



**Volatile composition of the critically endangered plant  
*Thymus webbianus* Rouy from the Natural Park  
“Penyal d’Ifach” (Calp, Spain)**

*Asensio-Casas, E.<sup>a</sup>, Ferrer-Gallego, P.P.<sup>b</sup>, Georgiev, V.<sup>c</sup>, Pavlov, A.<sup>c</sup>,  
Juan-Vicedo, J.<sup>d</sup>*

<sup>a</sup> *Dpto. Química Analítica, Universidad de Zaragoza, C/Miguel Servet 177, 50013  
Zaragoza, Spain*

<sup>b</sup> *Servicio de Vida Silvestre e CIEF (Centro para la Investigación y Experimentación  
Forestal), Av. Comarques del País Valencià 114, 46930, Quart de Poblet, Spain*

<sup>c</sup> *Laboratory of Applied Biotechnologies, Institute of Microbiology, Bulgarian Academy  
of Sciences, 139 Ruski Boulevard, 4000, Plovdiv, Bulgaria*

<sup>d</sup> *Instituto de Investigación en Medio Ambiente y Ciencia Marina IMEDMAR,  
Universidad Católica de Valencia, Carrer Guillem de Castro, 94, 46001 València,  
Spain*

## **Abstract.**

*Thymus webbianus* Rouy (Lamiaceae) is an endemic plant known from only two populations located in the Natural Park "Penyal d'Ifac" (Calp), and in the Natural Park of Serra Gelada (Benidorm-Alfàs del Pi) in Spain. At the Spanish state level, it has been listed as "Critically Endangered", and "Vulnerable" in the Valencian Region. Moreover, its taxonomic position remains unclear, and further research on this species is needed. Therefore, this work was aimed to characterize the chemical composition in volatiles from four subpopulations located in the Natural Park "Penyal d'Ifach" through HS-SPME-GC-MS. An overall of 53 compounds were identified in these samples. Three major compounds (representing more than 5 % in peak area) were identified in all samples:  $\beta$ -caryophyllene ( $S1= 9.23 \pm 0.19$ ,  $S2= 6.29 \pm 0.20$ ,  $S3= 11.25 \pm 0.74$ , and  $S4= 11.26 \pm 0.34$ ), D-limonene, ( $S1=5.01 \pm 0.21$ ,  $S2= 7.95 \pm 0.34$ ,  $S3= 10.54 \pm 1.17$ , and  $S4= 9.22 \pm 0.51$ ), and bicyclogermacrene ( $S1= 5.21 \pm 0.19$ ,  $S2= 7.24 \pm 0.79$ ,  $S3= 7.07 \pm 0.4$ , and  $S4= 5.37 \pm 0.43$ ). The other compounds were presented in lower amounts, and/or in a more reduced number of samples. The richness in  $\beta$ -caryophyllene, D-limonene, and bicyclogermacrene might be used as a marker, to separate *T. webbianus* from *T. vulgaris*. Also, the lack of thymol (a widespread terpene within the genus *Thymus*) could be characteristic of *T. webbianus*. However, given the large amount of chemotypes described for *T. vulgaris* this idea has to be treated with caution, and further studies on the phytochemistry of *T. webbianus* (including samples from *T. vulgaris* in the nearby, as well as samples from the natural hybrid *T. ×valentinus*) should be performed in order to clarify whether the chemical profile may be useful for the taxonomical arrangement of the species, and to establish its conservation status.

**Keywords:** Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry, threatened plants, *Thymus webbianus*, volatiles

## **Introduction**

Sea cliffs show a great heterogeneity in microenvironments, where many of them act as refuges for exclusive plant taxa (Costa, 1999; Strumia et al., 2020; Barnard et al., 2021). For this reason, it is not surprising that a large number of rare, and endemic plant species are found in these ecosystems, and restricted to a very limited territory (Laguna et al. 1998; Bañares et al., 2010; Buirra et al., 2016). *Thymus webbianus* Rouy (Lamiaceae) is a good example of these endemic plants, and it grows in calcareous maritime rocks in the Southern Valencian Region of Spain (Crespo et al., 2003; Moreno et al., 2012). To date, its presence is only known in two populations located in the Natural Park "Penyal d'Ifac" (Calp, Alicante province), and in the Natural Park of Serra Gelada (Benidorm-Alfàs del Pi, Alicante province). Overall, 300 individuals were counted a decade ago (Iriondo et al., 2009; Aguilera et al. 2010). However, the current demographic situation of this species is unknown. At the Spanish state level, it has been listed as "Critically Endangered" (Bañares et al., 2010), and as "Vulnerable" in the Valencian Region (Order 2/2022, of February 16). However, no information is available at higher geographical levels (European, Mediterranean, or global scopes) since its European conservation assessment is still lacking in specialized reports (Bilz et al. 2011), or in reference datasets

