Biosensor for Electrochemical Detection of Amphetamine in Street Samples Using an innovative nanoMIPs-based Sensor



Florina Maria Truta¹, Mihaela Tertis¹, Elena Piletska², Sergey A. Piletsky², Alvaro Garcia Cruz², Meshal Almabadi², Cecilia Cristea¹, Karolien De Wael^{3,4} 1Analytical Chemistry Department, "Iuliu Hatieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca, Romania 4 Pasteur Street, Cluj-Napoca, Romania 2Chemistry Department, College of Science and Engineering University of Leicester 3A-Sens Lab, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium 4 NANOlab Center of Excellence, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium



Florina.Truta@umfcluj.ro

Introduction

- Amphetamine is the 2nd most used illicit drug in EU:
- 1.4 million young adults (15-34) used amphetamines during the last year;
- NanoMIPs, sometimes called "plastic antibodies", are nanostructured polymer particles capable of selectively recognizing their target:
- The technology presented herein could potentially help to rapidly determine AMP from confiscated street samples using nanoMIPs;
- For the immobilization of nanoMIPs onto the surface of graphite SPEs different approaches were tried.

| Methodology | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Experimental conditions | SPE with nanoMIPs:chitosan=2:1 SPE with nanoMIPs:chitosan=2:1 and 1mg/mL GPHOx | | | | |
| Step 1: Deposition | 10 μL Chitosan 2% + nanoMIPs (1:2) | 10 μL Chitosan 2% + nanoMIPs + GPHOx 1mg/mL(1:2:1) | | | |
| Step 2: Conditioning | Dried | Dried + Reduction (CV 10 cycles from -1.4 V to 0.5 V, 0.5 V/s) | | | |
| Step 3: Testing | DPV (-0.9 – 0.4 V, 0,033 V/s) in PBS pH 7.4 | | | | |

Results

I. SEM + TEM characterization









E (V)

| Results | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| III. Interferences | | | | | | |
| 100 nM Amphetamine | SPE with nanoMIPs:chitosan=2:1 | SPE with nanoMIPs:chitosan=2:1 and 1mg/mL GPHOx | | | | |
| +100 nM Cocaine | 106.83 % | 100.17% | | | | |
| +100 nM MDMA | 92.85% | 100.65% | | | | |
| +100 nM Metamphetamine | 95.71% | 96.94% | | | | |

IV. Real samples





| | | 4 | / | | | |
|-------|-----|----------------|----|-----|-----|-----|
| .2 | 0.0 | - | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 |
| E (V) | | Conc. AMF (nM) | | | | |

y=0.0617*x+2.4803

| Sample/Platform | Dilution | AMF conc. nanoMIPs (µg/mL) | AMF conc. UPLC-MS (µg/mL) | Recovery (%) |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| S21 nanoMIPs:chitosan | 150 000 | 1334.93 | 1378.42 | 96.84% |
| S24 nanoMIPs:chitosan | 150 000 | 1390.84 | 1378.42 | 100.9% |
| S21 nanoMIPs:chitosan:GPHOx | 50 000 | 564.23 | 505.34 | 111.65% |
| S24 nanoMIPs:chitosan:GPHOx | 50 000 | 543.53 | 505.34 | 107.55% |

Conclusion

(2) The addition of the GPHOx in the suspension used for the generation of the composite film on the electrode

along with nanoMIPs and chitosan determined an increase in the sensitivity for amphetamine detection

Using this approach, the amphetamine was successfully detected from the real street samples.

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 833787, BorderSens.