



Proceedings Coastal Flood Risk Analysis in Turkey's Black Sea Region *

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1

Emilia Avram 1,2,3*, Daniel Constantin Diaconu 2,4,5 and Mustafa Tufekcioglu 6
--

- ¹ Simion Mehedinți "Nature and Sustainable Development" Doctoral School, University of Bucharest, 061071 Bucharest, Romania. emilia.avram97@yahoo.com;
- ² Research Center for Integrated Analysis and Territorial Management, University of Bucharest, 4-12 Regina Elisabeta Avenue, 030018 Bucharest, Romania
- ³ National Institute of Hydrology and Water Management, Bucharest-Ploiesti 97E Road, 013686 Bucharest, Romania
- ⁴ Department of Meteorology and Hydrology, Faculty of Geography, University of Bucharest, 061071 Bucharest, Romania; daniel.diaconu@unibuc.ro
 - Buzau Ialomita Water Administration, Bucegi Avenue, nr. 20 bis, 120208, Buzau, Romania
- Artvin Coruh University, Faculty of Forestry, Seyitler Campus, 08000, Artvin/Turkey;
- mtufekcioglu61@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: emilia.avram97@yahoo.com;

+ Presented at the 6th International Electronic Conference on Water Sciences (ECWS-6), Online, 15–30 November 2021.

Abstract: The risk of coastal flooding is increasing as a result of the combined action of storm surges 18and sea-level rise in the context of global climate change. The rate of sea-level rise is accelerating 19 year by year, and an increase of more than 60 cm is expected by the end of the century. The Black 20 Sea, even if it is a semi-closed sea, is also affected by this phenomenon, and its effects are visible 21 especially during storms. The August 2021 climate events in Turkey have brought attention to stud-22 ying floods in the Black Sea coast. Thus, the objective of this paper is to assess the flood risk at the 23 Turkish Black Sea coast. This study uses an efficient methodology to delineate flood-hazard areas 24 using Geographic Information Systems. The result of the research is the development of a flood risk 25 map covering various scenarios of sea-level rise which lead to coastal flooding. The map for the 26 entire Turkish coastal area of the Black Sea Region revealed that the most affected area would be 27 the province of Samsun. The results of this study can be used by policy-makers to implement ap-28 propriate risk mitigation strategies in those high flood risk areas. 29

Keywords: coastal zone; floods; Black Sea; Turkey; global climate change; GIS

31

32

30

1. Introduction

A large proportion of the world's population lives in coastal areas and more people 33 are expected to live in areas that are vulnerable to the effects of climate change [1–4]. Cli-34 mate change is a major global problem with significant negative effects for coastal settle-35 ments. Risks include sea-level rise, increased frequency and intensity of storms [5]. One 36 of the effects of climate change is sea level rise (SLR). The Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) 37 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) projected that global sea level 38 will rise by up to ~60 cm by 2100 [6]. Extreme water levels will also increase as time-aver-39 age sea-levels rise. In addition, changes in the number, path and strength of atmospheric 40 cyclonic storms may alter the formation and evolution of storm surges [7]. 41

The interaction of climate and non-climate factors increases the sea level and thus increases the probability of an extreme event occurring. Adverse effects resulting from an extreme event may include coastal flooding, coastal erosion, shoreline relocation, saltwater intrusion and groundwater, damage to coastal ecosystems as well as affecting agriculture, tourism, biodiversity and infrastructure [6,8]. 46

Citation: Avram, E.; Diaconu, D.C.; Tufekcioglu, M. Coastal Flood Risk Analysis in Turkey's Black Sea Region. *Environ. Sci. Proc.* **2021**.

Academic editor: Yongping Chen

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). Recent studies have predicted that some regions of Europe will be more vulnerable 47 to flooding due to climate change, with catastrophic damage prevalent in coastal areas 48 [9,10]. As in many other parts of the world, floods are some of the most devastating extreme events in Turkey, often resulting in significant losses. In many cases floods have 50 caused deaths, injuries and health deterioration [11]. 51

According to International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies 52 (IFRC) following excessive rainfall in the Black Sea Region on 11 August 2021, the flooding 53 has occurred in the Sinop, Bartin and Kastamonu regions. According to information from 54 the Disaster and Emergency Management Presidency (AFAD) of the Ministry of Interior 55 of the Republic of Turkey 68 persons in Kastamonu, 9 persons in Sinop, and 1 person in 56 Bartin have lost their lives as a result of the flash floods [12]. 57

A vulnerability assessment of Turkey coastal areas regarding sea-level rise is needed 58 both as part of coastal management policies for sustainable development and as a guide-59 line for resource allocation for preparation of adaptation for the upcoming problems [13]. 60

The Geographic Information Systems (GIS) has had a significant role in flood hazard 61 assessment and the identification of areas prone to floods and it is a crucial element for 62 any mitigation strategy to the flood risk [14,15]. 63

The proposed methodology attempts to highlight areas affected by rising sea-levels using GIS techniques and open-source data. Informations obtained as a result of this will be accessible and usable in territorial planning by authorities.