such as the Red List of the International Union for Nature Conservation, IUCN (<https://www.iucnredlist.org/>).

On the other hand, the taxonomical position of this species remains controversial. It has been considered as a separated species from closely related *Thymus* (*T. vulgaris* L.) due to the presence of rigid hairs on leaf margins and/or petioles, glabrescent abaxial side of leaves, bigger corollas, and often decumbent habit (Laguna et al., 1998; Mateo and Crespo 2014). However, a more synthetic proposal within the genus *Thymus* has been placed it within the natural variability of the widely-distributed *T. vulgaris* (Morales, 1986; 2010; Ferrer-Gallego et al. 2013). This controversy is also motivated due to the remarkable morphological variability of *T. webbianus* individuals in the known populations, which is also increased due to the fact that the natural hybrid between *T. vulgaris*, and *T. webbianus* (*T. ×valentinus*) is also presented in the distribution area (Crespo et al., 2003; Iriondo et al. 2009).

According to Morales (2010), the *T. webbianus* populations from Penyal d'Ifach are morphologically different to the other populations screened of *T. vulgaris*. These populations show the characters stated in the original description of the species that motivated its consideration as a separated taxon from *T. vulgaris* (Rouy, 1988). In this context of uncertainty, Morales (2010) stated that further research on this species is needed in order to clarify the taxonomical position of *T. webbianus* within the complex of *T. vulgaris*. Detailed information on the taxa identity, including results from the chemophenetic, molecular, and morphological fields, is necessary in order to design accurate plant conservation strategies (Richard and Evans, 2005; Laguna et al., 2004; Heywood 2007; Rouhan and Gaudeul, 2014; Ely et al. 2017). This information is particularly important for megadiverse groups such *Narcissus* (Berkov et al. 2020), *Hieracium*, and *Pilosella* (Willer et al. 2021), or *Thymus* (Laguna et al. 1998). Early studies have been characterized flavonoids, and phenolics from *T. webbianus* (Blázquez et al. 1990; 1994; Zafra-Polo et al. 1990). The most abundant compound of the essential oil was germacrene B, followed by terpinen-4-ol,  $\beta$ -caryophyllene, 1,8-cineole, and borneol. However, a more in depth research on its chemical composition, and the variability present among the natural populations is certainly interesting for a better characterization of the species.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to characterize the chemical profile of *T. webbianus* in four subpopulations of the Natural Park "Penyal d'Ifach" in order to provide new data on its taxonomical identity and, in turn, to provide more accurate insights into the conservation needs of this critically endangered endemic plant from the Iberian Peninsula.

## Materials and Methods

### *Plant collection*

A field campaign was developed during the last week of April 2022 in the distribution area of the species. A screening on those subpopulations showing the distinctive characters described for *T. webbianus* (presence of rigid hairs on leaf margins and/or petioles, glabrescent abaxial side of leaves, big corollas, and decumbent habit) was done in the subpopulations present in the Natural Park “Penyal d’Ifach” (municipality of Calp, Valencian Region, Spain), and selected flowering individuals were harvested. Then, the plant samples were dried in the dark at room temperature conditions ( $\approx 22\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) until extraction for chemical analyses.

### *Plant extraction, and chemical characterization*

After drying, samples (0.02 g) from the plant material were subjected to chemical analyses. A Solid Phase Micro-Extraction (SPME) was performed, and a further analysis by Gas Chromatography coupled to Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) using the Headspace (HS) technique was done in order to determine the presence of volatile compounds, and estimate their relative content (percentage of peak area). The identification of volatile compounds was carried out using the spectrum library present in the equipment’s software (NIST Chemistry WebBook). The analyses were carried out by triplicate.

