



3

4

6 7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20 21

22

23

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

Proceedings Paper

# Valorisation of agro-food by-products for the extraction of phenolic compounds <sup>+</sup>

Filipe Fernandes<sup>1</sup>, Kiano Gorissen<sup>2</sup>, Cristina Delerue-Matos<sup>1</sup> and Clara Grosso<sup>1,\*</sup>

- REQUIMTE/LAQV, Instituto Superior de Engenharia do Instituto Politécnico do Porto, Rua Dr. António Bernardino de Almeida, 431, 4249-015 Porto, Portugal; filipe.fernandes@graq.isep.ipp.pt (F.F.); cmm@isep.ipp.pt (C.D.-M.); claragrosso@graq.isep.ipp.pt (C.G.)
- <sup>2</sup> Chemistry Department, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Pleinlaan 2, 1050, Brussels, Belgium; Kiano.Gorissen@vub.be (K.G.)
- \* Correspondence: claragrosso@graq.isep.ipp.pt; Tel.: +351-228340537
- † Presented at the 3rd International Electronic Conference on Foods: "Food, Microbiome, and Health A Celebration of the 10th Anniversary of Foods' Impact on Our Wellbeing", Online, 01-15 October 2022.

**Abstract:** The aim of this work was the extraction of phenolic compounds from several agro-food industry by-products and the determination of their antioxidant activity (AA). The highest extraction yields obtained were for the pineapple core, oat concentrate, and mango peel. The post-distillation residue of labdanum stems and leaves and spent coffee grounds were the samples presenting the highest total phenolic content (TPC) values, as well as those displaying the strongest DPPH• and ABTS•+ scavenging activities. For the FRAP assay, the highest values obtained were for the spent coffee ground, frozen coffee silverskins, and dried stevia.

Keywords: Agro-food; by-products; phenolic compounds.

1. Introduction

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) estimates that around 931 million tonnes of food waste were generated in 2019, with the majority of it coming from households (61 %), followed by food service and retail (26 and 13 %, respectively). This implies that 17 % of total global food production may be wasted[1]. As the need to increase food production due to population rise is a concerning issue, new ways to counter agrifood waste are very important. Circular economy has taken to the centre stage as a way to sustainably use resources, with the creation of residues being kept to as little as possible[2,3]. Biomass has become a very important resource since it has lower greenhouse gas emissions than fossil fuels[4]. Residual biomass, biological material originated from biomass processing, is a common by-product from agriculture. It can be used in a variety of ways, from producing electricity, to fuels, solvents or the extraction of phytochemicals[3,5]. Residual biomass is a very rich source of phenolic compounds, secondary plant metabolites with strong antioxidant activity (AA) and play important roles in maintaining the nutritional and functional values of fruits[6]. These compounds have been extensively researched, with several health benefits being described, such as anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, antioxidant, anticancer, antipyretic, hepatoprotective, antimicrobial and antiproliferative activities[7].

In this study, the quantification of TPC and the determination of AA of several agrifood wastes were performed. This will help identifying ways to successfully valorise the residues from some wastes commonly produced.

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2022**, *2*, x.

https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor: Firstname Last-

Published: date

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Biol. Life Sci. Forum 2022, 2, x

## 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Samples

Stevia (S) (*Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni) dried plant material was collected from Bio sales prime. Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) peels (M) and pineapple (*Ananas comosus* (L.) Merril.) peels (PP) and cores (PC) were kindly donated by Luís Vicente, SA / Nuvi Industrial. SA. Raspberry (R) (*Rubus idaeus* L.) post-liquor fermentation fruit was kindly donated by Eusébia Sousa. Coffee (*Coffea arabica* L. and *Coffea robusta* L. blend) spent coffee grounds (SCG) and silverskin (CS) were kindly donated by MoCoffee. labdanum (*Cistus ladanifer* L.) leaves (LL) and stems (LS) were kindly donated by Naturalness Essential Oil Distillery. Oat concentrate (OC) (*Avena sativa* L.) was collected from Frulact.

# 2.2. Sample preparation

All samples were dried under air at  $41\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  until less than 10% moisture. Samples were grinded and stored in the dark until further use.

