ECCM 2021

MDPI

Proceedings

Risk Factors for Myocardial Infarction in Women and Men: A Case-Control Study †

Irena Ilic [1,*] and Milena Ilic [2]

[1] Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia
[2] Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia; drmilenailic@yahoo.com
* Correspondence: ajrini10@gmail.com; Tel.: +38-1113636300
† Presented at the 1st International Electronic Conference on Clinical Medicine, 15–30 September 2021; Available online: https://eccm.sciforum.net/.

Abstract: A hospital-based case-control study analyzing risk factors for myocardial infarction was conducted in University Clinical Centre in Kragujevac (Serbia). Logistic regression analysis was used to determine odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Our study comprised 374 participants: 187 newly diagnosed patients with myocardial infarction and 187 controls. The increase of risk for acute myocardial infarction was associated with obesity (OR = 2.2; 95% CI = 1.1–4.1), stressful life events in personal history (OR = 2.8; 95% CI = 1.5–5.4) and cholesterol level (OR = 7.6; 95% CI = 2.0–28.4) in men, while diabetes mellitus (OR = 5.2; 95% CI = 1.6–16.8), smoking (OR = 3.0; 95% CI = 1.4–6.5) and menopause (OR = 5.6; 95% CI = 1.1–28.7) were associated with increased risk in women.

Keywords: myocardial infarction; risk factors; case-control study

Citation: Ilic, I.; Ilic, M. Risk Factors for Myocardial Infarction in Women and Men: A Case-Control Study. *Proceedings* **2021**, *68*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor(s):

Received: date
Accepted: date
Published: date

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease has been the leading cause of death in both sexes in the world in last decades [1,2]. Death rates from coronary heart disease are considerably lower in women than in men, but differences decrease with increasing age [3].

Although established risk factors for cardiovascular disease are almost equally prevalent in both genders, numerous studies suggest that importance of these factors in each gender are not the same [4–6]. Two large meta-analyses that have compared sex disparities in association between risk factors and coronary heart disease showed that, compared with men, women had a greater ratio of relative risk of coronary heart disease for 44% if they had diabetes [4], and for 25% higher if they were current smokers [5]. In a population-based cohort of UK Biobank [6], systolic blood pressure and hypertension, smoking status and diabetes were associated with higher hazard ratio for myocardial infarction in women compared with men.

The INTERHEART study, global case-control study including 27,098 participants from 52 countries, showed that hypertension, diabetes, physical activity, and moderate alcohol use were more strongly associated with myocardial infarction among women than men [7]. The population attributable risk of all nine modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction (hypertension, diabetes, physical activity, alcohol use, abnormal lipids, smoking, obesity, high risk diet, and psychosocial stress factors) exceeded 94%, and was similar among women and men (96% versus 93%). However, etiology of myocardial infarction is still not completely elucidated [7–10].

Nevertheless, research of the impact of cardiovascular risk factors in less developed countries is still limited. Only a few studies have been done to evaluate the association between the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and acute myocardial infarction in

Serbia [11]. The aim of this study was to examine the sex differences in prevalence of risk factors for myocardial infarction in Serbia.

2. Materials and Methods

A hospital-based case-control study was conducted during 2010 at the University Clinical Centre Kragujevac, Serbia.

At the Clinic for Cardiology, patients were recruited with a newly diagnosed myocardial infarction, which was made by cardiologists in accordance with the National Guidelines for Good Practice, i.e., according to the diagnostic criteria based on the European Society of Cardiology/American College of Cardiology consensus guidelines [12]. The diagnosis of myocardial infarction was not older than 2 months until the survey was conducted (the mean time interval between diagnosis and interview of cases was 2 weeks). No one refused to participate in the study.

For each case with myocardial infarction, one control was selected, mainly among patients who were at the same time hospitalized at the Clinic of Orthopedic Surgery and Traumatology due to milder conditions (such as gonarthrosis, coxarthrosis, etc). Controls were without anamnestic or diagnostic data for myocardial infarction. Cases and controls were individually matched by gender, age (±2 years), and place of residence (rural/urban). All selected controls accepted to participate in the survey.

Data from patients and their controls were collected through a direct interview, which lasted 2 h. The survey was always conducted by a medical doctor. The interviews were always conducted in the hospital.