## Results and Discussion

An overall of 53 compounds were identified through HS-SPME-GC-MS in *T. webbianus* samples. Three major compounds (representing more than 5 % in peak area) were identified in all samples (Table 1):  $\beta$ -caryophyllene (S1=  $9.23 \pm 0.19$ , S2=  $6.29 \pm 0.20$ , S3=  $11.25 \pm 0.74$ , and S4=  $11.26 \pm 0.34$ ), D-limonene, (S1=  $5.01 \pm 0.21$ , S2=  $7.95 \pm 0.34$ , S3=  $10.54 \pm 1.17$ , and S4=  $9.22 \pm 0.51$ ), and bicyclogermacrene (S1=  $5.21 \pm 0.19$ , S2=  $7.24 \pm 0.79$ , S3=  $7.07 \pm 0.4$ , and S4=  $5.37 \pm 0.43$ ). 13 compounds are presented in more than 2% of peak area in, at least, 3 of the samples screened. These compounds include: sabinene,  $\beta$ -myrcene,  $\alpha$ -terpinene,  $\beta$ -ocimene,  $\gamma$ -terpinene, cis-sabinene hydrate, linalool, camphor, p-cymene, endo-borneol, aromadendrene, eudesma-1,4(15),11-triene, and spathulenol (Table 1). The other 40 compounds are generally presented in lower amounts. The results obtained in the present work show certain variability of the volatile composition in the sampled subpopulations. When compared to the previous work made by Zafra-Polo et al. (1988) we found that terpinen-4-ol,  $\beta$ -caryophyllene, and borneol were also present, in remarkable amounts, as happens in our samples too. However, germacrene B, the most abundant compound detected in Zafra-Polo et al. (1988), as well as 1,8-cineole, were not detected in our work in none of the four samples screened. Also, thymol was not observed in our samples, neither in Zafra-Polo et al. (1988). As thymol is present (often in high amounts) in most of the species screened of *Thymus*, especially in Western-Mediterranean populations of *T. vulgaris* (Arraiza et al., 2009; Figueredo et al.

2010; Stahl-Biskup and Saez, 2002) the lack of this compound could be characteristic of *T. webbianus*.

Similarly, the richness in  $\beta$ -caryophyllene, D-limonene, and bicyclogermacrene might be used as a marker, to separate *T. webbianus* from *T. vulgaris*. However, given the large amount of chemotypes described for *T. vulgaris* (Satyal et al. 2016; Torras et al. 2007) this idea has to be treated with caution. Therefore, further studies on the phytochemistry of *T. webbianus* (including samples from *T. vulgaris* in the nearby, as well as samples from *T. ×valentinus*) should be performed in order to clarify whether the chemical composition of these populations corresponds to a particular chemotype of *T. vulgaris*, or (on the contrary) it can correspond to a separated taxon. Ideally, these works would gain accuracy in case of combining the chemical characterization, with morphological, and molecular data, in order to establish the taxonomical identity of *T. webbianus*, and finally its conservation needs.

