Proceedings

# **Recovery of Antioxidant Compounds from Exhausted Olive** Pomace through Microwave-Assisted Extraction \*

Irene Gómez-Cruz 1.2,\*, María del Mar Contreras 1.2,\*, Inmaculada Romero 1.2 and Eulogio Castro 1.2

- <sup>1</sup> Centre for Advanced Studies in Earth Sciences, Energy and Environment (CEACTEMA), Universidad de Jaén, Campus Las Lagunillas, 23071 Jaén, Spain; iromero@ujaen.es (I.R.); ecastro@ujaen.es (E.C.)
- Department of Chemical, Environmental and Materials Engineering, Universidad de Jaén, Campus Las Lagunillas, 23071 Jaén, Spain
- Correspondence: igcruz@ujaen.es (I.G.-C.); mcgamez@ujaen.es (M.d.M.C.)
- + Presented at the 2nd International Electronic Conference on Foods, 15–30 October 2021; Available online: https://foods2021.sciforum.net/.

Abstract: Exhausted olive pomace (EOP) is a waste generated in large quantities each year in the 13 olive oil industry. This biomass contains phenolic compounds with antioxidant, antiatherogenic, 14 antiinflammatory and antimicrobial properties. For the extraction of these compounds, the use of a 15 novel and environmentally friendly technique, microwave-assisted extraction using water as extrac-16 tion solvent, was proposed. A Box-Behnken design of experiments based on the response surface 17 methodology was used to optimise the effect of the factors temperature (40–100 °C), extraction time 18 (4-40 min) and solid loading (2-15%). The response variables were the total phenolic content ana-19 lysed by Folin-Ciocalteau assay, hydroxytyrosol content by HPLC and antioxidant activity through 20 FRAP and ABTS assays. The optimal conditions for each response variable were determined. Over-21 all, microwave-assisted extraction is considered a suitable technique for the extraction of bioactive 22 compounds from EOP at short extraction times. In particular, the maximum content of hydroxyty-23 rosol (6 mg/g of EOP) could be obtained at 99.7 °C, 3.9% (w/v) solids and 34.3 min Thereby, this 24 extract has potential to be used as a functional and antioxidant additive. 25

Keywords: exhausted olive pomace; microwave-assisted extraction; antioxidant activity; phenolic 26 compounds; hydroxytyrosol

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Exhausted olive pomace (EOP) is the final solid residue generated after subjecting 30 olive pomace to a drying process and a solid-liquid extraction with hexane to extract the 31 residual olive oil [1]. In Spain, around 1.2 million tons of this waste are generated every 32 year [2]. According to the chemical composition of EOP, it is considered a promising feed-33 stock for the production of bioenergy, including bioethanol, from its structural carbohy-34 drates (around 35%) and bioactive compounds from the non-structural components 35 (around 50%), which includes phenolic compounds. Therefore, the valorisation of this bi-36 omass considering all these compounds would allow it to be introduced into the biorefin-37 ery concept. 38

The food industry is currently investigating the possibility of replacing synthetic an-39 tioxidants with antioxidants of natural origin, including those from olive origin [3,4]. Due 40 to its bioavailability, chemical properties, bioactivity and low toxicity, these extracts and 41 those rich in hydroxytyrosol could be used as a food additive and functional ingredient 42 for food and nutraceutical applications [5]. 43

Contreras, M.; Romero, I.; Castro, E. Recovery of Antioxidant Compounds from Exhausted Olive Pomace through Microwave-Assisted Extraction. Proceedings 2021, 68, x.

