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## INTRODUCTION

- 61\% of SA population overweight or obese
- Excess sugar consumption may cause health problems
- Easy answer for an overweight population - consume less sugar?
- Taste remains an important factor when we choose food products
- Consumers will rather choose "unhealthy" foods with higher sugar content
- General perception that foods with reduced sugar
- may taste unpleasant
- only consumed when health is at stake


## INTRODUCTION

- Three taster status categories according to our bitter sensitivity
- Non-tasters
- Medium tasters
- Supertasters - increased sensitivity towards bitter tastes
- Taster status influence consumption \& emotional response to foods
- Studies confirm the link between foods' sensory properties and emotions
- e.g. eating chocolate = cravings or feelings of guilt
- Few studies looked at how different taster status influence our emotions when we consume sugar-free foods


# To develop emotional lexicons for sugar free chocolates <br> based on consumers' taster status 

## METHODOLOGY

- Ethical approval (NWU-00490-20-A1)
- Cross-sectional
- Self-administered electronic questionnaire
- Non-probability convenience sampling method
- Specific inclusion criteria
- Recruitment via advertisement on social media platforms
- Screening questionnaire


## SAMPLE BAG COLLECTION

- Consumers collected one sample bag at a central location
- 2 x unbranded chocolate bars clearly marked as sample 1 and 2
- $3 \times$ propylthiouracil (PROP) test paper strips in zip-lock bag
- Bottle of still water ( 250 ml )
- Instruction pamphlet


## CHOCOLATE SAMPLES

- $1 \times$ Milk and dark sugar-free chocolate bar ( 40 g )
- Contain no added sugar
- Fibres - dextrin, inulin, oligofructose
- Sweeteners - erythritol, steviol glycosides
- Cocoa solids
- Milk - 36\%
- Dark -80\%



## CHOCOLATE SAMPLES: NUTRITIONAL INFORMATION

|  | Milk |  | Dark |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Description | Per 100 g | Per g serving | Per 100 g | Per g serving |
| Energy (kJ) | 2024 | 810 | 1851 | 262 |
| Protein (g) | 7.9 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 0.8 |
| Carbohydrate (g) | 34 | 14 | 18 | 3 |
| Total sugar (g) | 20.9 | 8.4 | 3.0 | 0.4 |
| Total fat (g) | 36.2 | 14.5 | 36.3 | 5.1 |
| Saturated fat (g) | 22.9 | 9.2 | 22.8 | 3.2 |
| Monounsaturated fat (g) | 12.1 | 4.8 | 12.3 | 1.7 |
| Dietary fibre (g) | 17.0 | 6.8 | 34.5 | 4.9 |
| Sodium (mg) | 240 | 96 | 8 | 1 |

## QUESTIONNAIRE

## 1. Consumers' taster status PROP Taster Status Test <br> Labelled magnitude scale (LMS): <br> 1 = "barely detectable" - 100 = "strongest imaginable"

2. Consumers' consumption, purchasing behaviour and acceptance of chocolates (5-point Likert scales)

General chocolate consumption

- Frequency of consumption
- Reason for consumption
- Purchase intention

Sugar-free chocolates

- Acceptance - taste \& aftertaste
- Purchase intention


## 4. Demographic information

Gender, age \& origin of geographic location

## 3. Emotional lexicon for sugar-free

 chocolate for consumers with different taster statuses
## Emotional Response

- Emotional terms from EmoSensory ${ }^{\circledR}$ wheel:

Check-All-That-Apply (CATA).

- Open-ended question.


## DATA COLLECTION \& ANALYSIS

- January 2021 - SA entered lockdown level 3 - Covid-19 pandemic
- Complete questionnaire within 72 hours
- Data analysis
- Descriptive analysis
- Associations - cross-tabulation with phi coefficient \& Cramer's V
- Significance level of $p<0,05$


## RESULTS <br> DEMOGRAPHICS

- 153 adult respondents



## PROP TASTER STATUS TEST

## Taster status groups



LMS: 1 being "barely detectable" to 100 being "strongest imaginable"

## CHOCOLATE CONSUMPTION

Frequency


Reason


## SUGAR-FREE CHOCOLATE:

## ACCEPTANCE \& PURCHASE INTENTION

|  | Percent (\%) |  |  |  |  | Mean | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |
| Milk chocolate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taste ${ }^{1}$ | 1,9 | 1,3 | 19,6 | 54,4 | 22,8 | 3,95 | 0,80 |
| Aftertaste ${ }^{1}$ | 1,9 | 6,3 | 25,3 | 44,9 | 21,5 | 3,78 | 0,92 |
| Purchase intent ${ }^{2}$ | 4,4 | 10,1 | 12,7 | 41,1 | 31,6 | 3,85 | 1,11 |
| Dark chocolate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taste ${ }^{1}$ | 1,3 | 6,6 | 15,8 | 34,8 | 41,4 | 4,09 | 0,97 |
| Aftertaste ${ }^{1}$ | 5,1 | 7,0 | 13,9 | 39,9 | 33,5 | 3,90 | 1,102 |
| Purchase intent ${ }^{2}$ | 4,4 | 10,8 | 10,1 | 31,6 | 42,4 | 3,97 | 1,171 |