Compounds	RT (min)	CAS	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
$\beta$ -thujene	8,360	28634-89-1	1.80 $\pm$ 1.56	3.78 $\pm$ 0.20	0.83 $\pm$ 0.04	2.03 $\pm$ 0.06
camphene	9,078	79-92-5	0.21 $\pm$ 0.02	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01	0.22 $\pm$ 0.04	0.24 $\pm$ 0.01
sabinene	9,984	3387-41-5	2.25 $\pm$ 0.07	3.49 $\pm$ 0.06	0.87 $\pm$ 0.01	2.08 $\pm$ 0.09
1-octene-3-ol	10,246	3391-86-4	0.41 $\pm$ 0.02	0.29 $\pm$ 0.02	0.04 $\pm$ 0.01	0.00 $\pm$ 0.00
$\beta$ -myrcene	10,669	123-35-3	3.39 $\pm$ 0.30	0.75 $\pm$ 0.15	7.42 $\pm$ 0.71	3.53 $\pm$ 0.57
$\alpha$ -phellandrene	11,078	99-83-2	2.33 $\pm$ 0.13	2.66 $\pm$ 0.13	1.30 $\pm$ 0.10	1.81 $\pm$ 0.08
$\alpha$ -terpinene	11,513	99-86-5	4.55 $\pm$ 0.21	6.18 $\pm$ 0.26	1.63 $\pm$ 0.15	3.60 $\pm$ 0.06
p-cymene	11,766	99-87-6	2.46 $\pm$ 0.30	3.49 $\pm$ 0.53	2.13 $\pm$ 0.38	2.13 $\pm$ 0.03
D-limonene	11,934	5989-27-5	5.01 $\pm$ 0.21	7.95 $\pm$ 0.34	10.54 $\pm$ 1.17	9.22 $\pm$ 0.51
trans- $\beta$ -ocimene	12,281	3779-61-1	0.66 $\pm$ 0.06	0.33 $\pm$ 0.02	0.38 $\pm$ 0.04	0.32 $\pm$ 0.05
$\beta$ -ocimene	12,655	13877-91-3	6.63 $\pm$ 0.60	1.87 $\pm$ 0.34	2.01 $\pm$ 0.35	2.16 $\pm$ 0.26
$\gamma$ -terpinene	12,982	99-85-4	5.64 $\pm$ 0.26	7.70 $\pm$ 0.25	2.52 $\pm$ 0.14	4.61 $\pm$ 0.08
cis-sabinene hydrate	13,247	15537-55-0	4.78 $\pm$ 0.19	5.59 $\pm$ 0.12	0.78 $\pm$ 0.04	2.80 $\pm$ 0.08
terpinolene	13,928	586-62-9	2.17 $\pm$ 0.12	2.75 $\pm$ 0.06	0.87 $\pm$ 0.17	1.51 $\pm$ 0.02
trans-sabinene hydrate	14,227	17699-16-0	1.93 $\pm$ 0.11	2.76 $\pm$ 0.08	1.32 $\pm$ 0.09	1.95 $\pm$ 0.03
linalool	14,376	78-70-6	6.13 $\pm$ 0.18	1.15 $\pm$ 0.02	4.39 $\pm$ 0.27	2.18 $\pm$ 0.18
1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene (durene)	14,908	95-93-2	0.30 $\pm$ 0.12	0.10 $\pm$ 0.01	0.16 $\pm$ 0.04	0.23 $\pm$ 0.00
cosmene	15,266	460-01-5	1.36 $\pm$ 0.07	0.31 $\pm$ 0.02	0.37 $\pm$ 0.04	0.31 $\pm$ 0.08
camphor	15,625	76-22-2	2.79 $\pm$ 0.07	1.00 $\pm$ 0.03	2.24 $\pm$ 0.21	2.08 $\pm$ 0.16
endo-borneol	16,241	507-70-0	1.32 $\pm$ 0.17	2.75 $\pm$ 0.12	2.63 $\pm$ 0.14	4.82 $\pm$ 0.19
terpinen-4-ol	16,558	562-74-3	2.75 $\pm$ 0.09	6.86 $\pm$ 0.28	0.83 $\pm$ 0.05	1.86 $\pm$ 0.05
$\alpha$ -terpinol	16,864	98-55-5	0.99 $\pm$ 0.01	1.31 $\pm$ 0.02	0.54 $\pm$ 0.00	0.67 $\pm$ 0.02
bornyl formate	17,696	7492-41-3	0.24 $\pm$ 0.04	0.06 $\pm$ 0.02	0.07 $\pm$ 0.02	0.07 $\pm$ 0.03