Citation: Gómez-Cruz, I.; del Mar

https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

29

27

28



1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

Therefore, the extraction of phenolic compounds from olive biomass can be per-1 formed at a first step within a biorefinery approach. Conventional techniques such as mac-2 eration, Soxhlet extraction and hydrodistillation have been used for years for the recovery 3 of these compounds. These methods have drawbacks such as long extraction time, high 4 solvent consumption, low reproducibility, etc. [6]. These problems have been overcome 5 by employing new extraction techniques such as microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), 6 ultrasound-assisted extraction, accelerated solvent extraction or supercritical fluid extrac-7 tion [7,8]. 8

Therefore, the purpose of this work was to optimize the extraction of phenolic com-9 pounds, including hydroxytyrosol, and the antioxidant activity of the extracts obtained 10 from EOP by MAE using water as solvent. Response surface methodology (RSM) was 11 employed to evaluate the extraction parameters of temperature, extraction time and solid 12 loading using a Box-Behnken experimental design (BBD). 13

#### 2. Methods

### 2.1. Raw Material

EOP was obtained from the olive pomace industry "Spuny SA" (Castellar, Jaén, 16 Spain) and milled to a size of 1 mm with a ZM 200 ultracentrifugal mill (Retsch, Haan, 17 Germany). 18

All the chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade and were supplied by Sigma-19 Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA): Folin–Ciocalteu's phenol reagent, 2,4,6,-tri(2pyridyl)-1,3,5,-20 triazine (TPTZ), iron (III) chloride, [2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) 21 diammonium salt (ABTS), 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid 22 (Trolox) and standards of gallic acid. Methanol (HPLC grade) was obtained from Honey-23 well (Morristown, NJ, EEUU) and acetonitrile (HPLC grade) from PanReac AppliChem 24 (Barcelona, Spain). Hydroxytyrosol (98% of purity, w/w) was procured from Extrasyn-25 these (Lyon, France). Ultrapure water was obtained using a Milli-Q system (Millipore, 26 Bedford, MA, USA). 27

## 2.2. Microwave-Assisted Extraction of Exhausted Olive Pomace

The extraction was carried out in a microwave reactor Anton Parr Monowave 400 29 (Graz, Austria). Three independent variables were studied, temperature (40-100 °C), ex-30 traction time (4–40 min) and solid loading (3–15%, w/v), applying a BBD. It consisted of 31 17 experiments, including five central points. The response variables studied were: total 32 phenolic content (TPC), hydroxytyrosol content and antioxidant activity.

Once the MAE assays were finished, each sample was filtered under vacuum and the 34 recovered extract was filtered through syringe filters (nylon, pore size 45 µm) (Grupo 35 SinerLab, Madrid, Spain) for analysis. 36

## 2.3. Measurement of the Total Phenolic Content and Antioxidant Activity

TPC was determined using the Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric assay, according to a pro-38 cedure described by Singleton and Rossi [9] with a little modification according to Gómez-39 Cruz et al. [10] and using gallic acid as standard. To determine the antioxidant activity of 40the extracts, ferric-reducing power assays (FRAP) and ABTS radical scavenging assay 41 were applied according to Martínez-Patiño et al. [3] and using Trolox as a standard. The absorbance was measured using a Bio-Rad iMarkTM microplate reader (Hercules, CA, 43 USA). 44

#### 2.4. Phenolic Profiling and Quantification of Hydroxytyrosol

The phenolic profile and hydroxytyrosol content of the aqueous extracts from EOP 46 were determined by reversed phase (RP)-high-performance liquid chromatography 47 (HPLC) in a Shimadzu Prominence UFLC chromatograph (Kyoto, Japan) equipped with 48

28

14

15

33

42

45

a diode-array detector, according to Gómez-Cruz et al. [4]. A calibration curve was built using a commercial standard of hydroxytyrosol.

#### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

The experimental data obtained after applying the designs were analyzed using the 4 Design-Expert® v8.0.7.1 software (Stat-Ease, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA). Then, the response variables were fitted to a second order polynomial model equation obtained by 6 response surface methodology (RSM) according to the following equation: 7

$$\mathbf{y}_{j} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} + \sum_{i < j=1}^{3} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{j} + \sum_{i=j}^{3} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{ii} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{2}$$
(1)

where y is the dependent variable,  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are the independent variables,  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_i$ ,  $\beta_{ij}$  and  $\beta_{ii}$  8 are the regression coefficients. 9

ANOVA was used to determine the significance of the results.