#### 2.3. Extraction

A preliminary study was conducted on mango peels to help evaluate different extraction conditions (Table 1). Of all those conditions, two were then chosen to conduct all following extractions: A - 1:50 g sample/ mL solvent, 40 °C, 1 h and 50:50 water:methanol; and B - 1:100 g sample/ mL solvent, 60 °C, 1h and 50:50 water:methanol. After extraction, extracts were filtered, and the solvents were evaporated using a rotary evaporator. The samples were then redissolved in methanol to a concentration of 50 mg/mL.

# 2.4. Total phenolic content

The total phenolic content (TPC) was assessed using the Folin-Ciocalteu method using a plate reader (Synergy HT, Biotek Instruments), according to Macedo  $\it et~al.$ [8], with minor modifications. The calibration curve was constructed using gallic acid solutions between 10 and 200  $\mu g/mL$ .

## 2.5. Antioxidant activity

The antioxidant activity was evaluated by testing the ability to scavenge 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH•) and 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS•+) radicals according to Macedo *et al.*[8], with minor modifications. Assays were performed in triplicate and results are expressed as IC50 values.

The Ferric Antioxidant Power (FRAP) was also measured following the procedure described in Macedo *et al.*[8], with minor modifications. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 10 minutes and absorbance was measured at 593 nm.

3. Results

#### 3.1. Preliminary study

The objective of the preliminary study was to determine the best conditions for the extraction of the antioxidants. For this, mango peels were used and several different conditions were tested. The extraction yield and TPC values for each extraction was assessed and can be seen in Table 1. The three highest TPC values obtained were for the M4\_60, M1\_40 and M2\_60. The highest yield obtained was 60.7% for the M2\_40 extraction, followed by the M4\_40 and the M4\_25, with 59.3 and 57.6%, respectively. The chosen conditions for further extractions were then the M1\_40 and the M2\_60, since they displayed high TPC values, good extraction yields, and corresponded to just 1 h extractions.

Biol. Life Sci. Forum **2022**, 2, x

Table 1. Preliminary study on extraction conditions and obtained yields. M- mango peels.

Extraction	H <sub>2</sub> O:MeOH	Temperature (°C)	Time (h)	Volume (mL)	Yield (%)	TPC (mg GAE/ g dw) <sup>1</sup>
M1_25	50:50	25	1	50	43.6	14.56 ± 1.82
M2_25			1	100	51.9	$17.74 \pm 0.82$
M3_25			2	50	43.4	$13.02 \pm 2.23$
M4_25			2	100	57.6	$17.40 \pm 0.84$
M1_40	50:50	40	1	50	54.2	21.23 ± 1.46
M2_40			1	100	60.7	$18.00 \pm 1.65$
M3_40			2	50	47.9	$19.60 \pm 2.48$
M4_40			2	100	59.3	$18.11 \pm 2.01$
M1_60		60	1	50	21.5	16.23 ± 1.79
M2_60	50:50		1	100	55.6	$20.65 \pm 1.09$
M3_60			2	50	44.4	$17.60 \pm 1.47$
M4_60			2	100	54.9	$22.63 \pm 2.60$
M80:20	20:80	60	1	50	56.3	$18.15 \pm 1.81$
M100	0:100	60	1	50	53.1	$18.30 \pm 1.86$

<sup>1</sup> GAE – gallic acid equivalents; dw – dry weight

# 3.2. Extraction yield and total phenolic content

For every sample, extractions were performed in two different conditions. Each extraction resulted in different yields and TPC values (Table 2).

Table 2. Yield, TPC, and AA of the tested extracts.