bornyl acetate	18,844	76-49-3	$0.27 \pm 0.28$	$0.24 \pm 0.03$	$0.28 \pm 0.01$	$0.17 \pm 0.04$
carvacrol	19,145	499-75-2	$0.18 \pm 0.04$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$
elixene / $\gamma$ -elemene	19,776	3242-08-8/				
		29873-99-2	$1.85 \pm 0.14$	$2.75 \pm 0.28$	$2.18 \pm 0.22$	$1.66 \pm 0.06$
$\alpha$ -cubebene	19,980	-	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.36 \pm 0.02$	$0.24 \pm 0.01$
carvacrol acetate	20,373	6380-28-5	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.22 \pm 0.05$	$0.24 \pm 0.09$	$0.19 \pm 0.01$
$\alpha$ -copaene	20,418	95910-36-4	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.52 \pm 0.03$	$0.30 \pm 0.01$
(-)- $\beta$ -bourbonene	20,573	5208-59-3	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$0.17 \pm 0.01$
$\beta$ -elemene	20,657	515-13-9	$0.59 \pm 0.05$	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.51 \pm 0.01$
1,1,4,7-tetramethyl- 1a,2,3,4,6,7,7a,7b- octahydro-1H- cyclopropa[e]azulene	20,748	405112-35-8				
			$0.32 \pm 0.02$	$0.64 \pm 0.03$	$0.40 \pm 0.04$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$
isocaryophyllene	20,907	NIST 140072	$0.29 \pm 0.01$	$0.29 \pm 0.04$	$0.51 \pm 0.09$	$0.45 \pm 0.02$
$\beta$ -maaliene	20,957	489-29-2	$0.60 \pm 0.02$	$0.67 \pm 0.01$	$1.34 \pm 0.08$	$0.68 \pm 0.07$
$\beta$ -caryophyllene	21,139	87-44-5	$9.23 \pm 0.19$	$6.29 \pm 0.20$	$11.25 \pm 0.74$	$11.26 \pm 0.34$
$\beta$ -vatirenene	21,224	27840-40-0	$1.03 \pm 0.06$	$1.09 \pm 0.03$	$1.41 \pm 0.02$	$1.21 \pm 0.01$
$\alpha$ -maaliene	21,334	489-28-1	$0.61 \pm 0.02$	$0.94 \pm 0.19$	$0.70 \pm 0.06$	$0.59 \pm 0.03$
aromadendrene	21,400	489-39-4	$3.40 \pm 0.09$	$4.86 \pm 0.15$	$3.90 \pm 0.40$	$2.97 \pm 0.26$
selina-5,11-diene	21,441	52026-55-8	$0.99 \pm 0.04$	$1.39 \pm 0.08$	$1.48 \pm 0.05$	$1.11 \pm 0.03$
D-germacrene	21,972	-	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$2.38 \pm 0.05$	$1.10 \pm 0.13$	$0.85 \pm 0.05$
eudesma-1,4(15),11- triene	22,022	212394-95-1				
			$2.51 \pm 0.19$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$2.98 \pm 0.20$	$2.61 \pm 0.13$
bicyclogermacrene	22,193	24703-35-3	$5.21 \pm 0.19$	$7.24 \pm 0.79$	$7.07 \pm 0.40$	$5.37 \pm 0.43$
$\gamma$ -cadinene	22,403	-	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$1.74 \pm 0.14$	$0.99 \pm 0.04$
aromadendrene, dehydro-	22,494	NIST 156125				
			$1.75 \pm 0.10$	$1.73 \pm 0.08$	$5.03 \pm 2.14$	$4.24 \pm 0.27$
6-epi-shyobunol	22,561	-	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$2.11 \pm 0.22$	$1.27 \pm 0.10$
$\alpha$ -cadinene	22,699	-	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$1.02 \pm 0.07$	$0.77 \pm 0.03$
elemol	22,852	639-99-6	$4.04 \pm 0.20$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$2.94 \pm 0.36$
spathulenol	23,241	6750-60-3	$2.19 \pm 0.04$	$2.94 \pm 0.28$	$3.41 \pm 0.23$	$2.85 \pm 0.32$
$\alpha$ -eudesmol	24,160	473-16-5	$1.71 \pm 0.09$	$0.91 \pm 0.02$	$1.74 \pm 0.14$	$2.38 \pm 0.54$
thujopsenal	24,502	470-41-7	$1.00 \pm 0.09$	$1.05 \pm 0.10$	$1.91 \pm 0.30$	$1.92 \pm 0.37$
shyobunol	24,607		$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$1.53 \pm 0.23$	$0.93 \pm 0.07$
azulol	25,537	489-84-9	$0.51 \pm 0.02$	$0.63 \pm 0.02$	$0.84 \pm 0.07$	$0.69 \pm 0.07$
1,1'-biphenyl,2,4,6- trimethyl-	26,134		$0.07 \pm 0.01$	$0.08 \pm 0.00$	$0.12 \pm 0.02$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$

**Table 1.-** Volatile composition of four *Thymus webbianus* Rouy samples collected in the Natural Park “Penyal d’Ifach” (Alicante, Spain). The analyses were performed by Solid Phase Micro-Extraction (SPME), and a further analysis by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) using the Headspace (HS) technique. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  standard deviations.