## 3. Results and Discussion

Water was the solvent selected for the extraction of phenolic compounds from EOP12to develop an eco-friendly extraction methodology, since it is abundant, low cost, non-13toxic, and a generally recognized as safe (GRAS) solvent [11]. Then, the conditions were14optimized using a BBD design and RSM.15

In the experimental design, the values obtained for the total phenol content (TPC) 16 ranged from 25 to 41 mg GAE/g EOP and the hydroxytyrosol content between 4 and 6 17 mg/g EOP. As an example, Figure 1 shows the response surface for the TPC, where it can 18 be observed that the extraction time and solid loading have a positive influence until a 19 maximum is reached. Alternatively, temperature affected positively under the conditions 20 tested. The hydroxytyrosol content showed a similar trend. 21





The antioxidant activity of the aqueous extracts obtained by MAE was determined 24 by the FRAP and ABTS methods. In this case, the three parameters studied also showed a 25 significant influence on these response variables. In general, the solid loading and temperature had the highest influence in both of them, whose values ranged between 32 and 27 55 mg TE/g EOP and 61 and 97 mg TE/g EOP. 28

The quality of fit of the response surface models was assessed by ANOVA. The adjusted coefficient of determination ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), the coefficient of variation ( $\mathbb{C}V$ ) and the statistical 30 parameters F-value and lack of fit (*p*-value) were determined for each of the responses. 31 The models developed presented adjusted coefficients of determination ( $\mathbb{R}^2$  adj) in the 32 range of 0.886–0.964, suggesting that the experimental data matched well with the predicted values. Furthermore, the CV ranged from 1.98 to 3.55%, indicating the reliability 34

3

1

2

11

10

and accuracy of the model. ANOVA results showed high F-values for all response variables (21.65–587.22), implying that the model was highly significant and there is no lack of 2 fit

Once the effect of the three factors (temperature, time and solid loading) on all re-4 sponses had been analysed, the software was able to determine the individual optimum 5 conditions for each response. These depended on the response variable and ranged be-6 tween: 99.5 °C (TPC) and 100 °C (FRAP), 22.9 min (FRAP) and 34.3 min (hydroxytyrosol 7 content) and 3% solids (FRAP) and 6.4% solids (ABTS). Considering the simultaneous 8 maximization of the three responses, the predicted optimum values were: 41 mg GAE/g 9 EOP for TPC, 6 mg/g EOP for hydroxytyrosol content, 55 mg TE/g EOP for the antioxidant 10 capacity determined by the FRAP method and 102 mg TE/g EOP by the ABTS method. 11

The results obtained show that MAE is an efficient technique for the extraction of 12 bioactive compounds from EOP using short extraction times, as other studies suggested 13 for olive pomace [12], and using simply water. 14

## 4. Conclusions

MAE, using water as a "green" solvent, was efficient for the extraction of antioxidant 16 compounds from EOP, including hydroxytyrosol. Its content was maximized at 99.7 °C, 17 34.3 min and a solid loading of 3.9% (w/v): 6 mg/g EOP. 18

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.G.-C., I.R. and M.d.M.C.; methodology, M.d.M.C. and 19 I.G.-C.; software, I.R.; validation, M.d.M.C. and I.G.-C.; investigation, M.d.M.C and I.G.-C.; writ-20 ing-original draft preparation, I.G.-C. and M.d.M.C; writing-review and editing, E.C., I.R., 21 M.d.M.C.; supervision, E.C., I.R.; project administration, I.R. and M.d.M.C; funding acquisition, I.R. 22 and M.d.M.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Agencia Estatal de Investigación (MICINN, Spain) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional, reference project ENE2017-85819-C2-1-R. M.d.M.C. would 25 like to express their gratitude to the FEDER UJA project 1260905 funded by "Programa Operativo 26 FEDER 2014-2020" and "Consejería de Economía y Conocimiento de la Junta de Andalucía". I.G.-C. 27 was supported by Universidad de Jaén (research grant R5/04/2017). 28