Sample	Extraction conditions	Yield (%)	TPC (mg GAE / g dw) <sup>1</sup>	DPPH IC <sub>50</sub> (µg/mL)	ABTS <sup>+</sup> IC <sub>50</sub> (μg/mL)	FRAP (mg AAE / g dw) <sup>1</sup>
_	M1_40	54.16	$21.23 \pm 1.46$	245.10	87.92	$6.70 \pm 0.65$
Mango (M)	M2_60	55.65	$20.65 \pm 1.09$	212.00	84.42	$7.97 \pm 0.59$
D L (D)	R1_40	37.18	$8.80 \pm 1.25$	434.33	171.55	$4.23 \pm 0.92$
Raspberry (R)	R2_60	35.52	8.31 ± 1.37	≥ 555.56	207.76	$4.92 \pm 0.65$
Charria (C)	S1_40	6.43	$19.76 \pm 7.47$	263.62	101.06	$15.47 \pm 1.85$
Stevia (S)	S2_60	5.80	$57.29 \pm 19.13$	118.59	68.23	$22.32 \pm 2.79$
Labdanum laavaa (LL)	LL1_40	27.22	$175.24 \pm 21.82$	20.49	7.39	$10.91 \pm 1.34$
Labdanum leaves (LL)	LL2_60	36.49	$146.53 \pm 11.68$	18.67	9.20	$11.64 \pm 2.08$
Labdanum stems (LS)	LS1_40	11.31	$201.16 \pm 4.02$	24.77	9.32	$2.65 \pm 0.80$
Labdanum stems (L5)	LS2_60	20.69	$158.31 \pm 24.62$	30.00	7.00	$9.42 \pm 0.51$
Oat concentrate (OC)	OC1_40	44.95	$4.08 \pm 1.56$	≥ 5555.56	≥ 555.56	$0.53 \pm 0.24$
——————————————————————————————————————	OC2_60	59.92	$4.52 \pm 1.38$	5512.00	1612.28	$0.66 \pm 0.21$
Spent coffee grounds	SCG1_40	22.61	134.64 ± 14.73	41.16	17.00	$87.79 \pm 1.31$
(SCG)	SCG2_60	25.08	$104.30 \pm 14.56$	29.32	16.38	$80.02 \pm 15.00$
Coffee silverskins (CS)	CS1_40	10.48	$23.56 \pm 5.54$	388.66	155.82	$10.63 \pm 2.56$
Coffee silverskins (C5)	CS2_60	13.81	$32.93 \pm 8.90$	119.25	46.88	$20.39 \pm 2.02$
Frozen coffee silverskins	FCS1_40	12.07	$53.58 \pm 6.11$	119.34	42.27	$32.87 \pm 4.01$
(FCS)	FCS2_60	17.44	$67.33 \pm 9.49$	79.24	43.06	$28.40 \pm 7.90$
Dincomple mede (DD)	PP1_40	42.91	$7.37 \pm 1.90$	≥ 5555.56	415.39	$1.20 \pm 0.51$
Pineapple peels (PP)	PP2_60	48.39	$7.92 \pm 1.08$	≥ 5555.56	334.85	$2.17 \pm 0.78$
Dincomple coves (DC)	PC1_40	57.58	$4.58 \pm 1.34$	≥ 5555.56	≥ 555.56	$1.89 \pm 0.38$
Pineapple cores (PC)	PC2_60	64.70	$4.60 \pm 1.07$	4511.01	≥ 555.56	$1.54 \pm 0.21$

<sup>1</sup> GAE – gallic acid equivalents; AAE – ascorbic acid equivalents; dw – dry weight

1

2

3

4 5 6

Biol. Life Sci. Forum 2022, 2, x 4 of 4

> The highest yields were obtained with the PC and the OC in the 2\_60 extraction (64.7 and 59.92%), while for S only 5.80% was obtained. As for the TPC, the labdanum and the SCG displayed the highest results, with  $201.16 \pm 4.02$  mg/g for LS in the  $1\_40$  extraction being the highest TPC obtained. Benali et al.[9] assessed the labdanum yield and TPC, achieving different results from the ones described here, having obtained, for an aqueous extract,  $6.64 \pm 0.06\%$  yield and  $76.98 \pm 4.66$  mg GAE/g of extract. Tavares et al.[10] achieved higher TPC values, with  $275.6 \pm 0.0$  mg GAE/g extract in the extracted solid residue with 70% acetone, and 177.5 ±0.2 mg GAE/g extract in an ethanolic extraction. Andrade et al.[11] also studied labdanum and described a TPC of 334.46 ± 31.83 mg GAE/g plant extract in acetone extract, with a 14.19% yield and 255.19 ± 7.12 mg GAE/g plant extract in ethanolic extract, with an 8.49% yield. Ballesteros et al.[12] extracted phenolic compounds from SCG through autohydrolysis, achieving a maximum TPC of 40.36 mg GAE/g SCG. Mussatto et al.[13] extracted phenolic compounds using 60% methanol in a 40 mL/g SCG and achieved a TPC of 16 mg GAE/g SCG. Solomakou et al.[14] applied a microwave-assisted extraction, with 68% ethanol, achieving a maximum 34.43 mg GAE/g SCG.

