, which allow to understand the range of action of the LPFGs and optimize their performance.

2. Materials and Methods

In order to test the capabilities of the polymers, several solutions of different concentrations were made, and thin layers were deposited around LPFGs previously fabricated in single mode fibers (Corning SMF28e) with the electric arc technique following the procedure described in [6]. This allowed the calibration of the wavelength shift and optical power shift induced by the coating process, meaning that for a given LPFG is possible to choose the concentration of the coating solution that gives both visibility of the rejection band and high sensitivity to the RH variation. At the same time, given that the RH response of the sensor is a result of changes in RI and the thickness of the coating layer, testing LPFGs coated with solutions of different concentrations will also allow to explore different responses caused by this variation of parameters.

2.1. Polymers Used for Humidity Sensing

Three different polymers were chosen and compared, a hydrogel (HydroMed[™] D4), PVA (Polyvynil Alchohol) and PEG (Polyethylene Glycol).

PVA is a water-soluble polymer, which has been studied extensively in the past as a coating for fiber optics-based humidity sensors [1-4]. It is a hydrophilic polymer that absorbs and desorbs water, with variations in the RI between 1.49 to 1.45 (at 1310nm) according to [2] or between 1.49 to 1.34 (at 1550nm) according to [4].

PEG is a polymer derived from petroleum containing ether linkages in its main chain, thus being referred to as a polyether. While there are some studies using this polymer for humidity sensing [8], only one application of this polymer to LPFG was found [1], which relied on a combination of PVA and PEG. The RI of PEG is lower than that of PVA, varying from 1.455 to 1.413 (nd) [8].

HydroMed[™] D is a series of ether-based hydrophilic urethanes fabricated by AdvanSource Biomaterials [9]. These materials were designed to respond to expand when in contact with water molecules, with each product having different responses to different water contents. The polymer is distributed in granular form, with can be dissolved in many different solvents, of which ethanol was chosen.

2.2. Fabrication of the coating solutions and coating process

The solutions require different procedures in order to be dissolved. Generally, a solvent with low boiling point such as ethanol is preferred because it will lead to a faster evaporation and consequently the coating process will be faster. Nevertheless, given the low solubilities of PVA and PEG in ethanol, deionized water was used instead, which requires a longer time to fully evaporate and thus deposit the coating. In the case of hydrogel, the solvent was ethanol. Solutions with three different concentrations were produced, namely 10, 7.5 and 5% wt/wt, prepared by dissolving the high purity granules with the solvent, in the corresponding ratio. The PVA solution was obtained by adding PVA to water in a concentration of 10% wt/wt, and stirring for 3 hours at 60 °C. The same procedure was applied to obtain the 5 and 7.5% concentration solutions. In the case of the PEG Scheme 50. 75 and 100% wt/wt.

The fabricated LPFGs were coated with all the polymers by stretching and dipping horizontally with a small angle between the longitudinal axes of the fiber and a V groove filled with the polymer. The fiber was then left at room temperature to ensure solvent evaporation. This coating process was done for each sensor, thus justifying the need for different concentrations of the solutions, which have different viscosities and thus will create thicker coatings the more concentrated the solution is. In addition, calibration of the appropriate concentration of the solution to a given LPFG in order to ensure that the wavelength and optical power shifts do not render the LPFG outside certain desirable parameters (such as minimum wavelength in the interval 1500 to 1600 nm) was performed.

2.3. Humidity Measurements

In order to calibrate the fabricated sensors, an experimental setup was devised in which the environment humidity could be controlled and measured (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Experimental setup created to measure changes in LPFG spectra in varying values of relative humidity. 1- Interrogation unit; 2 – Humidity Chamber; 3 – Exterior valve; 4 – Humidity valve.

This chamber was made from a container with two valves that connected to the exterior (where the RH was around 50%), and a side container with water connected by a valve to the main one. A small fan was also placed inside the container in order to promote faster diffusion of the water molecules in the air. This setup allowed the variation of internal humidity in varying rates, depending on the fan speed.

The humidity chamber allowed for the insertion of two LPFG's at once, one with the humidity sensor and another for thermal compensation. The two fibers were placed in a stand with weights in their extremities, guaranteeing that the sensor was fully stretched at all times. The fiber was connected to an interrogation unit (HBM Fibersensing FS22 Braggmeter) in the outside, which recorded the spectra at all times. Also inside the container was a humidity and temperature sensor (DHT22), which has a typical accuracy of $\pm 2\%$ RH and ± 0.5 °C and a working range of 0%RH to 100%RH and -40 °C to 80 °C. This sensor was connected to an Arduino Uno unit which displayed the humidity and temperature values every 12 seconds, allowing to record every LPFG sensor spectra along with the respective humidity and temperature value.