## References

- Ballester, C. (2016). Composición química y propiedades antibacterianas y antioxidantes de aceites esenciales de especies de *Thymus* procedentes de cultivo ecológico y su aplicación a películas de quitosano. Doctoral thesis retrieved from <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/tesis?codigo=64432>.
- Aguilera, A., Fos, S., Laguna, E. (Eds.) (2010). Catálogo Valenciano de Especies de Flora Amenazadas. Colección Biodiversidad, 18 Conselleria de Medi Ambient, Aigua, Urbanisme i Habitatge, Generalitat Valenciana. Valencia.
- Arraiza, M.P., Andrés, M.P., Arrabal, C., López, J.V. (2009) Seasonal Variation of Essential Oil Yield and Composition of Thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) Grown in Castilla—La Mancha (Central Spain), *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, 21:4, 360-362, <https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2009.9700192>
- Bañares, A., Blanca, G., Güemes, J., Moreno, J.C., Ortiz, S. (2010). Atlas y Libro Rojo de la Flora Vasculare Amenazada de España. Dirección General de Medio Natural y Política Forestal (Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, y Medio Rural y Marino)-Sociedad Española de Biología de la Conservación de Plantas, Madrid.
- Barnard, P.L., Dugan, J.E., Page, H.M. et al. (2021). Multiple climate change-driven tipping points for coastal systems. *Sci Rep* 11, 15560. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-94942-7>.
- Berkov, S., Osorio, E., Viladomat, F., Bastida, J. (2020). Chemodiversity, chemotaxonomy and chemoeology of Amaryllidaceae alkaloids 83, 113-185, <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.alkal.2019.10.002>.
- Bilz, M., Kell, S.P., Maxted, N. and Lansdown, R.V. (2011). European Red List of Vascular Plants. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- Blázquez M. A., Zafra-Polo M. C. and Máneez S. (1990). Flavones from *Thymus webbianus* and their chemo-taxonomic significance. *Planta Med.* 56, 581-582.
- Blázquez, M.A., Máneez, S., Zafra-Polo, M.C. (1994). Further Flavonoids and Other Phenolics of *Thymus webbianus* Rouy. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung C* <https://doi.org/10.1515/znc-1994-9-1021>
- Buira, A., Aedo, C., Medina, L. (2016). Spatial patterns of the Iberian and Balearic endemic vascular flora. *Biodiversity Conservation* 26: 479–508.
- Costa, M. (1999). La vegetación y el paisaje en las tierras valencianas. Editorial Rueda, Valencia.
- Crespo, M.B., Juan, A., Ríos, S., Martínez-Azorín, Coca, B. (2003). *Thymus webbianus* EN: Atlas y libro rojo de la flora vascular amenazada de España (pp.548-549). Edition: 1 Publisher: Organismo Autónomo Parques Nacionales. Ministerio del Medio Ambiente. Madrid. Editores: Bañares, A., Blanca, G., Güemes, J., Moreno, J.C., Ortiz, S.
- Ely, C.V., Bordignon, S.A.L., Trevisan, R., Boldrini, I.J. (2017). Implications of poor taxonomy in conservation. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 36: 10-13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2017.01.003>.
- Ferrer-Gallego, P.P. Navarro, Al. Laguna, E. & Mateo, G. (2013). *Thymus vulgaris* subsp. *mansanetianus* subsp. nov (*Lamiaceae*). *Flora Montiberica* 54: 144-149.
- Figueiredo, C., Barroso, J.G., Pedro, L.G. (2010). Volatiles from *Thymbra* and *Thymus* species of the western Mediterranean basin, Portugal and Macaronesia. *Nat Prod Commun.* 5(9):1465-76. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578X1000500924>
- Heywood, (2007). Taxonomy and plant conservation. *BGjournal* , Vol. 4, No. 1, 12- 15. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24810404>.
- Iriondo, J.M., Albert, M.J., Giménez Benavides, L., Domínguez Lozano, F., Escudero, A. (Eds.). (2009). Poblaciones en Peligro: Viabilidad Demográfica de la Flora Vasculare Amenazada de España.