${ }^{1} 1$ = dislike extremely, 2 = dislike very much, 3 = neither like nor dislike, 4 = like very much, 5 = like extremely
${ }^{2} 1$ = definitely would not buy, 2 = probably would not buy, 3 = might or might not buy, 4 = probably would buy, 5 = definitely would buy

## EMOTIONAL RESPONSE

| Emotion | Percent (\%) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Milk |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Positive | 36,1 | 35,4 |  |
| Contented | 12,7 | 24,7 |  |
| Desire | 6,3 | 13,9 |  |
| Enthusiastic | 17,1 | 22,8 |  |
| Glad | 51,9 | 53,2 |  |
| Good | 43,7 | 39,9 |  |
| Happy | 56,3 | 49,4 |  |
| Pleasant | $\mathbf{5 7 , 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 , 8}$ |  |
| Satisfied | $\mathbf{y}$ |  |  |
| Negative | 2,5 | 10,1 |  |
| Disappointed | 3,2 | 5,1 |  |
| Discontented | 8,9 | $\mathbf{1 0 , 1}$ |  |
| Disgust | 9,5 | 3,2 |  |
| Dissatisfied | 0 | 2,5 |  |
| Guilty | 2,5 | 1,9 |  |
| Nervous | Sad | 3,8 |  |
| Unpleasant surprise | 8,9 |  |  |
| Unclassified |  |  |  |
| Calm | 51,9 | 43,7 |  |



## EMOTIONAL LEXICONS

|  | Non tasters | Medium tasters | Supertasters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milk chocolate: Highest selected emotion | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pleasant (+) } \\ \text { Sad (-) } \end{gathered}$ | Guilty (-) | Happy (+) <br> Satisfied (+) <br> Calm |
| Dark chocolate: Highest selected emotion |  | Glad (+) <br> Good (+) <br> Dissatisfied (-) <br> Unpleasant (-) <br> surprise | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Desire (+) } \\ \text { Enthusiastic ( }+ \text { ) } \\ \text { Disappointed (-) } \\ \text { Discontended (-) } \\ \text { Disgust (-) } \\ \text { Nervous (-) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Milk chocolate: Lowest selected emotion | Desire (+) <br> Enthusiastic (+) Glad (+) <br> Unpleasant surprise (-) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Discontended (-) } \\ \text { Disguist (-) } \\ \text { Sad (-) } \end{gathered}$ | Discontended (-) |
| Dark chocolate: Lowest selected emotion | ```Guilty (-) Nervous (-) Sad (-)``` | Pleasant (+) <br> Dissatisfied (-) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Happy (+) } \\ & \text { Pleasant (+) } \\ & \text { Satisfied (+) } \end{aligned}$ |

## ASSOCIATION BETWEEN EMOTIONAL LEXICONS AND CONSUMERS' TASTER STATUS

| Chocolate <br> sample | Taster status | Emotion | Phi | P-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Milk <br> chocolate | Non tasters | Content (+) | 0.216 | 0.070 |
|  |  | Guilty (-) | -0.212 | $0.008^{*}$ |
|  | All tasters | Content (+) | 0.220 | $0.020^{*}$ |
| Dark <br> chocolate | Supertasters | Discontent (-) | 0.230 | $0.004^{*}$ |
|  |  | Disgust (-) | 0.160 | $0.044^{*}$ |

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS AND CONSUMERS' TASTER STATUS

| CONSUMERS' TASTER STATUS GROUPS IN AGE AND YEARS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| MALE | female | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40 AND <br> OLDER |
| GENDER |  | AGEIN YEARS |  |  |
| $\text { Phi }=1.32 ; \text { p-value }=0.264$ |  |  | Phi $=0.290 ; p$-value $=0.012^{*}$ |  |
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## VALUE OF THE STUDY

- Relationship between demographics and taster status - valuable information for marketers to target specific consumers
- e.g. development of sugar-free foods with bitter notes - target more men when marketing - more women = supertasters
- Use of emotional lexicons during product development will ensure that needs of consumers in different segments are met and increase product's success
- e.g. run marketing campaign - target Generation Z - advertise sugar-free dark chocolate as an affordable luxury item to influence their perception
- When the focus is rather on a specific taster status, it will ensure that consumers have access to foods that have been altered to their taste sensitivity
- May lead to consumers choosing more sugar-free products


## 凹 NWU ${ }^{\bullet}$

## CONCLUSIONS

- Sugar-free chocolates with sweeteners
- can be consumed and enjoyed as a healthier alternative
- evoked different emotional terms for consumers with different taster statuses
- Different emotional lexicons are required for each taster status
- Emotional lexicons will contribute to future research on the sensory acceptability of and behaviour with regard to chocolate and sugar-free products for the South African market


## THANK YOU
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