#### Genome-wide association analysis of yield-related traits of soybean using haplotype-based framework

Adeboye KA<sup>1\*</sup>, Bhat JA<sup>2</sup>, Ganie SA<sup>3</sup>, Varshney RK<sup>4,5</sup> and Yu D<sup>2</sup>

- 1. Department of Agricultural Technology, Ekiti State College of Agriculture and Technology, Isan-Ekiti, Nigeria; adeboye@daad-alumni.de
- 2. Soybean Research Institution, National Center for Soybean Improvement, Key Laboratory of Biology and Genetics and Breeding for Soybean, Ministry of Agriculture, State Key Laboratory of Crop Genet-ics and Germplasm Enhancement, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China; javid.akhter69@gmail.com (JAB); dyyu@njau.edu.cn (DY)
- 3. Plant Molecular Science and Centre of Systems and Synthetic Biology, Department of Biological Sci-ences, Royal Holloway University of London, Egham, Surrey, TW20 0EX, UK; showkatmanzoorfor-ever@gmail.com
- 4. Center of Excellence in Genomics & Systems Biology, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Hyderabad, 502324, India; r.k.varshney@cgiar.org
- 5. State Agricultural Biotechnology Centre, Crop Research Innovation Centre, Food Futures Institute, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Western Australia, Australia; r.k.varshney@cgiar.org

Presented at the 2nd International Electronic Conference on Plant Sciences, Online, 1-15 December, 2021

# Introduction

- Yield characters are complex quantitative traits which posed some difficulties to breeding efforts.
- Intervention of marker-assisted breeding marker-trait association studies
- Single marker studies through family linkage map and linkage disequilibrium analysis of yield characters in soybean.
- Recent emergence of haplotype-based breeding, which explores multimarker association to identify superior alleles from combination of many markers within a locus associated with the traits of interest.
- The present work is aimed at identifying superior combinations of alleles within the haplotype-based framework for yield-related traits of soybean in different environments.

# Materials and methods – phenotyping

- A panel 211 diverse genotypes were selected from widely cultivated soybean germplasm across wide geographic areas, including the Peoples' Republic of China and the United States of America [10].
- The selected genotypes were phenotyped for two years at three locations (six environments), including the:
  - Experimental Field of Nanjing Agricultural University in Nanjing (E1 and E2)
  - Experimental Field of Jiangsu Yanjiang Institute of Agricultural Sciences in Nantong (E3 and E4) and
  - Experimental Farm of the Agricultural College of Yangzhou University in Yangzhou (E5 and E6).
- In each of the environments, the genotypes were planted in a randomized complete block design (RBD) with three replications. Each genotype was planted in three rows per plot, each row 200 cm-long and with 50 cm row spacing.
- Phenotypic data were recorded for yield-related traits including:
  - number of pods per plant (PNP),
  - number of seeds per plant (SNP),
  - 100-seed weight in grams (HSW), and
  - seed yield per plant in grams (SYP).

# Materials and methods – genome-wide haplotype analysis

- Genome-wide association study (GWAS) was conducted in GAPIT v3.0 (Lipka et al. 2012) to identify significant marker associations for the studied traits across the six environments.
  - 12,617 single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers from NJAU 355K SoySNP Array.
  - GWAS models: general linear model (GLM) with PCA (Price et al. 2006), compressed mixed linear model (CMLM) (Zhang et al. 2010), multiple-locus mixed linear model (MLMM) (Segura et al. 2012), fixed and random model circulating probability unification (FarmCPU) (Liu et al. 2016) and Bayesian-information and linkage-disequilibrium iteratively nested keyway (BLINK) (Huang et al. 2019).
  - Population structure: principal component analysis (PCA) using Bayesian information criterion (BIC) to estimate the optimal numbers of PCA (Schwarz, 1978; Price et al. 2006).
- Multimarker association analysis within the haplotype-based framework was conducted using PLINK v1.07 (Purcell et al. 2007)
  - Stable markers from GWAS (across environments and models) were considered as reference markers for building haplotype block/loci.
  - All markers in proxy association with the stable/reference markers within the LD decay distance  $\pm$  670 Kbp made up a haplotype block/locus.

#### Results and discussion



Figure 1. Distribution of significant markers/QTL across the soybean chromosomes.



Figure 2. Mahanatan plot showing the significant association of markers with yield-related traits in the combined environment based on BLINK and FarmCPU models

#### Table 1. Stable QTLs/genomic regions identified for the yieldrelated traits consistently across the environments

QTL/Marker	Chromo some	Physical Position (bp)	Trait (Environment)	Remark
AX-93703924	4	4291705	SNP (COM and E6); PNP (E3)	
AX-93922099	5	36599702	HSW (COM, E1 and E5)	Seed weight 34-9 (Han et al. 2012); Seed-yield 22-10 (Du et al. 2009)
AX-93793210	11	29587057	HSW (COM, E1, E3 and E4); SNP (E2, E3 and E5)	Seed weight 35-9 (Han et al. 2012)
AX-93807406	13	1843185	HSW (COM, E1, E2, E4 and E5); SNP (COM, E1 and E6)	
AX-94176727	18	46137043	PNP (COM and E1); HSW (E2)	
AX-94199992	20	12095298	PNP (COM and E3); SNP (COM and E1)	



Figure 3. Haplotype alleles within the loci on chromosome 5 (A), 11 (B), 13 (C) and 18 (D), and their contribution to the phenotypic variation of hundred seed weight across the environments.



Figure 4. Haplotype alleles within the loci on chromosome 4 (A), 11 (B), 13 (C) and 20 (D), and their contribution to the phenotypic variation of seed number per plant across the environments.





Figure 5. Haplotype alleles within the loci on chromosome 4 (A), 18 (B) and 20 (C), and their contribution to the phenotypic variation of Panicle number per plant across the environments.

#### Conclusion

• The six stable QTL/Markers and the haplotype alleles identified in the present study may serve as genomic resources in breeding programmes aimed at improving the yield potential of soybean.

### Acknowledgement

• This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32090065), Ministry of Science and Technology (No. 2017YFE0111000) and Horizon 2020 of European Union (No. 727312).

# Thank you for your kind attention