

The 7th International Electronic Conference on Medicinal Chemistry (ECMC 2021) 01–30 NOVEMBER 2021 | ONLINE

New ruthenium-cyclopentadienyl agents as a new strategy to fight colorectal cancer

Ana Rita Brás^{1,2,3}, Pedro Fernandes^{1,2}, Tiago Moreira, Andreia Valente^{3*}, Ana Preto^{1,2*}

¹CBMA – Centre of Molecular and Environmental Biology, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal ²IBS - Institute of Science and Innovation for Bio-Sustainability, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal

³CQE – Centro de Química Estrutural, Faculty of Sciences of University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

*Co-senior authorship Corresponding author: apreto@bio.uminho.pt











New ruthenium-cyclopentadienyl agents as a new strategy to fight colorectal cancer





Abstract

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most lethal cancers worldwide, however it has limited chemotherapeutic agents available. CRC harboring KRAS and BRAF mutations are correlated with resistance to EGFR inhibitors what constitutes a relevant clinical problem. Ruthenium (Ru) drugs had arisen as one of the most promising metallodrugs with features that increase their specificity and selectivity toward cancer cells.

Recently, a new family of Ru-cyclopentadienyl conjugates was designed using macromolecules and/or biomolecules. Here, we aimed to study the effect of these new Ru conjugates in CRC cells in order to study the potential increase in selectivity and efficiency in CRC cells. In this work, we used two CRC-derived cell lines with KRAS and BRAF mutations and a normal colon cell line to study cellular cytotoxicity, antiproliferative activity, cell death mechanism, intracellular distribution, MAPK-ERK and PI3K-AKT signaling pathways and actin cytoskeleton effects of the compounds.

Our results revealed that Ru agents are more cytotoxic for CRC cells, induce cell cycle arrest, decrease the ability of cells to proliferate, induce apoptosis and preferentially localize in membrane and cytoskeleton of CRC cells. Ru agents also affect F-actin polymerization and MAPK-ERK and PI3K-AKT signaling pathways.

Overall, our results showed that Ru compounds present promising anticancer activity in CRC cells, mainly in KRAS mutated cell lines, what could bring new avenues in CRC therapy.

Keywords: Colorectal cancer; KRAS; BRAF; Ruthenium agents



Colorectal cancer



Figure 1. Number of global deaths of CRC in GLOBOCAN 2020. Adapted from: Sung et al., 2021.



Colorectal cancer carcinogenesis



Figure 2. CRC carcinogenesis. Adapted from: Kuipers, 2015





Targeted therapies

Target growth factor pathways in CRC- EGFR inhibitors

 CRC with KRAS, BRAF and PIK3CA mutations do not respond to EGFR inhibitors



Colorectal cancer therapy

Surgery

Clinical relevant problem that needs to be overcome! Chemo pies Target growth factor pathways in The widely most

chemotherapy agent to treat CRC

- Success rate as low as 10-15%
- Severe side effects and resistance

CRC- EGFR inhibitors

CRC with KRAS, BRAF and PIK3CA ۰ mutations do not respond to EGFR inhibitors



Ruthenium drugs

- Low toxicity
- Potential to overcome platinum-resistance
- Activation-by-reduction
- Low ligand-exchange rate



Figure 3. "Activation-by-reduction" mechanism of ruthenium(III) complexes. Adapted from: Antonarakis & Emadi, 2010



New ruthenium-cyclopentadienyl agents

Compound 1









Figure 4. Enhanced permeability and retention effect. Adapted from: Blunden & Stenzel, 2015



Figure 5. Biotin receptor (Sodium dependent multivitamin transporter) overexpression in cancer cells.



Cytotoxic core

The 7th International Electronic Conference on Medicinal Chemistry 01–30 NOVEMBER 2021 | ONLINE



Biodegradable polymer chain 💭 Biotin molecule

Legend:

Aim



- What is the effect of ruthenium compounds in colorectal cancer cells survival?
- Which is the mechanism of action and molecular targets of these compounds?



1. Ru agents are more cytotoxic and selective to CRC cells

	SW480 ^{KRAS}	RKO ^{BRAF}	NCM460	Selectivity Index	
Compound	IC ₅₀ (μΜ)	IC ₅₀ (μM)	IC ₅₀ (μΜ)	SW480	RKO
1	6,0	4,0	15,0	2,5	3,8
2	14,1	7,7	57,2	4,1	7,4
3	1,8	2,8	4,3	2,4	1,5

Table 1. Determination of the IC_{50} values of Ru agents by Sulforhodamine B.



2. Ru agents decrease the colonogenic ability of CRC cells



Figure 6. Representative images and graphics of colony formation ability of RKO cells.



3. Ru agents decrease the proliferation of CRC cells



Figure 7. Graphical representation of proliferation analysis using carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester by flow cytometry.



4. Ru agents induce cell cycle arrest in CRC cells



Figure 8. Graphical representation of cell cycle analysis using propidium iodide in CRC cells.



5. Ru agents induce apoptosis in CRC cells



Figure 9. Graphical representation of annexin V/propidium iodide results in CRC cells.



6. Ru agents are located at the cytoskeleton and membrane of CRC cells



Figure 10. Graphical representation of cellular distribution of Ru agents in RKO and SW480 cells.



7. Ru agents affect the actin cytoskeleton of CRC cells



Figure 11. Analysis of F-actin staining in CRC cells. Representative images (×600) of DAPI, Phalloidin-AlexaFluor[®] 568 were obtained by confocal microscopy.



Conclusions

- ✓ Ru agents show **cytotoxicity** and **selectivity** towards CRC cells;
- ✓ Ru agents **decrease proliferation** and **induce apoptosis**;
- Ru agents preferentially localize in membrane and cytoskeleton in CRC cells;
- ✓ Ru agents also **affect F-actin polymerization.**

Ru agents showed promising anticancer activity in CRC cells.



Acknowledgments



Ana Preto's Group





Andreia Valente's Group

SFRH/BD/139271/2018 attributed to Ana Rita Brás CEECCIND/01974/2017 attributed to Andreia Valente PTDC/QUIQIN/28662/2017



