



1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

11

12

24

25

26

Type of the Paper (Proceedings)

Potential geography and conservation of *Ipomoea beninensis,* an Endangered plant species for Benin (West Africa) ⁺

Rodrigue Idohou ^{1,2,*}, Hospice Dassou ³, Gafarou Agounde², Guillaume Hounsou-Dindin² and Aristide Adomou ³

1	Ecole de Gestion et de Production	Végétale et Semencière,	Université Nationale d'Agriculture, Bénin	
---	-----------------------------------	-------------------------	---	--

- ² Laboratoire de Biomathématiques et d'Estimations Forestières, Faculté des Sciences Agronomiques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Bénin
- ³ Laboratoire de Botanique et Ecologie Végétale, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université d'Abomey 9 Calavi, Bénin
 10
- * Correspondence: rodrigidohou@gmail.com; Tel.: +229 97938303
- Presented at The 3rd International Electronic Conference on Forests Exploring New Discover ies and New Directions in Forests
 13

Abstract: The only endemic plant of Benin, Ipomoea beninensis Akoègn. Lisowski 15 & Sinsin, is threatened in its natural habitats. This study assessed the suitability 16 of the current and future habitat for its conservation countrywide. Maxent 17 models were run using records added to environmental variables under present 18 and two climates. The results showed that the most suitable areas for *I. beninensis* 19 will be mainly in the phytodistrict of southern and northern Borgou. The species 20 could lose 9% and 13.6% of its suitable habitats under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5, 21 respectively. Urgent and timely strategies are needed to save the remaining 22 population of the species. 23

1. Introduction

Worldwide, the biological diversity is evolving in an environment that is 27 changing at an unstoppable and disturbing pace [1]. The world is undergoing 28 significant lifestyle change, closely linked to both natural phenomena and an-29 thropogenic factors [2]. In the natural ecosystems, the function and structure 30 have been affected by human activities and climate changes, the impacts of 31 which will get worse in the near future [3]. As a result, the world is expected to 32 experience an unexpected vulnerability of all features of biodiversity, climate 33 systems, and the people who depend on them for their daily needs. 34

Nonetheless, there is increasing evidence that variations in climate varia-35 bles such as precipitation and temperature will affect the biological biodiversity 36 and the geographic distribution of suitable habitats for species [4]. Ipomoea be-37 ninensis Akoegninou, Lisowski, and Sinsin is an endemic plant (Convolvu-38 laceae), first described in Benin in 1999 [5]. It grows in forests and wooded sa-39 vannas in the Guineo-Sudanese and Sudanese zones in Benin [5]. It plays an 40 important role in meeting the needs of local populations, especially in rural ar-41 eas, whether environmental, social, or cultural, with its rhizome being used to 42 treat chickenpox and malaria and as fodder [6]. For some years, its populations 43 have also been exposed to bushfires that affect the availability of its above-44 ground parts, justifying its poor management and leading to its rapid decline in 45

Citation: Idohou, R. ; Dassou, H. ; Agounde, G. ; Hounsou-Dindin, G. ; Adomou A. Potential geography and conservation of *Ipomoea beninensis*, an Endangered plant species for Benin (West Africa). *Environ. Sci. Proc.* 2022, *4*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/ xxxxx

Academic Editor:

Published:

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

2 of 4

47 48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

46

2. Materials and Methods

its future distribution under climate scenarios.

2.1 Study area

The study was conducted in the two ecological zones of the Guinea-Sudanese transition area (7°30'N to 9°45'N) and the Sudanese area (9°45'N to 12°25'N) in Benin.

natural habitats [6]. To better understand the very poorly known distribution of

the species and improve its monitoring in biodiversity monitoring campaigns,

this study assessed the current distribution of Ipomoea beninensis and projected

2.2 Occurrence Data

In whole 189 occurrence records were gathered from the field, and supplemented by those (69) downloaded from online resources (GBIF: http://www.gbif.org). Data were quality checked [7]. In addition, we discarded duplicate records and those with obvious errors. 2.3 Environmental variables

In this study, 21 bioclimatic variables were downloaded (≈1 km) from the Af-61 riclim website (www.africlim.org) for both baseline and future climates. For future 62 climate projections, the Ensemble model [8] was used and the projections were 63 made for 2055 under RCP 4.5 and 8.5 scenario. In addition, soil layers were ob-64 tained at the same resolution from (https://databasin.org) and from Africa Soil 65 Profiles Database (https://www.isric.org), respectively. We discarded highly cor-66 related variables (≥0.8) by using Pearson's rank correlation coefficients and con-67 verted to Ascii format. 68