3.2. Experimental Procedure

In order to provide a preliminary characterization of the sensors, the LPFG spectra were taken in descending RH values. Firstly, the valve to the side container with water was opened and the fan was turned on for 15 minutes. This ensured that the chamber attained the maximum possible values of humidity, which was recorded at 99%RH. After this process, the fan was turned off and after a minute of stabilization, the valve to the external environment was opened, and the measurements would initiate. Due to the opened valve, the relative humidity decreased by 1% every 2 to 3 minutes, which provided an ideal stable decrease in humidity for measurements. The spectra was recorded for every decrease in 1%RH. The data was plotted in real time to determine the spectral evolution and the working range of the fabricated sensor.

4.1. Humidity Measurements

As described in the experimental procedure, for each fabricated sensor its response to RH variations was tested in its working range for both descending and ascending variations. In this section, the results obtained by direct comparison from LPFGs coated with the same polymers at different concentrations are summarized, in order to choose one that has an optimal performance.

4.1.1. PVA Coated LPFGs

Figure 2 shows the results obtained from LPFGs coated with thin films of PVA from solutions with different concentrations.



Figure 2. Variation of the spectra of the three PVA coated LPFG sensors and their measured response: (a) Optical Power Shift response; (b) Wavelength Shift Response.

The sensor coated with a 5% wt/wt solution displays very low response to RH variations, meaning that the obtained layer is too thin and making the sensor not suitable. On the other hand, the 7.5 and 10% sensors display very different behaviors, with the 10% wt/wt one displaying the typical LPFG wavelength shift curve due to the external RI being near the cladding index (n_{clad} = 1.44), but the 7.5% sensor displays a transition characteristic from guided to leaky modes, meaning that in this case the external RI transitioned from n < n_{clad} to n > n_{clad} , which disagrees with the RI values reported in [2] (in which the PVA's RI as a value of 1.45 at 100%RH). The discontinuity seen in Figure 2b shows that at 91%RH the layer of PVA matches the cladding index, meaning that the fiber is suddenly *thicker*, and the mode has a discontinuous transition. This process also renders the 7.5% sensor not suitable. On the other hand, the 10% wt/wt sensor shows very good response with high sensitivity in especially in the region above 90%RH, but overall good performance in the tested range.

Considering the response of the LPFG coated with 10% wt/wt PVA solution, a comparison with the *3-layer* couple-mode theory simulations of LPFGs [7] was established, in order to check if the response of the sensor matches the values of [2] or [4]. Good agreement is seen between the simulations considering the RI variations of 1.345 to 1.44 reported in [4] and the experimental data (Figure 3), showing also that in this case the coating layer can be approximated to an infinite medium, because the penetration depth of the evanescent field of the cladding mode is inferior to the PVA coating thickness. The simulations show that the 10% wt/wt is working on the high sensitivity zone of the LPFG, justifying the excellent performance of the sensor seen in Figure 2.



Figure 3. Comparison between the wavelength shift of experimental 10%wt/wt PVA LPFG and the simulations of as function the external refractive index.

4.1.2. PEG Coated LPFGs

Figure 4 shows the optical power and wavelength shifts in varying humidity for the cases of the PEG coated LPFGs. In this case, the transition associated the external medium having $n > n_{clad}$ is seen in all cases, and at lower RH values than PVA (80 to 87%RH), as can be seen in Figure 4b. This result was to be expected, given that the RI of PEG is lower than that of PVA, ranging from around 1.44 to 1.413 in the range of 60 to 99%RH (in the Sodium line) [8], meaning that the transition will happen at lower RH values. This renders the sensors not suitable in wavelength shift response, even though their optical power shift response (Figure 3a) could be used, in the 80 to 95%RH in the case of the 75% wt./wt. coated LPFG.



Figure 4. Variation of the spectra of the three PEG coated LPFG sensors and their measured response: (a) Optical Power Shift response; (b) Wavelength Shift Response.

