



Proceedings Quantification of losses in a Photovoltaic System: A Review *

Faisal Saeed ^{1,*} and Abdullah Zohaib²

- ¹ SBA School of Science and Engineering, Lahore University of Management Sciences (LUMS), Lahore, Pakistan
- ² Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Engineering and Technology Lahore, Pakistan
- * Correspondence: 19060005@lums.edu.pk
- + Presented at 2nd International Conference on Applied Sciences

Abstract: In this paper, we characterized and reviewed the emergence of fundamental and extended 9 losses that limit the efficiency of the photovoltaic (PV) system. Although in a practical environment, 10 there is an upper theoretical bound to the power conversion efficiency of the solar cell i.e. Schockly 11 Queisser limit yet the consideration of inevitable losses in a whole PV system is worth imperative 12 to optimally harvest the solar energy. In this regard, this study quantifies the losses from a PV cell 13 level to the whole PV system. It was perceived that reported losses on a PV cell level including the 14low energy bandgap, thermalization, recombination (surface and bulk recombination), optical ab-15 sorption, space charge region, finite thickness, metal contact loss, cutting techniques mainly con-16 strained the power conversion efficiency of the solar cell. A step ahead, the detailed PV array losses 17 were classified as mismatch power loss, dust accumulation losses, temperature effects, material 18 quality loss, and ohmic loss of wiring. The unavoidable system losses were quantified as inverter 19 losses, maximum power point tracking losses, battery losses, and polarization losses. The study also 20 provides insights on potential approaches to combat these losses and can become a useful guide in 21 better visualization of the overall phenomenology of a PV System. 22

Keywords: PV Cell; PV Modules; Losses; Quantification

1. Introduction

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Proceedings* **2021**, 68, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxx

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

In the last few years, photovoltaic (PV) emerged as pioneer technology to meet-up en-27 ergy demands from small scale consumer to the commercial sector and provides a cost-28 beneficial solar power generation system that can be used to offset the electricity cost from 29 utility provider as well as alleviate the burden on the national electricity grid. Another 30 major advantage of PV systems is the emission reduction benefits [1, 2]. Presently the in-31 stalled PV capacity is around 109 GW_p , and this would cross 149 GW_p by 2022 according 32 to International Energy Agency (IEA), France [3]. This trend certainly demonstrates un-33 paralleled progress in efficiency enhancement in the area of photovoltaics combined with 34 power electronic aided hybrid converters as well as cutting edge cost benefits yet losses 35 emergence in real environmental conditions are inevitable as the losses cannot be elimi-36 nated beyond the fundamental limits [4-6]. 37

PV cell harvest solar energy to yield photogenerated power. The performance of the solar 39 cell depends upon the available solar insolation and the spectral distribution of incident 40 wavelengths over the surface of the PV system. The output of the solar cell is generally 41 measured at standard testing conditions (STC); Irradiance 1000 W/m^2 , Temperature 25 42

2

1

4 5

3

6 7 8

23 24

25 26

°C and standard earth spectrum AM 1.5 G where G stands for global and includes both direct and diffuse radiation[7]. The performance of the solar cell is characterized based on the parameters including; open circuit voltage (V_{oc}), voltage at the maximum power point (V_{mp}) , short circuit current (I_{sc}) , current at the maximum power point (I_{mp}) , and the max-imum power point (P_{mp}) , which can be extracted from the current-voltage (I-V) [8,9]. The efficiency (η) of the solar cell is the ratio of available solar energy to the converted electrical energy which can be calculated by having the percentage of maximum power point and the surface area of the solar cell (A) into irradiance (I_r) given by (1).

$$\gamma = \frac{P_{mp}}{A \times I_r} \quad (\%) \tag{1}$$



Figure 1. Generic Current-Voltage (I-V) and Power-Voltage (P-V)characteristics of a photovoltaic cell [5]

In real environmental conditions, several factors affect the performance of the PV cell. Herein we firstly reviewed the major losses from PV cell to the overall PV system and subsequently characterized and presented the losses in a pictorial form for better visualization and understanding of the reader.

2. Quantification of losses in a Photovoltaic System

2.1. Losses in a Photovoltaic Cell

The loss mechanisms in a PV cell initiates with the fundamental inability of solar absorber-layer material (Silicon, Gallium Arsenide, Perovskite, Copper indium Gallisum selenide CIGS and others) to potentially absorb all incident light wavelengths [10]. Incident light wavelengths with photon energy (E_{ph}) less than energy bandgap (E_g) of the absorber layer not get absorbed. Such losses are the *Below Energy Band Gap Losses* and mathematically given by (2) [11].