2.4 Species distribution models' development

The Maximum Entropy modeling approach, MaxEnt version 3.4.4k [8] was 70 used to map the present-day and future distribution of *I. beninensis*. Linear, quad-71 ratic, product, hinge, and threshold functions of predictor variables were checked 72 and variable importance was assessed using a jackknife analysis [9]. We restricted 73 the selection of background points using the regularization of 10,000 background 74 points. To easy the interpretation of the findings, we specified the Maxent' output 75 format to a logistic form. All models were run ten (10) times. We computed the 76 performance of the models using the area under the receiver operating character-77 istics curve (AUC) and the partial receiver operator characteristics (pROC) [10]. 78The dynamic of these patterns of suitability classes were quantified. 79

3. Results

3.1 Variables' contribution and models performance

The 10-time replications highlighted five (05) variables as most contributing 82 to the distribution of I. beninensis : mean diurnal range in temperature, Tempera-83 ture seasonality, mean temperature of warmest quarter), and rainfall of the wettest 84 month), and elevation. Overall, variables related to temperature were more repre-85 sented in the selection. The performance of the models assessed through AUC and 86 partial ROC revealed a value of AUC of 0.84 and a value of AUC ratio was 1.6; 87 thus, indicating that our models have very good predictive power. 88 3.2 Current and future distribution of I. beninensis across Benin 89

The models showed under the present condition (Fig. 4a) that the highly suit-90 able habitat for I. beninensis were mainly located in the phytodistricts of Borgou 91 (south and north). However, the phytodistrict of Bassila as well as the Atacora 92 93 chain phytodistrict were found to be favorable for the conservation of the species. The moderately suitable habitats were located in the same phytodistricts 94

80

81

abovementioned. Analyses based on projecting the final models into the future 95 (by 2055) scenarios revealed that (Fig. 1) the highly suitable habitats for the species 96 will be more concentrated in the phytodistricts of Borgou (south and north) for 97 both scenarios. In addition, part of the highly suitable area will remain alongside 98 the East sides of the study area. Assessment of the dynamics of the suitability under future scenarios revealed that more suitable areas will be lost under RCP8.5 100 compared to RCP4.5.



Figure 1. Distribution maps for of *Ipomoea beninensis;* left: present-day distribution; middle: future distribution under RCP 4.5; right: future distribution under RCP 8.5.

4. Discussion

This study assessed the potential geography and conservation areas of *Ipomoea*107*beninensis* in Benin. Globally, models yielded very good performance (AUC value108>0.80 and AUC ratio was 1.6). Our findings joined the previous reported by [1],109[7] and [11] on tropical species.110

Especially, five variables were identified as the most contributing to the distribu-111 tion of I. beninensis. The temperature (85.5%) through its mean diurnal range, sea-112 sonality, and its mean warmest quarter, as well as the rainfall wettest month 113 (1.1%), highly contributed to the models. These were associated with the eleva-114tion, which showed a high contribution (13.4%). This indicates that the species 115 can withstand the annual temperature between 26 and 28°C, annual rainfall be-116 tween 1150 and 1300 mm, and the elevation range between 150 and 200 m. Over-117 all, these variables largely represent climatic conditions of *I. beninensis* trees dis-118 tribution, providing insights into underlying climatic factors which delimit its 119 suitable habitats. These results were in line with the findings of [1], [12] and [13], 120 who state that abiotic conditions represent one of the most important factors that 121

106

determine the area in which a species is found. Moreover, high elevation loca-122tions have tended to be less impacted by habitat degradation due to direct human123impact, nevertheless, climate change threatens to increase these risks as upland124areas become more suitable for agriculture [14]. The species thus has a restricted125ecological amplitude for environmental conditions and consequently a very126weak ecological plasticity highlighting its vulnerability to climate threats chal-127lenge.128

The present results aligned with previous studies that reported the populations 129 of I. beninensis as declining, due to the conversion of lands where the species is 130 found into farmlands and the over-exploitation of its organs for the treatment of 131 human pathologies and as fodder for animals [6] and will serve for its manage-132 ment practices (in-situ and ex-situ). In addition, under the future climate condi-133 tions, only one-third of the protected areas (N'dali and Ouémé Supérieur pro-134 tected areas) could be suitable for its distribution. In fact, when habitats of an 135 endemic species are lost by mismanagement and various other human activities 136 combined with the climate change threats, the distribution ranges, population 137 sizes, and genetic variability of the species will be reduced and will become vul-138 nerable to extinction at a faster rate than other species [15]. Consequently, this 139 species must be carefully monitored and managed to maintain biodiversity. 140

Results of this study did not address the relationship between biotic factors and 141 their complex interactions with the abiotic factors, which influence the distribu-142 tion range of species with much of the conditions that make up niche [16]. Thus, 143 the limiting factors of species distribution are far more than environmental fac-144 tors, highlighting the impossible to measure the true niche of a species com-145 pletely. Therefore, modelling approaches should always be made with caution, 146 and choosing modelling variables must be prioritized [16]. Even though these 147 variables may not address those relations, the colonization and extinction dy-148 namics assessed in this study will be relevant to *I. beninensis* management policy, 149 in particular, to identify new areas potentially suitable for its conservation. 150

