



Proceeding Rainfall interception variations according to Eucalyptus genotypes ⁺

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

Juan Carlos Valverde*1, Rafael Rubilar*1.2, Alex Medina3, Oscar Mardones4, Verónica Emhart3, Daniel Bozo1, Yosselin Espinoza1, Octavio Campoe5.

- Cooperativa de Productividad Forestal, Departamento de Silvicultura, Fac. Ciencias Forestales, Universidad
 Concepción, Concepción, Chile.
 Centro Nacional de Excelencia para la Industria de la Madera (CENAMAD), Pontificia Universidad Católica
 de Chile, Santiago, Chile.
 10
- 3 Bioforest S.A., Km 15 S/N Camino a Coronel, Coronel, Concepción, Chile.
- 4 Forestal Mininco S.A., Avenida Alemania 751, Los Ángeles, Chile.
- 5 Departamento de Cîencias Florestais, Universidade Federal de Lavras, Lavras, MG 3037, Brazil.
- * Correspondence: juvalverdeo@udec.cl; rafaelrubilar@udec.cl
- Presented at the 3rd International Electronic Conference on Forests (IECF2022) exploring new discoveries and new directions in forests. 15-31 Oct 2022, Available Online: <u>https://sciforum.net/event/IECF2022</u>

Abstract: The selection of taxa/genotypes that have a rainfall interception that suits the conditions 17 of each region is key to maintaining water stability and minimizing the effects of drought. This 18 study evaluated rainfall interception on a 7-year-old plantation with the eight genotypes (Eucalyptus 19 globulus and E. nitens x globulus (high and low productivity), E. nitens, E. badjensis, E. smithii and E. 20 camaldulensis x globulus) in Yumbel, Bio-Bio, Chile. In addition, diameter (DBH), total height (H) and 21 Leaf Area Index (LAI) were considered and compared with stemflow (Sf), throughfall (Tf) and in-22 terception (INT). The results showed that DBH and H did not infer the rainfall interception param-23 eters. In contrast, Tf and Int varied in each genotype; E. badjensis and E. smithii had a LAI >5.1 m²m⁻ 24 ² had the minimum Tf and maximum Int; in contrast, *E. globulus* and *E. nitens x globulus* with a LAI< 25 4.0 m²m⁻² showed low Int and high Tf. With Sf did not show differences between genotypes. These 26 suggest the opportunity to select genotypes considering canopy interception to balance productiv-27 ity and water resources under climate change scenarios. 28

Keywords: Water Balance; Hydrology; Climate Change.

29

30

31

1. Introduction

One of the key aspects that must be studied to understand the water balance of Eu-32 calyptus plantations is rain intercepting, which considers rainwater that, instead of reach-33 ing the soil, is intercepted by the canopy and lost by evaporation [1]. Factors that influence 34 rainfall intercept have been classified into rainfall properties and structural characteristics 35 of vegetation [2]. The properties of the rain, especially the amount, intensity and duration 36 of the rain, most affect the amount of water that reaches the soil [3]. Cavalli et al. [4] de-37 termined that as the amount and intensity of the rainfall event increase, the interception 38 will decrease, affecting increases in soil moisture content and, in turn, affecting nutrients 39 and organic matter dynamics in upper soil levels [5] and influencing erosion rates on steep 40 terrains [6, 7]. 41

Citation: Valverde, J.C.; Rubilar, R.; Medina, A.; Mardones, O.; Emhart, V.; Bozo, D.; Espinoza, Y.; Campoe, O. Rainfall interception variations according to Eucalyptus genotypes . *Environ. Sci. Proc.* **2022**, *4*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/ xxxxx

Academic Editor:

Published:

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

50

Differences between eucalyptus species or genotypes can provide an opportunity to 42 select more sustainable plantations that address productive and environmental concerns 43 [8]. Furthermore, identifying genotypes with lower intercept values that maintain a more 44 positive water balance can maintain commercial plantation productivity and less impact 45 ecosystem water sustainability in climate change rainfall reductions [9]. Therefore, our 46 study's objective consisted of evaluating rainfall interception of two varieties of each, Eu-47 *calyptus globulus* and *E. nitens x globulus* genotypes, of high and low productivity, and one 48 of each E. nitens, E. badjensis, E. smithii, and E. camaldulensis x globulus genotypes. 49

