



| Proceeding | | 1 |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| | tree volume equations for Eucalyptus genotypes sting irrigation ⁺ | 2 3 |
| | ¹ , Rafael Rubilar ^{*1,2} , Alex Medina ³ , Oscar Mardones ⁴ , Verónica Emhart ³ , Daniel Bozo ¹ , | Des23o1,45Universidad67ad Católica8910111213discoveries14202215roductivity,161718 |
| Yosselin Espinoza ¹ , Oc | ctavio Campoe⁵. | 5 |
| | 1 Cooperativa de Productividad Forestal, Departamento de Silvicultura, Fac. Ciencias Forestales, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile. | |
| | 2 Centro Nacional de Excelencia para la Industria de la Madera (CENAMAD), Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile. | |
| | 3 Bioforest S.A., Km 15 S/N Camino a Coronel, Coronel, Concepción, Chile. | 10 |
| | 4 Forestal Mininco S.A., Avenida Alemania 751, Los Ángeles, Chile. | 11 |
| | 5 Departamento de Cîencias Florestais, Universidade Federal de Lavras, Lavras, MG 3037, Brazil. | 12 |
| | * Correspondence: juvalverdeo@udec.cl; rafaelrubilar@udec.cl | 13 |
| | + Presented at the 3rd International Electronic Conference on Forests (IECF2022) — exploring new discoveries and new directions in forests. 15-31 Oct 2022, Available Online: <u>https://sciforum.net/event/IECF2022</u> | |
| | Abstract: Tree volume equations for Eucalyptus plantations are essential to estimate productivity, | 16 |
| | generalize equations that consider different genotypes and low bias water regimes, and simplify | |
| | plantation management. Our study evaluated the possibility of a generalized tree volume equation | |
| | for eight Eucalyptus genotypes under contrasting irrigation regimens. We evaluated a seven-year- | 19 |

old plantation with eight Eucalyptus genotypes in two contrasting irrigation regiments (summer ir-20 rigated vs. no irrigated conditions). Diameter (DBH) and total height (H) measurements were con-21 sidered in tree equations (Schumacher and Hall (1933), Honer (1967), and Clutter et al. (1983)). Then, 22 the equation with the best fit considered: coefficient of determination, mean square error, and AIC 23 and BIC parameters. The results showed that it is possible to use a generalized tree volume equation; 24 the genotype, irrigation regime, and their interaction were not statistically significant for all equa-25 tions. The best tree volume equation was Schumacher and Hall (1933), which showed the best fit 26 and minor bias, with a little trend to underestimate total volume in trees with a DBH >18.3 cm. 27 These results suggest that it is possible to use a generalized tree volume equation that would sim-28 plify plantation productivity projections while maintaining a good fit and low bias. 29

Keywords: Model; Water availability; Allometric; Tree improvement.

31

30

32

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Citation: Lastname, F.: Lastname, F.:

Lastname, F. Title. Environ. Sci. Proc.

2022, 4, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/

Academic Editor: Firstname Last-



XXXXX

name

Published: date

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Stem volume equations with high accuracy are essential for the forest industry and management to develop future supply to industrial purposes, carbon sequestration [1, 2]. Usually, volume equations have been developed to estimate wood or biomass considering the diameter of the tree and the total or commercial height [3]. One of the key aspects that genetic improvement programs consider as a valuable individual tree trait is a cylindrical shape for robustness of volume estimates [4].

In the case of Eucalyptus plantations, having low bias equations is key for productive planning and the development of management measures to optimize production [5]; aspects such as water availability and/or genotypic are key in the consideration of generalized or specific models; research has generally focused on improving productivity in specific sites and volume modeling is specified, which limits the development of models that 43 consider environmental conditions that infer the volume of the stem over time. For example, Assis et al. [6] generalized volumetric models can be developed for clones or taxa, in44yhich the effect of water availability to which individuals are exposed is considered.46Therefore, the objective was to evaluate the effects of water availability and genotype and47interaction water irrigation x genotype in *Eucalyptus* volume equations.48

2. Materials and methods

The plantation was located in Yumbel, Bio-Bio, Chile (37°8'0.01" S, 72 27 34.70" W); 50 weather presented an average temperature of 13.8°C and precipitation of 1252 mm/yr; the 51 soil was classified as dystric xeropsamments [7]. The site was planted in 2013 with a 2x3 52 m spacing and considering a split-plot design with three replicates, as the major factor 53 was water availability (high- and low-irrigation); as the minor factor was genotype (Euca-54 lyptus globulus (high-, EgH and low- yield, EgL), E. nitens x globulus (high-, EngH and low-55 yield, EngL), E. camedulensis x globulus (Ecg), E. badjensis (Eb), E. nitens (En) and E. smithii 56 (Es)). 57