We investigated the following risk factors: occupation, education level, marital status, family history, psychosocial distress, body mass index, blood pressure, cholesterol level, diabetes mellitus, smoking status, alcohol use, coffee consumption, personal medical history, stressful events, and menopause.

Statistical evaluation was performed through logistic regression analysis (univariate and multivariate logistic regression models) to calculate the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI) in order to estimate the association between risk factors and myocardial infarction. Multivariate logistic regression model was made for all variables that were related to myocardial infarction in univariate analyses at a p value of <0.10. Statistical significance was considered when $p < 0.050$. All statistical analyzes were performed using SPSS 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

Our study comprised 374 participants: 187 newly diagnosed patients with myocardial infarction and 187 controls (Table 1). Cases and controls were individually matched by gender, age (±2 years) and place of residence (rural/urban). Just over half of the patients were 65 or younger.

Table 1. Characteristics of patients with acute myocardial infarction and their controls.

	Cases (n = 187)	**Controls (n = 187)**	
	No. (%)	**No. (%)**	
Age (≤ 65 years)	102 (54.5)	96 (51.3)	Matched
Gender (Male)	113 (60.4)	113 (60.4)	Matched
Place of residence (Urban)	136 (72.7)	136 (72.7)	Matched

Among men, a higher proportion of cases than controls had body mass index ≥ 25 kg/m^2 (p = 0.015), stressful events (p = 0.000), family history of myocardial infarction (p = 0.005), diabetes mellitus (p = 0.020), hypertension (p = 0.007), hypercholesterolemia (p = 0.000) (Table 2). Among women, a higher proportion of cases than controls had diabetes mellitus (p = 0.002), smoking (p = 0.016) and menopause (p = 0.028), as well as some factors at $p < 0.10$, such as urban place of residence (p = 0.055), family history of myocardial

infarction (p = 0.100), coffee consumption (p = 0.092), hypertension (p = 0.094), hypercholesterolemia (p = 0.096), and disorders of thyroid (p = 0.053).

Table 2. Characteristics of patients with acute myocardial infarction and their controls, by gender.

	Gender					
	Male (n = 226)			Female (n = 148)		
	Cases (n = 113)	Controls (n = 113)	p	Cases (n = 74)	Controls (n = 74)	p
	No. (%)	No. (%)		No. (%)	No. (%)	
Age (≤65 years)	69 (61.1)	64 (56.6)	0.499	33 (44.6)	32 (43.2)	0.869
Place of residence (Urban)	75 (66.4)	85 (75.2)	0.143	61 (82.4)	51 (68.9)	0.055
Occupation* (Manual worker)	72 (63.7)	70 (61.0)	0.952	23 (31.1)	26 (35.1)	0.341
Educational level (≤ 8 years)	24 (21.2)	32 (28.3)	0.218	50 (67.6)	44 (59.5)	0.306
Maritalstatus (withpartner)	93 (82.3)	86 (76.1)	0.251	44 (59.5)	47 (63.5)	0.612
Body mass index (≥25 kg/m²)	86 (76.1)	68 (60.2)	0.015	40 (54.1)	39 (52.7)	0.869
Oral contraceptive use	- (-)	- (-)	-	4 (5.6)	7 (9.5)	0.397
Menopause	- (-)	- (-)	-	72 (97.3)	65 (87.8)	0.028
Stressful events	86 (76.1)	56 (49.6)	0.000	63 (85.1)	60 (81.1)	0.510
Family history of myocardial infarction	66 (58.4)	45 (39.8)	0.005	43 (58.1)	33 (44.6)	0.100
Smoking	85 (75.2)	77 (68.1)	0.238	34 (45.9)	19 (25.7)	0.016
Coffee consumption	101 (89.4)	100 (88.5)	0.832	64 (86.9)	70 (94.6)	0.092
Alcohol use	89 (78.8)	79 (69.9)	0.128	13 (17.6)	15 (20.3)	0.675
Diabetes mellitus	22 (19.5)	9 (8.0)	0.020	17 (23.0)	4 (5.4)	0.002
Hypertension	58 (51.3)	38 (33.6)	0.007	49 (66.2)	39 (52.7)	0.094
Hypercholesterolemia	26 (23.0)	3 (2.7)	0.000	14 (18.9)	7 (9.5)	0.096
Disorders of thyroid	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	0.316	12 (16.2)	5 (6.8)	0.053

p, probability value (according to univariate logistic regression analysis). * For retired the occupation before retirement was shown.