#### 3.3. Antioxidant activity

The AA of the extracts was measured by DPPH\*, ABTS\*\* and FRAP. The IC50 values for DPPH•, ABTS•+ can be seen in Table 2 as well as the ascorbic acid equivalents (mg/g) in the case of FRAP. For the former two, labdanum displayed the highest scavenging activity, followed by the SCG. In the DPPH assay, the LL extractions displayed the highest AA and therefore the lowest IC50, followed by the 1\_40 extraction of LS and the 2\_60 extraction of SCG. Andrade et al.[11] described an IC50 =7.85 µg/mL for the ethanolic extract, and an IC50 =39.51 μg/mL for an acetone extraction of labdanum. Coelho et al.[15] reported an IC<sub>50</sub> = 12.39 ± 0.56 mg/mL for scCO<sub>2</sub> extracted SCG. For the ABTS\*\*, the labdanum extracts displayed the highest scavenging activity, in both 1\_40 extractions with SCG also displaying some activity. Balzano et al.[16] reported an IC50 of  $1.5 \pm 0.9 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$  for an ethanolic extraction of SCG. Coffee samples displayed higher antioxidant power in the FRAP assay, with SCG clearly showing the highest values, followed by the frozen coffee silverskins (FCS). Ballesteros et al.[12] and Mussatto et al.[13] reported an activity of 69.50 mg Fe(II)/g SCG when autohydrolysis was used and an activity of 0.10 mM Fe(II)/g SCG for a extract obtained with a solid-liquid extraction using 60% methanol, respectively. López-Linares et al.[17] reported a 1.52 mg TE/g SCG for an extraction using natural deep eutectic solvents (NADES). Despite having the highest TPC content, and highest AA in DPPH\* and ABTS\*\*, labdanum displayed far lower ferric reducing power, with only 10.91 ± 1.34 mg AAE/g extract in LL.

## 4. Conclusions

The aim of this work was to assess the TPCs and AA of several by-products of agrofood industries. The extractions were performed with 50:50 methanol:water, at different volumes and temperatures, with the highest yields obtained for PC, OC, and M samples. Labdanum post-distillation by-products displayed the highest TPC followed by SCG. The strongest DPPH\* and ABTS\*\* scavenging activities was verified for the labdanum samples, followed by SCG. On the other hand, a higher reducing power was observed for SCG in FRAP assay while the labdanum samples displayed far lower reducing power The FCS, and the dried S also displayed reducing power. The results obtained offer valuable information that demonstrate potential for the future valorisation of these by-products. The labdanum and coffee samples, particularly the SCG in the case of coffee, appear particularly interesting for further research and possible use in the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

47

48 49

50

51

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.F., C.G. and C.D.-M..; methodology, F.F., C.G. and K.G.; validation, C.G. and C.D.-M.; formal analysis, C.G. and C.D.-M.; investigation, F.F., C.G. and Biol. Life Sci. Forum 2022, 2, x 5 of 4

K.G.; resources, C.D.-M..; writing—original draft preparation, F.F.; writing—review and editing, C.G. and C.D.-M.; supervision, C.G. and C.D.-M.; project administration, C.G. and C.D.-M..; funding acquisition, C.D.-M.. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The authors are grateful for the financial support from REQUIMTE/LAQV—UIDB/50006/2020, UIDP/50006/2020 and LA/P/0008/2020, financed by FCT/MCTES and the project SYSTEMIC, "An integrated approach to the challenge of sustainable food systems: adaptive and mitigatory strategies to address climate change and malnutrition". The Knowledge hub on Nutrition and Food Security received funding from national research funding parties in Belgium (FWO), France (INRA), Germany (BLE), Italy (MIPAAF), Latvia (IZM), Norway (RCN), Portugal (FCT), and Spain (AEI) in a joint action of JPI HDHL, JPI-OCEANS, and FACCE-JPI launched in 2019 under the ERA-NET ERA-HDHL (n° 696295).

**Acknowledgments:** Filipe Fernandes thanks FCT for the financial support through a PhD fellowship (2021.06806.BD) and Clara Grosso is thankful for her contract (CEECIND/03436/2020) financed by FCT/MCTES—CEEC Individual 2020 Program Contract.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1.