Per genotype treatment of the water regime x, five individual trees were selected and 58 the DBH (diameter at 1.3m above-ground) was measured before harvesting, then the total 59 height (H) was measured (diameter >2 cm), and the entire stem was weighed, while three 60 samples were taken to estimate the moisture content and determine the dry biomass, ac-61 cording to the methodology proposed by Valverde et al. [8]. Subsequently, three volume 62 equations were fitted: Schumacher and Hall [9] (Eq. 1), Honer [10] (Eq. 2) and Clutter et 63 al. [11] (Eq. 3). To evaluate the effects of the water regime and the genotype of the factor, 64 dummy variables were used with the method proposed by Quiorez-Barraza et al. [12]. 65 Finally, the selection of the best equations based on the adjusted coefficient of determina-66 tion (Adj-R²), RMSE, AIC and BIC. All analyzes were performed in R with a significance 67 of 0.05. 68

$$V = \beta_0 D B H^{\beta_1} H^{\beta_2} \tag{1}$$

$$V = \frac{DBH^2}{\beta_0 + \frac{\beta_1}{H}} \tag{2}$$

$$V = \beta_0 + \beta_1 DBH^2 H + \beta_2 H + \beta_3 DBH^2$$
(3)

3. Results and discussion

The genotypes did not show significant differences between water availability conditions, therefore two dasometric groups were obtained: (i) Es, Ecg, and Ecg were considered as small size, with a DBH <14.8 cm and H< 14.2 m and a average total volume of 0.187 m³ tree⁻¹; (ii) Eb, En, EngH, EgH and EngL were determined as bigger size, with DBH >16.2 cm and H>16.4 m and average total volume of 0.113 m³ tree⁻¹. 74

The analyzes indicated that there is no significant effect of the genotype of the varia-75 bles, irrigation regime, and interaction of the genotype x irrigation regime in the tree vol-76 ume equations analyzed (Table 1). When determining the equation of best fit (Table 2), 77 Schumacher and Hall showed the best statistical indicators (highest Adj-R² and lower 78 RMSE, MAD, AIC and BIC), followed by the Honer equation, which differed by showing 79 higher statistical criteria, especially RMSE. On the contrary, Clutter et al. presented the 80 worst indicators and the worst volume estimation equation. Therefore, the best general-81 ized volume equation (Eq. 4) can be used for any genotype x water regime in the study 82 region; with the detail that the equation tends to underestimate the volume between 3 to 83 8% in individuals with a DBH > 25 cm. 84

49

69

| ed volume equations (ns not significant; ** significant at 0.01). | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|----------|---|---------------------|------------|--|--|
| | Faultion - | Variable analyzed | | | | | | |
| _ | Equation – | Genotype | Irrigati | on Ge | notype x | Irrigation | | |
| _ | Schumacher and Hall | 0.203 ^{ns} | 0.413 1 | 0.413 ^{ns} 0.100 ^{ns} | |) ns | | |
| | Honer | 0.382 ns | 0.402 ns | | 0.334 | 1 ns | | |
| | Clutter et al. | 0.221 ns | 0.100 1 | ns | 0.239 ^{ns} | | | |
| Table 2. Statistical values for selecting generalized volume equations for Eucalyptus. | | | | | | | | |
| | Equation | Adj-R ² | RMSE | AIC | BIC | Ranking | | |
| | Schumacher and Hal | l 0.98 | 0.02 | 132.78 | 138.90 | 1 | | |
| | Honer | 0.87 | 2.01 | 149.33 | 142.26 | 2 | | |
| | Clutter et al. | 0.73 | 3.11 | 150.47 | 168.17 | 3 | | |

Table 1. Statistical significance (*p*-value) of genotypes, irrigation, and their interaction on selecte

 $V = 2.75 \times 10^{-6} DB H^{1.71} H^{1.16}$

Scolforo et al. [13] and Gomat et al. [14] highlighted that the irrigation regimen can 88 directly infer the growth of the tree, but they do not show evidence that it affects the shape 89 of the bolt. An aspect that Binkey et al. [15] showed by finding that variations in temper-90 ature and rainfall directly affect water use and productivity, but not the stem profile in 91 Eucalyptus genotypes. In the case of genotype, when clonal material selected according 92 to the productivity and characteristics desired for the industry is implemented, the varia-93 bility of bole in the shape of the stem is reduced, since it is desired to have the most ho-94 mogeneous stems possible with a tendency to a cylindrical shape. This is due to the opti-95 mization of the use of bole [16]. Therefore, the contribution of this variable is not signifi-96 cant in practice and can be omitted from the equations [13]. 97