2. Materials and Methods

The impact of sea-level rise has been analyzed using ArcGIS geo-processing techniques. A similar methodology has been developed by Malik and Abdalla (2016) [16]. Sealevel scenarios have been developed to assess the vulnerability of coastal areas in Turkey's Black Sea Region (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Study area.

The research identifies vulnerable areas with the aim of drawing policy makers' attention to increasing resilience in case of accelerated sea-level rise. This study analyzes the 75

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

affected areas based on spatial analysis. The spatial analysis has three phases: Digital Ele-76 vation Model (DEM) processing, raster calculator and reclassification, raster to polygon 77 and sea level rise scenarios. The methodology is presented in Figure 2. 78

DEM processing

The DEM used was an European Digital Elevation Model (EU-DEM) at 25 m resolution, version 1.1. The EU-DEM v1.1 upgrade was coordinated by the European Environ-81 ment Agency (EEA) as part of the EU Copernicus program. This had depths which pre-82 sented negative values for the respective cells and needed to be removed to keep the in-83 tegrity in the generated results. To resolve this problem, the ArcGIS fill tool has been used 84 to convert negative values of the raster into meaningful elevations.

Raster calculator and reclassification

Using the Map Algebra Expressions from the raster calculator tool, the cells were 87 extracted in the form of new rasters. This new raster was generated using the elevation 88 values of one to 5 m. The resulting rasters were significant to delineate flood-hazard areas. 89 The generated rasters consisted of cells with two values, 0 and 1: 1 represented the cells 90 that were of interest while 0 represents cells to be removed from the raster. In order to 91 eliminate the cells with values 0 these rasters were reclassified. Using the reclassification 92 tool the 0 value cells was modified as No Data and thus the respective cells had null val-93 ues. This process was repeated for all five rasters. 94

Raster to polygon and sea level rise scenarios

Next, the reclassified rasters were converted to a polygon using the raster to polygon 96 tool from Conversion Tools. This conversion was done because vector data is easier to 97 operate than the rasters cells. Following the conversion, shapefiles selected based on loca-98 tion were generated. Choosing the shapefiles depending on their location allowed select-99 ing areas which touched the water boundary. This was done for all five shapefiles. 100



Figure 2. Methodology flowchart.

80

79

85 86

95

The 1-m shapefile that touched the water boundary had a sea level rise of 1-m. The 103 2-m sea level rise scenario was created by selecting the 2-m areas that touched the water 104 body and adding 1-m of sea level rise. The same procedure was applied for the generation 105 of 3, 4 and 5 m sea level rise scenarios. 106

Once the inundated areas were generated, it was possible to analyze the impact on 107 the study area through spatial analysis. The impact assessment was done by overlaying 108 the inundated layer generated over land-use shapefile and population raster. 109

The Zonal Statistics as Table from Zonal Tools was used for calculating the popula-110 tion of affected areas. To find out the affected area of land use categories was used Clip 111 Tools from Extract Tools and then Statistics from Attribute Table. 112

3. Results

Once the inundated model was generated, it was overlaid on to the Black Sea Region 114 area to quantify the area lost due to the sea level rise. It was possible to visually represents 115 the impact of sea level rise from 1 to 5 m. After sea lever rise (SLR) scenarios for the entire 116 region were realized, it was noted that Samsun would be the most affected region (Figure 117 3). Samsun is a province on the Black Sea coast with a population of 1 348 542 [17]. It is an 118 important agricultural center, with 455 324 ha in the province devoted to agriculture [18]. 119



Figure 3. Modeling scenarios on the Samsun province coast.

Modeling scenarios

For significant results, flooded areas have been quantified for the 5 sea-level rise scenarios in Samsun area (Figure 4, Table 1). 124

At 1 m rise, 175.1 km² area which accounts for about 1.7 % of the total area is lost to sea level rise who affected 6 415.5 inhabitants which accounts about 0,5 %.

At 2 m rise, 316.8 km² area which accounts for about 3.2 % of the total area is lost to sea level rise who affected 23 720.1 inhabitants which accounts about 1.8 %.

At 3 m rise, 451.7 km² area which accounts for about 4.6% of the total area is lost to 129 sea level rise who affected 54 647.6 inhabitants which accounts about 4.1 %. 130

113

121 122

120

123

125

126

127

At 4 m rise, 573.1 km² area which accounts for about 5.8% of the total area is lost to 131 sea level rise who affected 94 979.2 inhabitants which accounts about 7.1 %. 132 At 5 m rise, 666.5 km² area which accounts for about 6.8% of the total area is lost to 133 134

sea level rise who affected 126 519.8 inhabitants which accounts about 9.4 %. The most vulnerable land use categories for every scenario are agricultural areas and 135 136



Figure 4. Area affected (a) and number of inhabitants affected (b) for the five scenarios of sea level rise.