- 2. United States Environment Programme (2021) FOOD WASTE INDEX REPORT 2021.
- 3. Olofsson, J., and Börjesson, P. (2018) Residual biomass as resource Life-cycle environmental impact of wastes in circular resource systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **196**, 997–1006.
- Omran, B.A., and Baek, K.-H. (2022) Valorization of agro-industrial biowaste to green nanomaterials for wastewater treatment: Approaching green chemistry and circular economy principles. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 311, 114806.
- 5. Saha, A., and Basak, B.B. (2020) Scope of value addition and utilization of residual biomass from medicinal and aromatic plants. *Industrial Crops and Products*, **145**.
- Flores, E.M.M., Cravotto, G., Bizzi, C.A., Santos, D., and Iop, G.D. (2021) Ultrasound-assisted biomass valorization to industrial interesting products: state-of-the-art, perspectives and challenges. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 72, 105455.
- 7. Zhao, W.H., and Shi, Y.P. (2022) Comprehensive analysis of phenolic compounds in four varieties of goji berries at different ripening stages by UPLC–MS/MS. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, **106**, 104279.
- 8. Barrón-García, O.Y., Morales-Sánchez, E., Ramírez Jiménez, A.K., Antunes-Ricardo, M., Luzardo-Ocampo, I., González-Jasso, E., and Gaytán-Martínez, M. (2022) Phenolic compounds profile and antioxidant capacity of 'Ataulfo' mango pulp processed by ohmic heating at moderate electric field strength. *Food Research International*, **154**, 111032.
- 9. Macedo, C., Silva, A.M., Ferreira, A.S., Moreira, M.M., Delerue-matos, C., and Rodrigues, F. (2022) Microwave-and Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction of Cucurbita pepo Seeds: a Comparison Study of Antioxidant Activity, Phenolic Profile, and In-Vitro Cells Effects. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, **12** (3).
- 10. Benali, T., Bouyahya, A., Habbadi, K., Zengin, G., Khabbach, A., Achbani, E.H., and Hammani, K. (2020) Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of the essential oil and extracts of Cistus ladaniferus subsp. ladanifer and Mentha suaveolens against phytopathogenic bacteria and their ecofriendly management of phytopathogenic bacteria. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 28, 101696.
- 11. Tavares, C.S., Martins, A., Miguel, M.G., Carvalheiro, F., Duarte, L.C., Gameiro, J.A., Figueiredo, A.C., and Roseiro, L.B. (2020) Bioproducts from forest biomass II. Bioactive compounds from the steam-distillation byproducts of Cupressus lusitanica Mill. and Cistus ladanifer L. wastes. *Industrial Crops and Products*, **158**, 112991.
- 12. Andrade, D., Gil, C., Breitenfeld, L., Domingues, F., and Duarte, A.P. (2009) Bioactive extracts from Cistus ladanifer and Arbutus unedo L. *Industrial Crops and Products*, **30** (1), 165–167.
- 13. Ballesteros, L.F., Ramirez, M.J., Orrego, C.E., Teixeira, J.A., and Mussatto, S.I. (2017) Optimization of autohydrolysis conditions to extract antioxidant phenolic compounds from spent coffee grounds. *Journal of Food Engineering*, **199**, 1–8.
- 14. Mussatto, S.I., Ballesteros, L.F., Martins, S., and Teixeira, J.A. (2011) Extraction of antioxidant phenolic compounds from spent coffee grounds. *Separation and Purification Technology*, **83** (1), 173–179.
- 15. Solomakou, N., Loukri, A., Tsafrakidou, P., Michaelidou, A.M., Mourtzinos, I., and Goula, A.M. (2022) Recovery of phenolic compounds from spent coffee grounds through optimized extraction processes. *Sustainable Chemistry and Pharmacy*, **25**, 100592.

s 19

r 21 *l* 22

. 44 s 45

Biol. Life Sci. Forum 2022, 2, x 6 of 4

> 16. Coelho, J.P., Filipe, R.M., Paula Robalo, M., Boyadzhieva, S., Cholakov, G.S., and Stateva, R.P. (2020) Supercritical CO2 extraction of spent coffee grounds. Influence of co-solvents and characterization of the extracts. The Journal of Supercritical Fluids, 161, 104825.

- 17. Balzano, M., Loizzo, M.R., Tundis, R., Lucci, P., Nunez, O., Fiorini, D., Giardinieri, A., Frega, N.G., and Pacetti, D. (2020) Spent espresso coffee grounds as a source of anti-proliferative and antioxidant compounds. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies, **59**, 102254.
- 18. López-Linares, J.C., García-Cubero, M.T., Coca, M., and Lucas, S. (2021) A biorefinery approach for the valorization of spent coffee grounds to produce antioxidant compounds and biobutanol. Biomass and Bioenergy, 147,

5 6

2

3

4

7