2. Materials and Methods

The study considered a forest plantation located in Yumbel, Bio-Bio, Chile (37°8'0.01" 51 S, 72 27'34.70" W); with an average annual temperature of 13.8°C, annual rainfall of 1252 52 mm and average monthly solar radiation ranged from 5.5 to 32.1 MJ m⁻². According to 53 CIREN [10] the soil is classified as Dystric Xeropsamments. 54

The study was developed between May and September 2020 (autumn and winter) 55 and evaluated a 7-year-old plantation with the genotypes: *Eucalyptus globulus* (high yield: 56 EgH, low yield: EgL), E. nitens x globulus (high yield: EngH, low yield: EngL), E. nitens 57 (En), E. camaldulensis x globulus (Ecg), E. badjensis (Eb), and E. smithii (Es). The planting 58 spacing for all genotypes was 3 x 2 m (1666 trees ha-1), with 3 plots per genotype. It was 59 the measurement of tree diameter at 1.3 m (DBH) and the total height (H) and the leaf area 60 index (LAI). Then, with the equation proposed by Levia [11] was used (Eq 1) to evaluate 61 gross rainfall (P), throughfall (Tf) and stemflow (Sf). 62

$$INT = P - Tf - Sf \tag{1}$$

Where INT is total interception by vegetation, P is gross rainfall, and was determined 63 by installing three automatic counter-mounted rain gauges (RainWise model RAINEW-64 111) outside in open sky conditions to estimate rainfall without vegetation cover. To esti-65 mate the Tf measurements, two rain gauges per plot (12 per genotype), where one be-66 tween the planting rows and the other in the planting row to capture different intercept 67 points along with the plantation canopy (Fig. 1a). In the case of Sf estimates, two rain 68 collectors per plot (6 per genotype) were located within the internal 3×3 tree genotype 69 plot, and each collector was connected to a central tree using a plastic hose cut in half 70 attached and tightly sealed with silicone to the bark up to 1.2 m height from the ground 71 surrounding the tree stem in a spiral pattern (Fig. 1b). 72



Figure 1. Example of rain gauge distribution for throughfall (a) and collection system for stemflow74(b).75

For INT, Tf, and Sf, a set of linear equations were implemented; in the case of INT 76 ratio, a logarithmic equation was considered; to evaluated differences of genotypes, the 11 likelihood ratio test was used. Analysis was run in R version 4.1.2 and considered a p 78 value <0.05 as significant. 79

3. Results

Individual tree and stand parameters were obtained for Eb and Es as the superior 81 size (DBH >20.0 cm and H>20 m), then EngH, EgH, and Ecg showed the intermediate size 82 made (DBH between 16.5 and 18.5 cm and H between 16.5 and 19.0 m); finally, EngL, EgL, 83 and Es presented a smaller size (DBH <14 cm and H <16 m). For LAI, Eb and Es showed 84 the maximum LAI values (> 5.7 m²m⁻²), EngH, EgH and Ecg obtained intermediate LAI 85 values (average 4.5 m²m⁻²) and EngL, EgL and En showed the lowest LAI values (< 3.5 86 m²m⁻²). 87