Land use	1m	2m	3m	4m	5m
Artificial surfaces	1.2 sq km	5.30 sq km	13.8 sq km	23.2 sq km	29.8 sq km
Agricultural areas	52.3 sq km	149.4 sq km	255.4 sq km	355.2 sq km	434.6 sq km
Forest and semi natural areas	17.8 sq km	32.9 sq km	48.2 sq km	57.9 sq km	63.8 sq km
Wetlands	66.4 sq km	89.1 sq km	93.1 sq km	94.4 sq km	94.9 sq km
Water bodies	37.4 sq km	40.1 sq km	41.2 sq km	42.4 sq km	43.4 sq km

Table 1. Land use categories affected for the five scenarios of sea level rise.

Discussions

In Turkey the number of problems were increased in coastal zones and many safety 140measures are being taken by governmental institutions and agencies [19]. 141

Sea level rise along the Turkish coast is not significant as in some other areas around 142 the world but there will be local vulnerability. Coastal erosion and flooding along Turkish 143 shorelines are problems of national significance. Generally, there is a lack of regional, na-144 tional and specific data. This data is needed for decisions on adaptive options. There is a 145 great need to identify areas that are at their most vulnerable to the impacts of sea level 146 rise, similar to studies conducted in river basins [20,21]. At this time, sea level rise scenar-147 ios are difficult to develop due to defective knowledge of the local and regional factors. All the uncertainties must be considered when explaining impact and response assessments [22]. 150

The modeling presented offers an alternative to identify critical areas, where rising 151 sea levels can have negative effects. The databases used are accessible and can be repli-152 cated to other areas. The proposed methodological plus contributes to the completion of 153 these approaches with the spatial design of the phenomenon that can lead to a better un-154 derstanding of the determinants of a certain level of negative impact of human communi-155 ties. 156

4. Conclusions

The main objective of this research was to create potentially inundated coastal areas 158 for Black Sea Region of Turkey. To quantify and to analyze visually the impact of sea level 159

