



Proceedings

Effects of Adper[™] Scotchbond[™] 1 XT, Clearfil[™] SE Bond 2 and Scotchbond[™] Universal in Odontoblastic Activity +

Miguel Cardoso ^{1,2,3,4,*}, Ana Coelho ^{1,4,5,6}, Carlos Miguel Marto ^{2,3,4,5,6}, Ana Cristina Gonçalves ^{4,7,8,9}, Anabela Paula ^{1,2,4,5,6}, Maria Filomena Botelho ^{2,4,5,6} and Mafalda Laranjo ^{2,4,5,6,‡} and Eunice Carrilho ^{1,2,4,5,6,‡}

- ¹ University of Coimbra, Institute of Integrated Clinical Practice, Faculty of Medicine; anabelabppaula@sapo.pt (A.P.); eunicecarrilho@gmail.com (E.C.)
- ² University of Coimbra, Institute of Biophysics, Faculty of Medicine; cmiguel.marto@uc.pt (C.M.M); mfbotelho@fmed.uc.pt (M.F.B.); mafaldalaranjo@gmail.com (M.L.)
- ³ University of Coimbra, Institute of Experimental Pathology, Faculty of Medicine
- ⁴ University of Coimbra, Institute for Clinical and Biomedical Research (iCBR), Faculty of Medicine
- ⁵ University of Coimbra, Area of Environment; Genetics and Oncobiology (CIMAGO), Faculty of Medicine
- ⁶ University of Coimbra, CNC.IBILI
- ⁷ University of Coimbra, Center for Innovative Biomedicine and Biotechnology (CIBB)
- ⁸ Clinical Academic Center of Coimbra (CACC)
- University of Coimbra, Laboratory of Oncobiology and Hematology (LOH), Universitary Clinic of Hematology, Faculty of Medicine
- * Correspondence: miguel.cardoso16@gmail.com
- + Presented at the 1st International Electronic Conference on Clinical Medicine, 15–30 September 2021; Available online: https://eccm.sciforum.net/.
- ‡ Shared senior authorship.

Abstract: This study aimed to assess in vitro cytotoxicity for Adper[™] Scotchbond[™] 1 XT (SB1), Clearfil[™] SE Bond 2 (CSE) and Scotchbond[™] Universal (SBU), using MDPC-23 cell cultures. The metabolic activity, protein content, cell death types and cellular morphology were evaluated. All extracts determined a significant reduction in cell metabolism and viability. CSE extracts significantly reduced cell's metabolic activity at its higher concentrations (50% and 100%). All adhesives determined a reduction in the viable cells number. Changes were dependent on the adhesive, concentration and incubation time. CSE was the most cytotoxic and shown a higher degree of reactivity.

Keywords: dental adhesives; adhesive systems; cytotoxicity; odontoblasts; cell culture

1. Introduction

Adhesive systems allow the adhesion of restorative materials to the dental substrate [1,2]. These materials are evolving towards simpler clinical application protocols and better clinical performance [1,3]. With the increasing complexity of the adhesive formulations, several substances present in these materials have been identified and studied, which can induce adverse biological reactions [4,5].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Adhesive Systems Extracts and Cell Cultures

Adper[™] Scotchbond[™] 1 XT (SB1, 3M ESPE, USA), Clearfil[™] SE Bond 2 (CSE, Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc., Japan) and Scotchbond[™] Universal (SBU, 3M Deutschland GmbH, Germany) were used in this study. Extracts were obtained by incubating cured adhesive pellets with Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium culture medium (DMEM, Sigma D-5648) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS Sigma F7524), for 24 h [6]. For all studies, the odontoblast-like cell line MDPC-23 was used.

Citation: Cardoso, M.; Coelho, A.; Marto, C.M.; Gonçalves, A.C.; Paula, A.; Botelho, M.F.; Laranjo, M.; Carrilho, E. Effects of Adper[™] Scotchbond[™] 1 XT, Clearfil[™] SE Bond 2 and Scotchbond[™] Universal in Odontoblastic Activity. *Proceedings* 2021, 68, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor(s):

Received: date Accepted: date Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

2.2. Metabolic Activity and Protein Content

MDPC-23 cells were incubated with the adhesive extracts at 6.25%, 12.5%, 25%, 50% and 100% concentration to assess metabolic activity and with extracts at 25% and 50% for protein content evaluation. Metabolic activity was assessed by the MTT assay and the protein content by the SRB assay.

2.3. Types of Cell Death

The cells were incubated with the adhesive extracts at 25% and 50% concentrations. The types of cell death were determined using double labelling with annexin V (AnV-FITC), and propidium iodide (PI).