4. Conclusions

The effects of the irrigation regimen, genotype, and their interaction were not found 99 in any of the volume equations used. In this way, it is possible to use general equations 100 that consider all the conditions for the study. Therefore, which implies an optimization in 101 the management and modeling of Eucalyptus plantations.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.C.V., R.R., D.B. and Y.E; methodology, J.C.V., Y.E. and 103 D.B.; software, J.C.V. and R.R; resources, R.R., A.M. and V.E.; writing-original draft preparation, 104 J.C.V. and R.R; writing-review and editing, J.C.V, R.R and O.C..; funding acquisition, R.R., A.M. 105 and V.E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. 106

Funding: This work was funded by the government of Chile via CONICYT Fondecyt Regular Project 1190835, CONICYT FONDEF Project IT16I10087 and ANID BASAL FB210015, also funding for maintenance of these trials was provided by CMPC Forestal Mininco S.A., the Forest Productivity 109 Cooperative at Universidad de Concepción Chile and support of National Agency for Research and 110 Development (ANID), Scholarship Program, DOCTORADO BECAS CHILE/2020-21202023. 111

| Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. | 112 |
|---|-----|
| Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable. | 113 |

| Data Availability Statement: Not applicable. | 114 |
|--|-----|
| Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge the support of many professionals from CMPC S.A. | 115 |
| (Forestal Mininco) who provided invaluable support on field installations, maintenance, and estab- | 116 |
| lishment of irrigation system. | 117 |
| | |

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

(4)

85

86

87

98

102

107 108

118

| Refe | rences | 119 |
|------|--|-----|
| 1. | Souza, G.S.A.d., et al., Evaluation of non-linear taper equations for predicting the diameter of eucalyptus trees. Revista Árvore, 2018. | 120 |
| | 42. | 121 |
| 2. | Cerqueira, C.L., et al., Modeling of eucalyptus tree stem taper in mixed production systems. Embrapa Pecuária Sul-Artigo em | 122 |
| | periódico indexado (ALICE), 2021. | 123 |
| 3. | Nogueira, G.S., et al., Influência do espaçamento inicial sobre a forma do fuste de árvores de Pinus taeda L. Revista Árvore, 2008. 32: | 124 |
| | p. 855-860. | 125 |
| 4. | Oliveira, M.L.R.d., et al., Estimação do volume de árvores de clones de eucalipto pelo método da similaridade de perfis. Revista Árvore, | 126 |
| | 2009. 33 : p. 133-141. | 127 |
| 5. | Osler, G., P. West, and G. Downes, Effects of bending stress on taper and growth of stems of youngEucalyptus regnans trees. Trees, | 128 |
| | 1996. 10 (4): p. 239-246. | 129 |
| 6. | Assis, A., et al., Comparison between segmented and non-segmented polynomial models in the estimates of diameter and merchantable | 130 |
| | <i>volume of Pinus taeda.</i> Cerne, 2001. 7(1): p. 20-40. | 131 |
| 7. | CIREN, Estudio Agrológico VIII Región. Descripciones de Suelos, Materiales y Símbolos, C.d.I.d.R. Naturales, Editor. 1999: | 132 |
| | Santiago, Chile. | 133 |
| 8. | Valverde, J.C., et al., Taper and individual tree volume equations of Eucalyptus varieties under contrasting irrigation regimes. New | 134 |
| | Zealand Journal of Forestry Science, 2022. 52. | 135 |
| 9. | Schumacher, F.X., Logarithmic expression of timber-tree volume. J Agric Res, 1933. 47: p. 719-734. | 136 |
| 10. | Honer, T., A new total cubic foot volume function. The Forestry Chronicle, 1965. 41(4): p. 476-493. | 137 |
| 11. | Clutter, J.L., et al., Timber management: A quantitative approach. 1983: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. | 138 |
| 12. | Quiñonez-Barraza, G., et al., Compatible taper, volume, green weight, biomass and carbon concentration system for Quercus | 139 |
| | sideroxyla Bonpl. Revista Chapingo serie ciencias forestales y del ambiente, 2019. 25(1): p. 49-69. | 140 |
| 13. | Scolforo, H.F., et al., Generalized stem taper and tree volume equations applied to eucalyptus of varying genetics in Brazil. Canadian | 141 |
| | Journal of Forest Research, 2019. 49 (5): p. 447-462. | 142 |
| 14. | Gomat, H.Y., et al., What factors influence the stem taper of Eucalyptus: growth, environmental conditions, or genetics? Annals of | 143 |
| | forest science, 2011. 68(1): p. 109-120. | 144 |
| 15. | Binkley, D., et al., The interactions of climate, spacing and genetics on clonal Eucalyptus plantations across Brazil and Uruguay. | 145 |
| | Forest Ecology and Management, 2017. 405: p. 271-283. | 146 |
| 16. | Laclau, JP., et al., Mixed-species plantations of Acacia mangium and Eucalyptus grandis in Brazil: 1. Growth dynamics and | 147 |
| | aboveground net primary production. Forest Ecology and Management, 2008. 255(12): p. 3905-3917. | 148 |
| | | 149 |