Analysis of risk factors for myocardial infarction by gender revealed that the increase of risk for acute myocardial infarction in men was significantly associated with body mass index ≥ 25 kg/m² (OR = 2.2, 95% CI = 1.1–4.1, p = 0.025), stressful events (OR = 2.8, 95% CI = 1.5–5.4, p = 0.001) and hypercholesterolemia (OR = 7.6, 95% CI = 2.0–28.4, p = 0.002) (Table 3). Among women, the increase of risk for acute myocardial infarction was significantly associated with menopause (OR = 5.6, 95% CI = 1.1–28.7, p = 0.020), smoking (OR = 3.0, 95% CI = 1.4–6.5, p = 0.005) and diabetes mellitus (OR = 5.2, 95% CI = 1.6–16.8, p = 0.016).

Table 3. Estimated risk *†—Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Intervals) of acute myocardial infarction in relation to gender.

	Male		Female	
	OR (95% CI)	*p*	*OR (95% CI)*	*p*
Place of residence (Urban)			2.4 (0.9–5.9)	0.050
Body mass index (≥25 kg/m²)	2.2 (1.1–4.1)	0.025		
Menopause			5.6 (1.1–28.7)	0.020
Stressful events	2.8 (1.5–5.4)	0.001		
Family history of myocardial infarction	1.6 (0.9–3.0)	0.103	1.6 (0.8–3.5)	0.205
Smoking			3.0 (1.4–6.5)	0.005
Coffee consumption			0.4 (0.1–1.4)	0.139
Diabetes mellitus	2.1 (0.8–5.3)	0.128	5.2 (1.6–16.8)	0.016
Hypertension	1.1 (0.6–2.1)	0.692	1.6 (0.7–3.6)	0.243
Hypercholesterolemia	7.6 (2.0–28.4)	0.002	1.7 (0.5–5.6)	0.382

Disorders of thyroid	3.3 (0.9–12.6)	0.078

Abbreviations: OR, Odds Ratio; 95% CI, Confidence Interval; *p*, Probability value according tomultivariate logistic regression analysis.

4. Discussion

Our findings suggest that females who had myocardial infarction often are elderly (in menopause), smoke, or have diabetes. On the other hand, males who had myocardial infarction often are obese, or have hypercholesterolemia or stressful events. None of the independent associations between risk factors and myocardial infarction was same in women and men.

Consistent with others [6,7,13], our results showed significant differences in prevalence exposure to traditional risk factors for myocardial infarction among men and women. The INTERHEART study revealed that hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and smoking are more potent risk factors for myocardial infarction in women than in men in less developed countries [14].

Most importantly, the INTERHEART study showed differences between men and women in the impact of risk factors on myocardial infarction: former smoking represented higher risk for men (although current smoking represented a similar risk both in men and women), while hypertension, diabetes mellitus, psychosocial factors, lack of physical activity, and lack of alcohol consumption were more powerful risk factors for acute myocardial infarction in women than in men [7,14].

In addition to the well-known shortcomings of case control studies, a limitation of this study was the relatively small sample size. Also, findings of this and similar studies should be viewed with great caution, as the lack of data on cases of death from myocardial infarction before hospitalization or immediately at the beginning of hospitalization, might have led to a less accurate assessment of connection between the risk factors and myocardial infarction occurrence. Also, there is always a question about potential confounding factors (such as diet, socioeconomic status), that might at least in part explain the results of this study.