Below
$$Eg \text{ Loss} = \int_{0}^{Eg} E \cdot GP(E, \Omega_A, T_S, \mu = 0) dE$$
 (2)

The photons with energy $E_{ph} > E_g$ generate electron-hole pairs. However, the carriers 49 having high Kinetic Energy sometimes decay to the band edges quickly from their initial 50 excited states to reach thermal equilibrium states releasing their excess energy on interaction with the crystal lattice. Such losses come under *Thermalization loss and* the mathematical relation is given in (3) [12-14]. 53

Thermodynamic studies on a PV cell demonstrated that at temperature > 0 K, a voltage 2 drop is associated with the PV cell termed as *Etendue loss* [15]. Moreover, *Fermi level losses*; 3 losses associated with displacement of V_{oc} and and E_g relation and Electron Kinetic 4 losses; losses underlying the inefficacious use of kinetic energy of carriers during the ther-5 malization process are among the major thermodynamic losses that limit the efficiency 6 of the solar cells [14-16]. Besides this, operating solar cells at P_{mp} could also results in 7 reduced output performance because of series and shunt resistance effects and is referred 8 to as Fill Factor loss [17]. 9

In practical scenarios, part of incident light falling on the surface of the solar cell gets 11 reflected or transmitted instead of being absorbed. Such losses are referred to as Optical 12 losses [18]. The reflected portion of the incident light is also separately named as the Re-13 *flection loss* [13,18]. The reflection losses directly reduced the I_{sc} of the solar cell. Simi-14 larly, the finite thickness or geometry of the solar cell contributes to Transmission losses in 15 a PV cell [13,18]. In a wafer-based solar cell, the contact to the front side of the cell (from 16 where light enters) is made from a finger and bus bar. These metal contacts shadow some 17 light which can be up to 10% [16-18]. Such losses tend to originate Area losses/losses due to 18 Metal Coverage. 19

Photons incident on the solar cell generates electron-hole pairs and these generated carriers need to be separated to reach the respective metal contacts before they recombine, . The recombination of the carriers attributes to *Recombination losses* in a solar cell. Recombination losses are further classified as (i) Surface recombination (ii) bulk recombination (iii) depletion region recombination and (iv) recombination at the metal contacts [19].

2.2. Photovoltaic Array Losses

The identical PV cell / module/ array under same environmental conditions/ STC some times exhibit un-identical P_{mp} values because of manufacturing errors which is attributed as *Mismatch power loss* [20]. It is to be noted that under heterogeneous irradiation conditions (partial shading), mismatch power loss is modeled separately due to variation in module performance/physical environments.

The accumulation of dust over the surface of the PV module results in reduced photogenerated power and also affects the angle incidence reaching the absorber layer of the solar cell. Such losses are referred to as *Dust Accumulation losses* [21]. Besides this varied irradiance values over time; Irradiance Losses, and temperature impacts (hot spot issues); Temperature Losses, and DC wiring Ohmic losses seriously affect the power conversion efficiency of the PV module [22,23].

2.3. System-Level Losses

On a system level, the *Inverter losses, Batter losses, Maximum power point tracking (MPPT)* 46 topology losses, and potential induced degradation or Polarization losses are among the 47 major PV system losses that result in reduced performance of the PV system over time [24, 48 25]. 49

For better understanding, the above-mentioned PV cell-system losses have been pictorially shown in Figure 2.

1

10

20

21

22

23

24

25 26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42 43

44 45

50

51



Figure 2. Characterization of Losses in a photovoltaic systems; cell to system level

3. Possible Ways to Combat Losses

3.1. Addressing Photovoltaic Cell-Level Losses

The Below Energy Band Gap, Thermalization, Fermi level losses, and Etendue losses can be ad-37 dressed by employing absorber layer material of low E_q or multi-junction approaches. In 38 emerging PV technology, energy bandgap tuning of organic/inorganic absorber layer 39 properties can be useful to combat the above-mentioned issues. The Optical and Reflection 40 losses can be addressed by using surface texturing and anti-reflecting coatings (the mate-41 rial should have good transmittance). The *Transmission losses* can be addressed by employ-42 ing appropriate wafer geometry and thickness to absorb maximum incident light wave-43 lengths. The Area Losses can be mitigated by reducing the widths of the finger over the top 44 surface while expanding back metal contact size. Surface recombination losses can be re-45 duced by passivating the surface to reduce dangling bonds or adopting of window layer 46 to limit the path of minority charge carriers at a maximum. Depletion region recombination 47 losses is not the most prominent. Bulk recombination losses can be addressed using pure 48 semi-conductor material while rear surface passivation approaches could aid in combat-49 ing metal contact recombination sites [11-19]. 50