Analysis of general equations for the Tf, Sf, INT and INT ratio showed that only for 88 Sf was feasible; therefore, the Tf, INT and INT ratio as a function of LAI were adjusted 89 (Table 1). For Tf (Fig. 2a) a positive linear trend was observed where increases in P affected 90 increases in Tf, and for genotypes Eb and Es the ones with high LAI levels showed the 91 minor increase and the equation with the lower slope. On the other hand, EngH, EgH and 92 En presented a higher increase given by a more significant slope. EngH, EgH, and Ecg 93 showed intermediate values between both previously described scenarios. For all equa-94 tions, Adj-R² were greater than 0.95 and RMSE less than 1.55 showing good fit (Table 1). 95 For Sf, being the only variable that showed a general equation independent of genotype 96 (Fig. 2b), a positive linear trend was observed in which Sf increased as a function of P, and 97 the equation showed a good fit, with Adj- R^2 of 0.98 and RMSE of (1.29). In the case of INT 98 (Fig 2c), an opposite pattern compared to Tf was observed, where genotypes with higher 99 LAI (Eb and Es) showed a higher slope than genotypes with low LAI (EngL, EgL and En) 100 and the equations showed Adj- $R^2 > 0.80$ and RMSE less than 1.90 (Table 1). Finally, for 101 the INT ratio (Fig 2d), a logarithmic decreasing pattern was observed where the INT ratio 102 tended to decrease as P increased and an asymptotic point was observed for P above 80 103 mm for all groups. Es and Eb showed the highest ratios, with an asymptote value of 64%. 104In contrast, EngH, EgH and Ecg, showed a greater decrease in INT ratio with an asymp-105 tote value at 54%, while EcgL, EgL, and En showed the greatest decreasing trend, with an 106 asymptote value at 33%. The equations showed an Adj-R² ranging from 0.70 to 0.77 with 107 RMSE <1.75 (Table 1). 108

Parameter	Group	Genotype	Equation	R²-adj	RMSE
Sf	General	All	Sf= 0.12 P + 0.01	0.98	1.29
Tf	By LAI	Es & Eb (High)	Tf = 0.43 P -0.07	0.96	1.55
		EngH, EgH & Ecg (Intermediate)	Tf=0.62 P -0.12	0.95	1.30
		EngL, EgL & En (Low)	Tf= 0.87 P -0.09	0.97	1.24
INT	By LAI	Es & Eb (High)	INT=0.56 P -0.09	0.96	1.67
		EngH, EgH & Ecg (Intermediate)	INT=0.36 P-0.02	0.89	1.89
		EngL, EgL & En (Low)	INT= 0.11 P -0.10	0.80	1.90
INT ratio	By LAI	Es & Eb (High)	INT ratio=-13.78 Ln(P)+121.51	0.70	1.44
		EngH, EgH & Ecg (Intermediate)	INT ratio=-20.71 Ln (P) +139.09	0.77	1.22
		EngL, EgL & En (Low)	INT ratio=-30.12 Ln (P)+156.78	0.73	1.40

Table 1. Adjusted models coefficients and statistical criteria values for throughfall (Tf),109Stemflow (Sf), rainfall interception (INT) and rainfall interception ratio (INT ratio) variables for all110evaluated Eucalyptus genotypes.111



Figure 2. Throughfall (Tf) (a), Stemflow (Sf) (b), Rainfall interception (INT) (c) and Rainfall113interception ratio (INT ratio) (d) as a function of precipitation (P) for genotypes of Eucalyptus. Lines115in each figure indicate the behavior trend of each group of genotypes.116

4. Discussion

The results obtained confirmed the study's hypothesis; rainfall interception varied 118 according to the LAI of each genotype, with the trend that as LAI increased, INT increased 119 and Tf and Sf decreased. Ferreto et al. Ferreto, Reichert [1] obtained a similar result with 120 three Eucalyptus taxa evaluated between 2.5 and 4.5 years old, finding that the INT be-121 tween species was *E. saligna* > *E. dunnii* > *E. benthamii*, *LAI* being the principal factor that 122 directly inferred the differences in INT between species. Baleiro et al. [12] and Sari et al. 123 [13] mention that LAI is an excellent indicator for estimating INT in Eucalyptus planta-124 tions; it allows providing information on the structure and density of the treetop, which 125 facilitates differentiation of the potential INT between genotypes. 126

Calder et al. [6] mention that INT is a key factor in the growth of forest plantations; 127 Taxa / genotypes with high INT are more susceptible to water stress because it limits 128 groundwater recharge capacity; therefore, it must be monitored and controlled through-129 out the productive cycle of the crop. Câmara et al. [14] and Ferreto et al. [15] mentioned 130 that in Eucalyptus plantations, INTs higher than 40% are considered worrying because 131 they generate dependence on high intensity and long-duration rains. In the case of our 132 study, the Eb, Es, EngH, EgH and Ecg genotypes exceed 50% of INT; therefore, this aspect 133 should be considered in the establishment and management of plantations with these gen-134 otypes, varying the planting density or carrying out pruning or thinning activities that 135 reduce INT. 136

112

4 of 4

137

2.