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. 29
--

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available on request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

## References

- 1. Ruiz, E.; Romero-García, J.M.; Romero, I.; Manzanares, P.; Negro, M.J.; Castro, E. Olive-derived biomass as a source of energy and chemicals. Biofuel. Bioprod. Biorefin. 2017, 6, 246-256. https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.1812.
- 2. Contreras, M. del M.; Gómez-Cruz, I.; Romero, I.; Castro, E. Olive pomace-derived biomasses fractionation through a two-step extraction based on the use of ultrasounds: Chemical Characteristics. Foods 2021, 10, 111. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10010111.
- Martínez-Patiño, J.C.; Gómez-Cruz, I.; Romero, I.; Gullón, B.; Ruiz, E.; Brnčićc, M.; Castro, E. Ultrasound-assisted extraction as 3. a first step in a biorefinery strategy for valorisation of extracted olive pomace. Energies 2019, 12, 2679. https://doi.org/10.3390/en12142679.
- Gómez-Cruz, I.; Cara, C.; Romero, I.; Castro, E.; Gullón, B. Valorisation of exhausted olive pomace by an eco-friendly solvent 4. extraction process of natural antioxidants. Antioxidants 2020, 9, 1010. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox9101010.
- Bertelli, M.; Kiani, A.K.; Paolacci, S.; Manara, E.; Kurti, D.; Dhuli, K.; Bushati, V.; Miertus, J.; Pangallo, D.; Baglivo, M.; et al. 5. Hydroxytyrosol: A natural compound with promising pharmacological activities. J. Biotechnol. 2020, 309, 29-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2019.12.016.
- Plaza, M.; Domínguez-Rodríguez, G.; Castro-Puyana, M.; Marina, M.L. Polyphenols Analysis and Related Challenges. Polyphenols: 6. Properties, Recovery and Applications; Galanakis, C.M., Ed.; Elsevier: Helsinki, Finland, 2018; pp. 177–232.
- 7. Azmir, J.; Zaidul, I.S.M.; Rahman, M.M.; Sharif, K.M.; Mohamed, A.; Sahena, F.; Jahurul, M.H.A.; Ghafoor, K.; Norulaini, N.A.N.; 48 Omar, A.K.M. Techniques for extraction of bioactive compounds from plant materials: A review. J. Food Eng. 2013, 117, 426–436. 49 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.01.014. 50

1

3

15

23 24

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

30

31

- Lama-Muñoz, A.; Contreras, M. del M.; Espínola, F.; Moya, M.; Torres, A. De; Romero, I.; Castro, E. Extraction of oleuropein 1 and luteolin-7-O-glucoside from olive leaves : Optimization of technique and operating conditions. *Food Chem.* 2019, 293, 161– 2 168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.04.075. 3
- 9. Singleton, V.L.; Rossi, S.. Colorimetric of total phenolics with phosphomolibic phosphotungstic acid reagents. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **1965**, 16, 144–158.
- Gómez-Cruz, I.; Contreras, M. del M.; Carvalheiro, F.; Duarte, L.C.; Roseiro, L.B.; Romero, I.; Castro, E. Recovery of bioactive 6 compounds from industrial exhausted olive pomace through ultrasound-assisted extraction. *Biology* 2021, 10, 514.
   https://doi.org/10.3390/biology10060514.
- Flórez, N.; Conde, E.; Domínguez, H. Microwave assisted water extraction of plant compounds. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 9 2015, 90, 590–607. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.4519.
- Tapia-Quirós, P.; Montenegro-Landívar, M.F.; Reig, M.; Vecino, X.; Alvarino, T.; Cortina, J.L.; Saurina, J.; Granados, M. Olive 11 mill and winery wastes as viable sources of bioactive compounds: A study on polyphenols recovery. *Antioxidants* 2020, *9*, 1074.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox9111074.

4