33 34

35 36

51 52 53

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

The Mismatch power losses can be addressed by the application of by-pass/blocking diodes or cell-cutting approaches. The Dust accumulation losses can be addressed by proper cleaning of PV module with demineralized water or electro-static cleaning system. The temperature losses can be addressed by considering appropriate module technology (crystalline,

> 11 12 13

15 16

14

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25 26

27

28

29

4. Conclusion

3.3. System-Level Losses

Depending upon the nature of losses in a PV system reported in the literature, we broadly and briefly classified major losses responsible for reduced efficacy of the whole PV system as; PV cell level, array level, and system-level and presented them in a pictorial form. Further, we discussed the potential solutions to overcome fundamental and extended losses in the PV system. This illustration can become a brief-useful guide in getting aware of the PV-cell fabrication level issues to the whole PV system.

crystalline PERC, thin-film) while DC wiring losses can be mitigated by using wires of

With the employment efficient power electronics aided topologies, Inverter, MPPT, and

Polarization losses can be addressed [25, 26]. Proper sizing of Battery, advancement to-

wards Dry batteries rather than Lead -Acid Batteries and moderate temperature, battery

dispatch strategies can aid in mitigating *Battery Losses* in the PV System [27].

good conductance and a minimum number of connections [20-25].

Author Contributions: All Authors contributed equally to this research effort. Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

		30
1.	Anvari-Moghaddam, A.; Vahidinasab, V.; Mohammadi-Ivatloo, B.; Razzaghi, R.; Mohammadi, F. Emerging technologies for	31
	the energy systems of the future. Inventions 2021, 6.	32
2.	McKuin, B.; Zumkehr, A.; Ta, J.; Bales, R.; Viers, J.H.; Pathak, T.; Campbell, J.E. Energy and water co-benefits from covering	33
	canals with solar panels. <i>Nat. Sustain.</i> 2021 , 4.	34
3.	Tauqeer, H.A.; Saeed, F.; Yousuf, M.H.; Ahmed, H.; Idrees, A.; Khan, M.H.; Gelani, H.E. Proposed model of sustainable	35
	resource management for smart grid utilization. World Electr. Veh. J. 2021, 12.	36
4.	Olczak, P.; Olek, M.; Matuszewska, D.; Dyczko, A.; Mania, T. Monofacial and bifacial micro pv installation as element of	37
	energy transition—the case of poland. <i>Energies</i> 2021 , 14.	38
5.	Saeed, F.; Yousuf, M.H.; Tauqeer, H.A.; Akhtar, M.R.; Abbas, Z.A.; Khan, M.H. Performance benchmark of multi-layer neural	39
	network based solar MPPT for PV applications. In Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Emerging Power	40
	Technologies, ICEPT 2021; 2021.	41
6.	Ahmed, W.; Sheikh, J.A.; Farjana, S.H.; Mahmud, M.A.P. Defects impact on pv system ghg mitigation potential and climate	42
	change. Sustain. 2021 , 13	43
7.	Saeed, F., W.M.D., R.T.U., K.M.A., K.M.H. and G.H., A Comparative Study of Grid-Tied PV Systems Employing CIGS and	44
	Crystalline Solar Modules. In Proceedings of the 2021 Mohammad Ali Jinnah University International Conference on	45
	Computing (MAJICC); IEEE, 2021; pp. 1–7.	46
8.	Saeed, F.; Tauqeer, H.A.; Idrees, A.; Ali, M.Z.; Raza, A.; Khan, M.A. Buffer Layered PbS Colloidal Quantum Dot Solar Cell	47