4.1.3. Hydrogel Coated LPFGs

Figure 5 shows the optical power and wavelength shifts in varying humidity for the cases of the Hydrogel coated LPFGs. The responses in both wavelength and optical power shift seem similar to the case of the PEG coated LPFGs, with the transition of $n > n_{clad}$ to $n < n_{clad}$ happening in the range of 83% to 92%RH, which makes the wavelength shifts an unsuitable figure of merit for relative humidity monitoring. On the other hand, the optical power shift presents a suitable curve that enables the use of the structures as sensors, even though the sensitivity is a considerably less than both the PVA and PEG cases.



Figure 5. Variation of the spectra of the three Hydrogel coated LPFG sensors and their measured response: (a) Optical Power Shift response; (b) Wavelength Shift Response.

5. Conclusions

The response curves of LPFGs coated with three different humidity responding polymers (PVA, PEG and a Hydrogel) were obtained, with humidities varying from 60%RH (or 70%RH, depending on the polymer) to 100%RH. Of all the fabricated sensors, the 10% wt./wt. PVA coated LPFG displays the best properties for reltive humidity sensing, which were verified when comparing to numerical LPFG simulations. Due to the variation of the optical properties of the polymers with varying humidity, namely the fact that the polymer's RI becomes larger than the cladding RI at low RH values, a transition from guided to leaky modes is seen, which renders the wavelength shift response of most sensors as an unsuitable figure of merit for RH sensing. Further investigation is needed to quantify the response of the three polymers to humidity variations, in order to deduce and optimize the sensors performance.

Author Contributions: "Conceptualization, BD, JMMA, LCCC; methodology, BD, JPM, JMMA, LCCC; formal analysis, BD, JMMA, LCCC.; investigation, BD, JPM, JMMA, LCCC.; writing—original draft preparation, BD; writing—review and editing, BD, JPM, JMMA, LCCC; supervision, JMMA, LCCC.; project administration, JMMA, LCCC. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."

Funding: This work has received funding from the project "SolSensors—Development of Advanced Fiber Optic Sensors for Monitoring the Durability of Concrete Structures", with reference POCI-01-0145-FEDER-031220, supported by COMPETE 2020 and the Lisbon Regional Operational Program in its FEDER component, and by the budget of FCT Foundation for Science and Technology, I.P. Luis Coelho acknowledges the support from FCT research contract grant CEECIND/00471/2017.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Wang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Zou, F.; Jiang, C.; Mou, C.; Wang, T. Humidity Sensor Based on a Long-Period Fiber Grating Coated with Polymer Composite Film. Sensors 2019, 19 (10), 2263. https://doi.org/10.3390/s19102263.
- Gastón, A.; Pérez, F.; Sevilla, J. Optical Fiber Relative-Humidity Sensor with Polyvinyl Alcohol Film. Appl. Opt., AO 2004, 43 (21), 4127–4132. https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.43.004127.
- Dong, X.; Li, T.; Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhao, C.-L.; Chan, C. C. Polyvinyl Alcohol-Coated Hybrid Fiber Grating for Relative Humidity Sensing. JBO 2011, 16 (7), 077001. https://doi.org/10.1117/1.3595845.
- Miao, Y.; Liu, B.; Zhang, H.; Li, Y.; Zhou, H.; Sun, H.; Zhang, W.; Zhao, Q. Relative Humidity Sensor Based on Tilted Fiber Bragg Grating With Polyvinyl Alcohol Coating. IEEE Photonics Technology Letters 2009, 21 (7), 441–443. https://doi.org/10.1109/LPT.2009.2013185.
- Vengsarkar, A. M.; Lemaire, P. J.; Judkins, J. B.; Bhatia, V.; Erdogan, T.; Sipe, J. E. Long-Period Fiber Gratings as Band-Rejection Filters. Journal of Lightwave Technology 1996, 14 (1), 58–65. https://doi.org/10.1109/50.476137.
- 6. Rego, G. Arc-Induced Long Period Fiber Gratings. Journal of Sensors 2016, 2016, e3598634. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3598634.
- Erdogan, T. Cladding-Mode Resonances in Short- and Long-Period Fiber Grating Filters. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, JOSAA 1997, 14 (8), 1760–1773. https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.14.001760.
- Acikgoz, S.; Bilen, B.; Demir, M. M.; Menceloglu, Y. Z.; Skarlatos, Y.; Aktas, G.; Inci, M. N. Use of Polyethylene Glycol Coatings for Optical Fibre Humidity Sensing. OPT REV 2008, 15 (2), 84. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10043-008-0012-1.

9. HydromedTM Product datasheet, available at: http://www.advbiomaterials.com/products/hydrophilic/HydroMed.pdf