Synthesis and anticancer activity of 2-(2-arylmethylthio-4-chloro-5-methylbenzenesulfonyl)-1-phenylguanidines

Beata Žołnowska ^a, Jarosław Sławiński ^a, Anna Kawiak ^b ^a Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University of Gdansk ^b Department of Biotechnology, Intercollegiate Faculty of Biotechnology, University of Gdansk - Medical University of Gdansk

Introduction

Sulfonamides are a classic group of chemotherapeutic drugs with a broad spectrum of pharmacological action includind anticancer activity [1-6]. Cinnamic acid and its natural analogues have been used in the treatment of cancer for over centuries [7]. Natural products containing the cinnamon group attract a lot of attention due to their broad spectrum of biological activity and low toxicity. Thus designing of molecular hybrids, containing in their structure pharmacophore fragments of cinnamic acid and sulfonamide, may lead to compounds with increased biological activity as a result of synergistic effect of both fragments.

Synthesis

The new original compounds **12-30** were molecular hybrids containing the pharmacophore core of 4-chloro-2-mercapto-5-methylbenzenesulfonamide and 4-acetylphenyl moiety or chalcone fragment. Derivatives 12-22 were synthesized by reacting the corresponding monopotassium N-(benzenesulfonyl)cyanamide salts [8-13] with 4aminoacetophenone in the presence of p-toluenesulfonic acid (PTSA) and anhydrous toluene (Scheme 1). The reaction mixture was heated for 3 h at 110 °C. In order to obtain the second series of compounds 23-30, aldol condensation reaction has been applied. Compounds 12-19 were treated with benzaldehyde in the presence of NaOH in methanol for 24 h at 65 °C (Scheme 2). The structure of the new derivatives was confirmed by IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and HRMS spectroscopic methods and elemental analysis (C, H, N).



Scheme 1

Scheme 2

Cytotoxic activity against MCF-7, HCT-116, HeLa cell lines

The synthesized compounds 12-30 have been tested for their anticancer activity on three human cancer cell lines: HCT-116 (colon cancer), HeLa (cervical cancer) and MCF-7 (breast cancer). The selectivity of the action of tested compounds was assessed using the HaCaT non-cancer cell line. Analyzes were performed using the MTT assay and results were expressed as IC₅₀ values (the concentration required to inhibit the viability of 50% of the tumor cell population) (*Table 1 and 2*).

Table 1

Compound			IC ₅₀ (μΜ)		
	R	MCF-7	HeLa	HCT-16	HaCaT
12	Ph	22±0.2	40±2	25±1	56±2
13	3-CF₃Ph	12±0.1	37±1	23±0.5	445±22
14	4-CF₃Ph	38±1	53±1	30±0.6	78±4
15	3-FPh	23±0.5	110±1	72±2	230±12
16	4-FPh	18±1	58±2	26±1	205±11
17	3-ClPh	90±3	28±1	27±1	120±4
18	4-ClPh	182±5	78±5	43±2	250±13
19	3-NO ₂ Ph	21±1	21±1	17±1	55±3
20	4-NO ₂ Ph	13±0.6	15±1	14±0.7	32±2
21	1-naphthyl	16±0.8	15±1	10±0.2	33±2
22	2-naphthyl	79±5	38±2	19±1	145±8

Among the tested compounds, derivatives **19**, **20** and **21** deserve special attention, as they showed the highest potency against all tumor cell lines (IC₅₀: 10-21 μ M). The high activity of derivatives **19** and **20** was related to the presence of a nitro group in the substituent R, with preferred location of this substituent in para position (**20**, IC_{50} : 13-15 μ M).



Table 2

Compound	IC ₅₀ (μM)						
	R	MCF-7	HeLa	HCT-16	HaCaT		
23	Ph	5.5±0.2	15±0.6	5±0.2	17±1		
24	3-CF ₃ Ph	4.7±0.2	8.5±0.5	6±0.2	23±1		
25	4-CF ₃ Ph	33±1	78±4	58±3	115±6		
26	3-FPh	4.8±0.2	5±0.1	5.5±0.3	12±0.6		
27	4-FPh	4.8±0.1	17±1	14±0.5	27±1		
28	3-ClPh	33±2	38±2	24±1	67±2		
29	4-ClPh	4.8±0.1	16±0.5	15±1	25±1		
30	3-NO ₂ Ph	31±1	28±1	100±3	120±3		

The obtained results showed that derivatives **23-30** exhibited the strongest cytotoxic activity against the MCF-7 cell line, especially compounds 23, 24, 26, **27, 29** with IC_{50} values <10 μ M. Moreover, the most active derivatives that inhibit the viability of HeLa cells were 23, 24 and 26 (IC₅₀: 15; 8.5 and 5 μ M), the same compounds also showed high efficacy against the HCT-116 cell line (IC₅₀: 5; 6 and 5.5 μM).



Literature: [1] Drew J. Science 287 (2000) 1960–1964. [2] Kaur IP. et. al. Int. J. Pharm. 248 (2002) 1–14. [3] Supuran CT. et. al. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 31 (1996) 843–846. [4] Wouters J. et. al. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 35 (2000) 923–929. [5] Noble S. et. al. Drugs 60 (2000) 1383–1410. [6] Scozzafava A. et. al. Curr. Med. Chem. 10 (2003) 925–953. [7] De P, et al. Curr Med Chem. 2011;18(11):1672-703. [8] J. Sławiński, Polish J. Chem. 2001, 75, 1309–1316. [9] J. Sławiński, et al., Monatsh. Chem. 2012, 143, 1705–1718. [10] A. Pogorzelska, et al., Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2017, 138, 357–370. [11] J. Sławiński, et al., Molecules, 2014, 19, 13704–13723. [12] J. Sławiński, et al., Monatsh. Chem. 2012, 143, 1705–1718. [13] B. Żołnowska, et al., Molecules 2015, 20, 19101–19129.