6	of	6

	with Enhanced Efficiency. In Proceedings of the 2021 4th International Conference on Energy Conservation and Efficiency,	1
	ICECE 2021 - Proceedings; 2021.	2
9.	Saeed, F.; Abbas, Z.A.; Akhtar, M.R.; Yousuf, M.H.; Idrees, A.; Tauqeer, H.A. Intelligent Hybrid Energy Resource Connected	3
	Demand Side Load Management System-Case of Pakistan. In Proceedings of the 2021 4th International Conference on Energy Conservation and Efficiency, ICECE 2021 - Proceedings; 2021.	4 5
10.	Kapsalis, V.; Kyriakopoulos, G.; Zamparas, M.; Tolis, A. Investigation of the photon to charge conversion and its implication	6
	on photovoltaic cell efficient operation. Energies 2021, 14.	7
11.	Nayak, P.K.; Mahesh, S.; Snaith, H.J.; Cahen, D. Photovoltaic solar cell technologies: analysing the state of the art. Nat. Rev.	8
	Mater. 2019, 4.	9
12.	Amin, N.; Karim, M.R.; Alothman, Z.A. Optical losses of frontal layers in superstrate cds/cdte solar cells using opal2. Coatings	10
	2021 , <i>11</i> .	11
13.	Luo, D.; Su, R.; Zhang, W.; Gong, Q.; Zhu, R. Minimizing non-radiative recombination losses in perovskite solar cells. <i>Nat. Rev. Mater.</i> 2020, 5.	12 13
14.	López, E.; Martí, A.; Antolín, E.; Luque, A. On the potential of silicon intermediate band solar cells. <i>Energies</i> 2020 , 13.	14
15.	Vasiliev, M.; Nur-E-Alam, M.; Alameh, K. Recent developments in solar energy-harvesting technologies for building	15
	integration and distributed energy generation. <i>Energies</i> 2019 , <i>12</i> .	16
16.	Yadav, P.; Prochowicz, D.; Saliba, M.; Boix, P.P.; Zakeeruddin, S.M.; Grätzel, M. Interfacial kinetics of efficient perovskite	17
	solar cells. <i>Crystals</i> 2017 , 7.	18
17.	Min, K.H.; Min, K.H.; Kim, T.; Kang, M.G.; Song, H.E.; Kang, Y.; Lee, H.S.; Kim, D.; Park, S.; Lee, S.H. An analysis of fill factor	19
	loss depending on the temperature for the industrial silicon solar cells. Energies 2020, 13.	20
18.	Kosyachenko, L.A.; Mathew, X.; Paulson, P.D.; Lytvynenko, V.Y.; Maslyanchuk, O.L. Optical and recombination losses in	21
	thin-film Cu(In,Ga)Se2 solar cells. Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 2014, 130.	22
19.	Bai, Q.; Yang, H.; Cheng, X.; Wang, H. Recombination parameters of the diffusion region and depletion region for crystalline	23
	silicon solar cells under different injection levels. Appl. Sci. 2020, 10.	24
20.	Bosman, L.B.; Leon-Salas, W.D.; Hutzel, W.; Soto, E.A. PV system predictive maintenance: Challenges, current approaches,	25
	and opportunities. <i>Energies</i> 2020, 16.	26
21.	Altıntaş, M.; Arslan, S. The study of dust removal using electrostatic cleaning system for solar panels. Sustain. 2021, 13.	27
22.	Vieira, R.G.; de Araújo, F.M.U.; Dhimish, M.; Guerra, M.I.S. A comprehensive review on bypass diode application on	28
	photovoltaic modules. <i>Energies</i> 2020, 13.	29
23.	Bai, J.; Zong, X. Global solar radiation transfer and its loss in the atmosphere. Appl. Sci. 2021, 11, doi:10.3390/app11062651.	30
24.	Derbeli, M.; Barambones, O.; Silaa, M.Y.; Napole, C. Real-time implementation of a new MPPT control method for a DC-DC	31
	boost converter used in a PEM fuel cell power system. Actuators 2020, 9.	32
25.	Zhang, S.; Peng, J.; Qian, H.; Shen, H.; Wei, Q.; Lian, W.; Ni, Z.; Jie, J.; Zhang, X.; Xie, L. The impact of thermal treatment on	33
	light-induced degradation of multicrystalline silicon PERC solar cell. <i>Energies</i> 2019 , 12.	34
26.	Maxim, A.A.; Sadyk, S.N.; Aidarkhanov, D.; Surya, C.; Ng, A.; Hwang, Y.H.; Atabaev, T.S.; Jumabekov, A.N. PMMA thin	35
	film with embedded carbon quantum dots for post-fabrication improvement of light harvesting in perovskite solar cells.	36
	Nanomaterials 2020 , 10.	37
27.	Duto-Lopez, R.; Cortés-Arcos, T.; Artal-Sevil, J.S.; Bernal-Agustín, J.L. Comparison of lead-acid and li-ion batteries lifetime	38
	prediction models in stand-alone photovoltaic systems. <i>Appl. Sci.</i> 2021 , 11.	39 40
		40