Dirección General de Medio Natural y Política Forestal (Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, y Medio Rural y Marino), Madrid, 242 pp.

- Laguna, E. (1998). Flora rara, endémica o amenazada de la Comunidad Valenciana. Generalitat Valenciana, Conselleria de Medio Ambiente.
- Laguna E, Deltoro VI, Pérez-Botella J, Pérez-Rovira P, Serra L, Olivares A, Fabregat C. (2004). The role of small reserves in plant conservation in a region of high diversity in eastern Spain. *Biol Conserv.* 119(3):421-26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2004.01.001>
- Mateo, G., Crespo, M.B. (2014). Claves Ilustradas para la Flora Valenciana. Colección Monografías de Flora Montiberica, nº 6. Jolube Consultor Botánico y Editor . ISBN papel: 978-84-941996-7-7.
- Miller-Rushing, A.J., Høye, T.T., Inouye, D.W., Post, E. (2010). The effects of phenological mismatches on demography. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* B3653177–3186. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0148>.
- Morales, R. (1986) Taxonomía de los géneros *Thymus* (excluida la sección *Serplum*) y *Thymbra* en la Península Ibérica. *Ruizia* 3: 1-324.
- Morales, R. (2010) *Thymus* L. In: R. Morales & al. (eds.). *Flora iberica* 12: 349-409. Real Jardín Botánico, CSIC, Madrid.
- Moreno, J.C., Donato, M., Katinas, L., Crisci, J.V., Posadas, P. (2012). New insights into the biogeography of south-western Europe: spatial patterns from vascular plants using cluster analysis and parsimony. *Journal of Biogeography* <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2012.02774.x>
- ORDEN 2/2022, de 16 de febrero, de la Conselleria de Agricultura, Desarrollo Rural, Emergencia Climática y Transición Ecológica, por la que se actualizan los listados valencianos de especies protegidas de flora y fauna.
- Richard, D., Evans, D. (2005). The need for plant taxonomy in setting priorities for designated areas and conservation management plans: a European perspective.
- Rouhan, G., Gaudeul, M. (2014). Plant Taxonomy: A Historical Perspective, Current Challenges, and Perspectives. In: Besse, P. (eds) *Molecular Plant Taxonomy. Methods in Molecular Biology*, vol 2222. Humana, New York, NY. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-0997-2\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-0997-2_1)
- Rouy, G. (1988). Excursions botaniques en Espagne (Mai-Jun 1883). Denia-Madrid III. Observations, remarques et diagnoses. *Bull. Soc. Bot. France*, 35: 115-124.
- Satyal P, Murray BL, McFeeters RL, Setzer WN. (2016). Essential Oil Characterization of *Thymus vulgaris* from Various Geographical Locations. *Foods* 27;5(4):70. doi: 10.3390/foods5040070. PMID: 28231164; PMCID: PMC5302419.
- Stahl-Biskup, E., Saez, F. (2002) *Thyme: The Genus Thymus (Medicinal and Aromatic Plants - Industrial Profiles)*. Taylor and Francis, London.
- Strumia, S., Santangelo, A., Barone Lumaga, M.R. (2020). Seed germination and seedling roots traits of four species living on Mediterranean coastal cliffs, *Plant Biosystems - An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology*, 154:6, 990-999, <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2020.1837284>.
- Torras, J., Grau, MD., López, JF., de las Heras, FXC. (2007). Analysis of essential oils from chemotypes of *Thymus vulgaris* in Catalonia. *Journal of the Food Science and Agriculture* 87(12). <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2995>



- Willer, J., Zidorn, C., Juan-Vicedo, J. (2021). Ethnopharmacology, phytochemistry, and bioactivities of *Hieracium* L. and *Pilosella* Hill (Cichorieae, Asteraceae) species. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 281, 5, 114465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2021.114465>