

1 Abstract

# 2 Influence of Precursor Materials on the Mechanical Behaviour 3 of Ambient-Cured One-Part Engineered Geopolymer Compo- 4 sites <sup>†</sup>

5 Wee Teo <sup>1,\*</sup>, Kazutaka Shirai <sup>2</sup> and Jee Hock Lim <sup>3</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> School of Energy, Geoscience, Infrastructure and Society (EGIS), Heriot-Watt University Malaysia, Putrajaya  
7 62200, Malaysia; t.wee@hw.ac.uk

8 <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8628, Japan; shirai.kazutaka@eng.hokudai.ac.jp

9 <sup>3</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Bandar Sungai Long, Cheras, Kajang  
10 43000, Malaysia; limjh@utar.edu.my

11 \* Correspondence: t.wee@hw.ac.uk; Tel.: +60127560230

12 <sup>†</sup> Presented at the title, place, and date.

13 **Keywords:** geopolymer; engineered geopolymer composites; EGC; one-part; ambient-cured

14  
15 Geopolymer is an emerging low carbon cement-free binder that offers a sustainable  
16 and environmentally friendly alternative to ordinary Portland cement (OPC). Despite  
17 their outstanding environmental friendliness, geopolymer is still inherently exhibit brittle  
18 behaviour similar to conventional cement-based concrete. Recently, there is renewed in-  
19 terest to develop a new material combining geopolymer and Engineered Cementitious  
20 Composites (ECC) technologies. They named it as Engineered Geopolymer Composites  
21 (EGC). However, there are two major drawbacks associated with conventional geopoly-  
22 mer binder: firstly, handling of hostile, corrosive and viscous alkaline solutions; secondly,  
23 necessity for heat curing to improve geopolymerisation process and mechanical proper-  
24 ties. To overcome such limitations, a new class of geopolymer composites known as “one-  
25 part” or “just add water” geopolymer was developed for this purpose.

26 The concept of ECC relies heavily on the micromechanics-based design principles,  
27 which provides guides for tailoring of fiber, matrix and fiber/matrix interfaces to attain  
28 desired tensile ductility. Through careful tailoring, the fiber volume fraction is usually  
29 remains moderate, typically less than 2.5%. Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) fiber is the most com-  
30 mon types of fiber used in ECC. To develop a cement-less EGC, a proper consideration  
31 on the geopolymer matrix design is essential. Research on EGC is still relatively new. Pre-  
32 liminary feasibility studies carried out on slag-based EGC [1] and fly ash-based EGC [2]  
33 shown very promising results with high tensile ductility over 4%. Studies on one-part  
34 EGC conducted by Nematollahi et al. [3] and Alrefaei et al. [4] further assure more de-  
35 tailed investigations are needed for potential applications of this technology in future eco-  
36 friendly civil infrastructure.

37 This paper presents the results of a preliminary investigation on the influence of pre-  
38 cursor materials on the mechanical properties of one-part EGC. The aluminosilicate pre-  
39 cursor materials used in this study consisted of combined fly ash (FA), ground granulated  
40 blastfurnace slag (GGBS) and quartz powder (QP). Sodium metasilicate anhydrous was  
41 used as the solid alkali activator to synthesize the ambient-cured one-part geopolymer  
42 composites. In order to minimize the matrix fracture toughness, all mixtures were pre-  
43 pared without addition of silica sand. All mixtures were designed with variations propor-  
44 tion of FA, GGBS and QP, amount of alkali activators and water contents. Mechanical  
45 properties were determined by compression and direct tension tests. Fresh properties and  
46 microstructure analysis of each mixtures were also studied and discussed.

27  
28 **Citation:** Teo, W.; Kazutaka, S.; Lim, J. H. Title. *Eng. Proc.* **2021**, *3*, x.  
29 <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

30  
31 Published: date

32  
33 **Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neu-  
34 tral with regard to jurisdictional  
35 claims in published maps and institu-  
36 tional affiliations.



37  
38 **Copyright:** © 2021 by the author  
39 Submitted for possible open access  
40 publication under the terms and  
41 conditions of the Creative Commons  
42 Attribution (CC BY) license  
43 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1 The results indicate that combined GGBS with FA improve the reactivity of the mix-  
2 ture, compressive strength and enable possible ambient curing condition. Due to spherical  
3 nature of FA particle shape, best proportion between FA and GGBS in term of flowability  
4 was found at the percentage of 70:30 respectively. Increase on the amount of solid alkali  
5 activators used, reduce in the water contents and addition of QP could beneficially in-  
6 crease the compressive strength as well as uniaxial tensile cracking strength, ultimate  
7 strength and strain capacity. This is clearly reflected on the microstructure of the geopoly-  
8 mer gel, which showed more compact and denser morphology.

9  
10 **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, W.T.; methodology, W.T. and J.H.L.; validation, W.T.,  
11 K.S. and J.H.L.; formal analysis, W.T.; investigation, W.T. and J.H.L.; resources, W.T.; writing—orig-  
12 inal draft preparation, W.T.; writing—review and editing, W.T., K.S. and J.H.L.; visualization, W.T.;  
13 supervision, W.T.; project administration, W.T.; funding acquisition, W.T. and K.S. All authors have  
14 read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

15 **Funding:** This research was funded by the Ministry of Education (MOE) Malaysia through the Fun-  
16 damental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS), grant number: FRGS/1/2018/TK01/HWUM/02/3, and in  
17 part by the 2021 ASahi GLASS Foundation Research Grant through with Hokkaido University,  
18 Japan.

19 **Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank former research assistant Woo Chee Zheng for  
20 his contribution to the laboratory work.

21 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the  
22 design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manu-  
23 script, or in the decision to publish the results.

## 24 References

- 25 1. Lee, B.Y.; Cho, C-G.; Lim, H-J.; Song, J-K.; Yang, K-H.; Li, V.C. Strain hardening fiber reinforced alkali-activated mortar – A  
26 feasibility study. *Construction and Building Materials*, **2012**, *37*, pp. 15-20.
- 27 2. Ohno, M.; Li, V.C. A feasibility study of strain hardening fiber reinforced fly ash-based geopolymer composites. *Construction*  
28 *and Building Materials*, **2014**, *54*, pp. 163-168.
- 29 3. Nematollahi, B.; Sanjayan, J.; Qiu, J.; Yang, E-H. Micromechanics-based investigation of a sustainable ambient temperature  
30 cured one-part strain hardening geopolymer composite. *Construction and Building Materials*, **2017**, *131*, pp. 552–563.
- 31 4. Alrefaei, Y.; Dai, J-G. Tensile behaviour and microstructure of hybrid fiber ambient cured one-part engineered geopolymer  
32 composites. *Construction and Building Materials*, **2018**, *184*, pp. 419–431.