3.

	5. Conclusions	138	
	rainfall intercept, is essential. The use of genotypes that adapt to the water availability of the site and have a rain interception that allows the recharge of moisture in the soil will allow the conservation of the crop productivity and avoid the mortality of trees due to water stress. Additionally, it will not affect nearby ecosystems and underground water	 139 140 141 142 143 144 	
	D.B.; software, J.C.V. and R.R; resources, R.R., A.M. and V.E.; data curation, J.C.V. and R.R. and Y.E; writing—original draft preparation, J.C.V. and R.R; writing—review and editing, J.C.V, R.R and O.C; funding acquisition, R.R., A.M. and V.E. All authors have read and agreed to the published	145 146 147 148 149	
	ject 1190835, CONICYT FONDEF Project IT16I10087 and ANID BASAL FB210015, also funding for maintenance of these trials was provided by CMPC Forestal Mininco S.A., the Forest Productivity Cooperative at Universidad de Concepción Chile and support of National Agency for Research and	150 151 152 153 154	
	Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.	155	
	Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.	156	
	Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge the support of many professionals from CMPC S.A. (Forestal Mininco) who provided invaluable support on field installations, maintenance, and estab-	157 158 159 160	
	Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.	161	
Refe	rences	162	
1. Ferreto, D.O.C., et al., Rainfall partitioning in young clonal plantations Eucalyptus species in a subtropical environment		163	
		164	
2.	Zhang, ZS., et al., Gross rainfall amount and maximum rainfall intensity in 60-minute influence on interception loss of shrubs: a 10-	165	
	year observation in the Tengger Desert. Scientific Reports, 2016. 6 (1): p. 26030.	166	
3.		167 168	
4.	Cavalli, J.P., et al., Composition and functional soil properties of arenosols and acrisols: Effects on eucalyptus growth and productivity.	169	
	Soil and Tillage Research, 2020. 196: p. 104439.		
5.		171 172	
6.	Calder, I.R., R.L. Hall, and K. Prasanna, <i>Hydrological impact of Eucalyptus plantation in India</i> . Journal of Hydrology, 1 150(2-4): p. 635-648.		
7.	Malvar, M.C., S.A. Prats, and J.J. Keizer, Runoff and inter-rill erosion affected by wildfire and pre-fire ploughing in eucalypt	175	
	plantations of north-central Portugal. Land Degradation & Development, 2016. 27(5): p. 1366-1378.	176	
8.	Smethurst, P.J., et al., <i>Generalized model for plantation production of Eucalyptus grandis and hybrids for genotype-site-manageme applications</i> . Forest Ecology and Management, 2020. 469 : p. 118164.		
9.	Hakamada, R.E., et al., Stocking effects on seasonal tree transpiration and ecosystem water balance in a fast-growing Eucalyptus plantation in Brazil. Forest Ecology and Management, 2020. 466 : p. 118149.		
10	CIDENI Estudio Acrológico VIII Posión Descrinciones de Suelos Materiales y Símbolos C d I d P. Noturalos Editor 1000;	101	

- Balieiro, F.d.C., et al., Evaluation of the throughfall and stemflow nutrient contents in mixed and pure plantations of Acacia mangium, 185 Pseudosamenea guachapele and Eucalyptus grandis. Revista Árvore, 2007. 31: p. 339-346.
 186
- Sari, V., E.M.C.D.d. Paiva, and J.B.D.d. Paiva, Interceptação da chuva em diferentes formações florestais na região sul do Brasil.
 RBRH, 2016. 21: p. 65-79.
- 14.Câmara, A.P., et al., Changes in rainfall patterns enhance the interrelationships between climate and wood traits of eucalyptus. Forest189Ecology and Management, 2021. 485: p. 118959.190
- Ferreto, D.O.C., et al., Water budget fluxes in catchments under grassland and Eucalyptus plantations of different ages. Canadian
 Journal of Forest Research, 2021. 51(4): p. 513-523.