5. Conclusions

Our study noted sex differences in risk factors for myocardial infarction. Our findings suggest that females who had myocardial infarction often are elderly (in menopause), smoke, or have diabetes. On the other hand, males who had myocardial infarction often are obese, or have hypercholesterolemia or stressful events. Additional analytic epidemiological studies addressing cardiovascular risk factors are needed.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.I. and M.I.; methodology, I.I. and M.I.; software, I.I. and M.I.; validation, I.I. and M.I.; formal analysis, I.I. and M.I.; investigation, I.I. and M.I.; resources, I.I. and M.I.; data curation, I.I. and M.I.; writing—original draft preparation, I.I.; writing—review and editing, I.I. and M.I.; visualization, I.I. and M.I.; supervision, M.I.; project administration, M.I.; funding acquisition, M.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medical Sciences, University of Kragujevac (Ref. No.: 01-529, 21 January 2013), and by the Ethics Committee of the Clinical Center Kragujevac (Ref. No.: 01-13815, 21 December 2012).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed verbal voluntary consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: This study is conducted as the part of project No 175042 supported by Ministry of Education, Science and Technological development, Republic of Serbia, 2011–2020.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* **2020**, *396*, 1204–1222; Erratum in **2020**, *396*, 1562.
2. Roth, G.A.; Mensah, G.A.; Johnson, C.O.; Addolorato, G.; Ammirati, E.; Baddour, L.M.; Barengo, N.C.; Beaton, A.Z.; Benjamin, E.J.; Benziger, C.P.; et al. Global Burden of Cardiovascular Diseases and Risk Factors, 1990–2019: Update From the GBD 2019 Study. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2020**, *76*, 2982–3021; Erratum in **2021**, *77*, 1958–1959.
3. Bots, S.; Peters, S.; Woodward, M. Sex differences in coronary heart disease and stroke mortality: A global assessment of the effect of ageing between 1980 and 2010. *BMJ Glob. Heal.* **2017**, *2*, e000298, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000298.
4. Peters, S.; Huxley, R.R.; Woodward, M. Diabetes as risk factor for incident coronary heart disease in women compared with men: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 64 cohorts including 858,507 individuals and 28,203 coronary events. *Diabetologia* **2014**, *57*, 1542–1551, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-014-3260-6.
5. Huxley, R.R.; Woodward, M. Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for coronary heart disease in women compared with men: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Lancet* **2011**, *378*, 1297–1305, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(11)60781-2.
6. Millett, E.R.C.; Peters, S.A.E.; Woodward, M. Sex differences in risk factors for myocardial infarction: Cohort study of UK Biobank participants. *BMJ* **2018**, *363*, k4247.
7. Anand, S.S.; Islam, S.; Rosengren, A.; Franzosi, M.G.; Steyn, K.; Yusufali, A.; Keltai, M.; Diaz, R.; Rangarajan, S.; Yusuf, S. Risk factors for myocardial infarction in women and men: Insights from the INTERHEART study. *Eur. Hear. J.* **2008**, *29*, 932–940, https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehn018.
8. Mahmood, S.S.; Levy, D.; Vasan, R.S.; Wang, T.J. The Framingham Heart Study and the epidemiology of cardiovascular disease: A historical perspective. *Lancet* **2013**, *383*, 999–1008, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(13)61752-3.
9. Albrektsen, G.; Heuch, I.; Løchen, M.L.; Thelle, D.S.; Wilsgaard, T.; Njølstad, I.; Bønaa, K.H. Lifelong Gender Gap in Risk of Incident Myocardial Infarction: The Tromsø Study. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **2016**, *176*, 1673–1679.
10. Puska, P. From Framingham to North Karelia: From Descriptive Epidemiology to Public Health Action. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2010**, *53*, 15–20, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2010.01.003.
11. Vujcic, I.; Vlajinac, H.; Dubljanin, E.; Vasiljevic, Z.; Matanovic, A.; Maksimovic, J.; Sipetic, S. Psychosocial Stress and Risk of Myocardial Infarction: A Case-Control Study in Belgrade (Serbia). *Acta Cardiol. Sin.* **2016**, *32*, 281–289, https://doi.org/10.6515/ACS20150424K.
12. Thygesen, K.; Alpert, J.S.; White, H.D. Joint ESC/ACCF/AHA/WHF Task Force for the Redefinition of Myocardial Infarction. Universal definition of myocardial infarction. *Eur. Heart J.* **2007**, *28*, 2525–2538.
13. Bosetti, C.; Negri, E.; Tavani, A.; Santoro, L.; La Vecchia, C. Smoking and Acute Myocardial Infarction among Women and Men: A Case–Control Study in Italy. *Prev. Med.* **1999**, *29*, 343–348, https://doi.org/10.1006/pmed.1999.0557.
14. Yusuf, S.; Hawken, S.; Ôunpuu, S.; Dans, T.; Avezum, A.; Lanas, F.; McQueen, M.; Budaj, A.; Pais, P.; Varigos, J.; et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): Case-control study. *Lancet* **2004**, *364*, 937–952, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(04)17018-9.