2.4. Morphology and Qualitative Cytotoxicity Assessment

Cells were stained with May-Grünwald Giemsa for morphology evaluation, followed by optical microscopy analysis. The grading of reactivity described in the ISO 10993-5 [7] was applied.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 8[®] (San Diego, USA). For the cytotoxicity results, the Shapiro- Wilk test followed by the t-test or the Wilcoxon were used. Two-factor ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to compare the experimental conditions along the incubation periods. Multiple comparisons and corrections were performed using the Tukey or Dunn corrections. Regarding flow-cytometry results, one factor ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis were used, and multiple comparisons with the corrections of Bonferroni or Dunn performed as applicable.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Metabolic Activity and Protein Content

Incubation of the cells with the adhesive's extracts determined a metabolic activity reduction, significantly for the higher concentrations (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Metabolic activity of MDPC-23 cells after incubation with the adhesive extracts for 24 h. Results are presented in the form of mean and standard error of the mean of four independent experiments. Statistically significant differences are presented with *, where * means p < 0.05, ** means p < 0.01 and *** means p < 0.001.

Protein content was significantly reduced after incubation of the cultures with the adhesive extracts at 25% and 50% concentrations. CSE extracts significantly reduced cell viability at both concentrations comparing to SB1 and SBU extracts (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Protein content of MDPC-23 cells when submitted to the adhesive extracts for 24 h. Results are presented in the form of mean and standard error of the mean of three independent experiments. Statistically significant differences are presented with *, where * means p < 0.05, ** means p < 0.01 and *** means p < 0.001.

3.2. Types of Cell Death

Cultures exposed to the extracts shown reduced number of live cells with consequent increase of cells in apoptosis, late/apoptosis and in necrosis (Figure 3).





3.3. Morphology and Qualitative Cytotoxicity Assessment

CSE extracts led to greater inhibition of cell growth, with destruction of the membrane, being classified with a higher degree of reactivity among the adhesives at study.

4. Conclusions

Adhesive extracts determined changes in the cultures depending on the adhesive and its concentration. CSE extracts were the most cytotoxic. Clinical application of these materials has to be cautious and the chance for pulpar induced cytotoxicity taken into account.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.C., M.L., C.M.M. and A.C.; methodology, M.C, M.L., C.M.M.; software, M.C. and M.L.; validation, C.M.M., A.P., E.C., M.F.B. and A.C.; formal analysis, M.C. and M.L.; investigation, M.C., M.L., C.M.M., A.C.G., and A.C.; resources, M.F.B., A.P. and E.C.; data curation, M.C., M.L.; writing—original draft preparation, M.C., M.L. and A.C.; writing—review and editing, C.M.M., A.C.G., A.P., E.C. and M.F.B.; visualization, E.C. and M.F.B.; supervision, E.C., M.F.B., M.L., and A.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement:

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Van Meerbeek, B.; Yoshihara, K.; Van Landuyt, K.; Yoshida, Y.; Peumans, M. From Buonocore's Pioneering Acid-Etch Technique to Self-Adhering Restoratives. A Status Perspective of Rapidly Advancing Dental Adhesive Technology. *J Adhes. Dent.* 2020, 22, 7–34.
- 2. Van Meerbeek, B.; Yoshihara, K.; Yoshida, Y.; Mine, A.; De Munck, J.; Van Landuyt, K.L. State of the art of self-etch adhesives. *Dent. Mater.* **2011**, 27, 17–28.
- 3. Carrilho, E.; Cardoso, M.; Marques Ferreira, M.; Marto, C.M.; Paula, A.; Coelho, A.S. 10-MDP Based Dental Adhesives: Adhesive Interface Characterization and Adhesive Stability-A Systematic Review. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 790.
- 4. Milia, E.; Cumbo, E.; Cardoso, R.J.; Gallina, G. Current dental adhesives systems. A narrative review. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2012, *18*, 5542–5552.
- Modena, K.C.; Casas-Apayco, L.C.; Atta, M.T.; Costa, C.A.; Hebling, J.; Sipert, C.R.; Navarro, M.F.; Santos, C.F. Cytotoxicity and biocompatibility of direct and indirect pulp capping materials. *J. Appl. Oral. Sci.* 2009, 17, 544–554.
- 6. Paula, A.B.; Laranjo, M.; Coelho, A.S.; Abrantes, A.M.; Gonçalves, A.C.; Sarmento-Ribeiro, A.B.; Ferreira, M.M.; Botelho, M.F.; Marto, C.M.; Carrilho, E. Accessing the Cytotoxicity and Cell Response to Biomaterials. *JoVE* **2021**, *173*, e61512.
- 7. Standardization, I.O.F. ISO 10993-5 Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices—Part 5: Tests for In Vitro Cytotoxicity; ANSI/AAMI: Arlington, VA, USA, 2009.