139

137

138

	rise on Black Sea Region the Digital Elevation Model (DEM) was used. It was observed that coastal zone of Samsun province will be the most affected area. In order to analyze the sea level rise impact and assess the damage, a model of inun- dated areas was created. This model in the form of five different sea level rise scenarios was then overlaid on three GIS layers (total surface, population and land use) to assess the impact. This study presents a simulation of a different sea levels rise and can be considered by the authorities to implement measures to reduce negative effects.	160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167
Ref	erences	168
1.	Bunce, M.; Brown, K.; Rosendo, S. Policy misfits, climate change and cross-scale vulnerability in coastal Africa: how develop-	169
2.	ment projects undermine resilience. <i>Environ. Sci. Policy</i> 2010 , <i>13</i> , 485–497. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2010.06.003 Lewis, J. Some realities of resilience: an updated case study of storms and flooding at Chiswell, Dorset. <i>Disaster Prev. Manag.</i>	170 171
3.	2013 , <i>22</i> , 300–311. doi:10.1108/dpm-03-2013-0053. Mehvar, S.; Filatova, T.; Dastgheib, A.; de Ruyter van Steveninck, E.; Ranasinghe, R. Quantifying economic value of coastal ecosystem services: a review. J. Mar. Sci. Eng. 2018 , <i>6</i> . doi:10.3390/jmse6010005.	172 173 174
4.	Ferro-Azcona, H.; Espinoza-Tenorio, A.; Calderon-Contreras, R.; Ramenzoni, V.C.; Gomez País, M. de las M.; Mesa-Jurado, M.A. Adaptive capacity and social-ecological resilience of coastal areas: a systematic review. <i>Ocean Coast Manag.</i> 2019 , <i>173</i> , 36–51. doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.01.005.	174 175 176 177
5.	Lieske, D.J.; Wade, T.; Roness, L.A. Climate change awareness and strategies for communicating the risk of coastal flooding: A Canadian Maritime case example. <i>Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.</i> 2014 , <i>140</i> , 83–94. doi:10.1016/j.ecss.2013.04.017.	178 179
6.	Nicholls, R.J.; Cazenave, A. Sea-Level Rise and Its Impact on Coastal Zones. <i>Science</i> 2010, 328, 1517–1520. doi: 10.1126/sci- ence.1185782.	180 181
7.	Lowe, J.A; Gregory, J.M. The effects of climate change on storm surges around the United Kingdom. <i>Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A. Mathematical, physical, and engineering sciences</i> 2005 , <i>363</i> . 1313-1328. doi:10.1098/rsta.2005.1570.	182 183
8.	Brown, S.; Nicholls, R. J; Woodroffe, C.D.; Hanson, S.; Hinkel, J.; Kebede, A.S.; Neumann, B.; Vafeidis, A.T. Chapter 5: Sea-Level Rise Impacts and Responses: A Global Perspective. In <i>Coastal Hazards</i> , Finkl, C.W. Springer: Dordrecht, Netherlands 2013, pp.117-149. doi:10.1007/978-94-007-5234-4_5.	184 185 186
9.	Pollner, J.; Kryspin-Watson, J.; Nieuwejaar, S. Disaster Risk Management and Climate Change Adaptation in Europe and Central Asia. World Bank, Washington, 2010.	187 188
10. 11.	Romanescu, G.; Hapciuc, O.E.; Minea, I.; Iosub, M. Flood vulnerability assessment in the mountain-plateau transition zone: a case study of Marginea village (Romania). <i>J. of Flood Risk Management</i> , 2016 , <i>11</i> , S502–S513. doi:10.1111/jfr3.12249. Yüksek, Ö.; Kankal, M.; Üçüncü, O. Assessment of big floods in the Eastern Black Sea Basin of Turkey. <i>Environ. Monit. Assess.</i> ,	189 190 191
	2012 , 185, 797–814. doi:10.1007/s10661-012-2592-2.	192 193
	International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (IFRC), Available online: <u>https://go.ifrc.org/reports/14686</u> (accessed on 20 October 2021).	194
13.	Özyurt, G.; Ergin, A. Application of Sea Level Rise Vulnerability Assessment Model to Selected Coastal Areas of Turkey, In Journal of Coastal Research. Proceedings of the 10th International Coastal Symposium, Lisbon, Portugal, 2010, Special Issue No. 56248-251.	195 196 197
14. 15.	Diaconu, D.C; Costache, R.; Popa, M.C.; An Overview of Flood Risk Analysis Methods. <i>Water</i> 2021 , <i>13</i> . doi:10.3390/w13040474. Costache, R.; Tincu, R.; Elkhrachy, I.; Pham, Q.B.; Popa, M.C.; Diaconu, D.C.; Avand, M.; Costache, I.; Arabameri, A.; Bui, D.T. New neural fuzzy-based machine learning ensemble for enhancing the prediction accuracy of flood susceptibility mapping. <i>Hydrol. Sci. J.</i> , 2020 , <i>65</i> , 2816–2837. doi: 10.1080/02626667.2020.1842412.	198 199 200 201
16.	Malik, A.; Abdalla, R. Geospatial modeling of the impact of sea level rise on coastal communities: application of Richmond, British Columbia, Canada. <i>Model. Earth Syst. Environ.</i> 2016 , <i>2</i> . doi:10.1007/s40808-016-0199-2.	202 203
17.	City Population. Available online: <u>https://www.citypopulation.de/en/turkey/admin/TR831_samsun/</u> (accessed on 20 October 2021).	203 204 205
18.	Köse, B. Phenology and Ripening of Vitis vinifera L. and Vitis labrusca L. Varieties in the Maritime Climate of Samsun in Tur- key's Black Sea Region. <i>South African J. Enol. Vitic</i> , 2016 , <i>35</i> , 90–102. doi:10.21548/35-1-988.	206 207
19.	MoEF First national communication of Turkey on climate change. In <i>First National Communication on Climate Change</i> , Apak, G.; Ubay, B., The Ministry of Environment and Forestry (MoEF), General Directorate of Environmental Management, Turkey 2007, 276 pp.	208 209 210
20.	Stavropoulos, S.; Zaimes, G.N.; Filippidis, E.; Diaconu, D.C.; Emmanouloudis, D. Mitigating flash floods with the use of new technologies: a multi-criteria decision analysis to map flood susceptibility for Zakynthos Island, Greece, <i>J. Urban Reg. Anal.</i> 2020 , <i>12</i> , 233–248, doi:10.37043/JURA.2020.12.2.7.	211 212 213
21.	Popa, M.C; Simion, A.G.; Peptenatu, D.; Dima, C.; Draghici, C.C.; Florescu, M.S.; Dobrea, C.R.; Diaconu, D.C. Spatial assessment of flash-flood vulnerability in the Moldova river catchment (N Romania) using the FFPI, J. <i>Flood Risk Manag.</i> 2020 , <i>13</i> , doi:10.1111/jfr3.12624.	214 215 216

Kuleli, T.; Şenkal, O.; Erdem, M. National assessment of sea level rise using topographic and census data for Turkish coastal zone. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2008, 156, 425–434. doi:10.1007/s10661-008-0495-z.