5. Conclusion

The study suggests that despite the projected spatiotemporal dynamics, linked 152 to climate change, the environmental conditions in Benin will remain favorable 153 to the cultivation and conservation of *I. beninensis* by year-2055. This argues that 154 conservation efforts should be focused on species and habitats threatened by hu-155 man activities, through the conservation of suitable habitats. We encourage the 156 creation of a reserve or the reintroduction of I. beninensis in areas with high envi-157 ronmental suitability within the area of occurrence including the phytodistrict of 158 Borgou (southern and northern), Bassila, and Atacora chain. 159

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.I. and D.H.; methodology, R.I.; software,160A.G.; validation, R.I. and D.H.; formal analysis, R.I.; investigation, D.H.; resources, R.I161and D.H.; data curation, R.I.; writing—original draft preparation, A.G.; writing—review162and editing, R.I.; visualization, H.D.G.; supervision, A.A.; funding acquisition, R.I. and163D.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript."164

Funding: This work was supported by the Rufford Small Grant Foundation through the
grant N° 20742-1. RI acknowledges support from the Rufford Foundation (Grant 31042-
D).165166167

Institutional Review Board	Statement: Not applicable	168

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.169Data Availability Statement: Not applicable170

171
172
173

Acknowledgments: In this section, you can acknowledge any support given which is not covered by the author contribution or funding sections. This may include administrative and technical support, or donations in kind (e.g., materials used for experiments).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

175

174

176

189

190

191

- Assogba; D.; Idohou R.; Chirwa P. Assogbadjo A. On opportunities and challenges to conserve the African bao-1. 177 bab under present and future climates in Benin (West Africa). J Arid Environ 2022, 198, 104692. 178 2. Pereira, H.M.; Navarro, L.M.; Martins, I.S. Global biodiversity change: the bad, the good, and the unknown. Annu 179
- Rev Environ Res 2012, 37; 25-50. 1803. Ogle, J.; Delparte, D.; Sanger, H. Quantifying the sustainability of urban growth and form through time: An al-181
- gorithmic analysis of a city's development. Appl Geogr 2017 88; 1-14. 182 4. IPCC. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment 183 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte; V.; P. Zhai; A. Pirani; S.L. Connors; 184C. Péan; S. Berger; N. Caud; Y. Chen; L. Goldfarb; M.I. Gomis; M. Huang; K. Leitzell; E. Lonnoy; J.B.R. Matthews; 185 T.K. Maycock; T. Waterfield; O. Yelekçi; R. Yu; and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press.2021 186
- 5. Akoègninou, A.; Van Der Burg, J.; Van Der Maesen, Le. Flore analytique du Bénin, Cotonou & Wageningen: 187 Backhuys Publishers 2006. 1063 p 188
- Dassou, H.G.; Idohou, R.; Adomou, A.C.; Ouachinou, J.M.-AS; Yédomonhan, H. Indigenous knowledge and local 6. practices concerning the endemic plant Ipomoea beninensis Akoègn.; Lisowski & Sinsin (Convolvulaceae): an initial assessment for its conservation in Benin. Flora et Vegetatio Sudano-Sambesica 2020, 23, 3-13.
- 7. Idohou, R.; Assogbadjo, A.E.; Glèlè Kakaï, R.; Peterson, A.T. Spatio-temporal dynamic of suitable areas for species 192 conservation in West Africa: eight economically important wild palms under present and future climates. 193 Agroforest Syst 2017, 91(3), 527-540. 194
- 8. Platts, P.J.; Omeny, P.A.; Marchant, R. AFRICLIM: high-resolution climate projections for ecological applications 195 in Africa. Afr J Ecol 2015, 53, 103-108. 196
- 9. Phillips, S.J.; Anderson, R.P.; Schapire, R.E. Maximum entropy modelling of species geographic distributions. 197 Ecol Model 2006, 190, 231-259. 198
- 10. Peterson, A.T.; Papeş, M.; Soberón, J. Rethinking receiver operating characteristic analysis applications in 199 ecological niche modeling. Ecol Model 2008, 213(1): 63-72. 200
- 11. Wouyou, H.G.; Lokonon, B.E.; Idohou, R.; Zossou-Akete, A.G.; Assogbadjo, A.E.; Glèlè Kakaï, R. Predicting the 201 potential impacts of climate change on the endangered Caesalpinia bonduc (L.) Roxb in Benin (West Africa). Heliyon 202 2022; 8(3); e09022. 203
- Krigas. N.; Tsiafouli, M.A.; Katsoulis, G.; Votsi, N-E.; van Kleunen, M. Investigating the invasion pattern of the 12. 204 alien plant Solanum elaeagnifolium Cav. (Silverleaf Nightshade): environmental and human-induced drivers. Plants 205 2021, 10(4), 805. 206
- 13. Moshobane, M.C.; Mudereri, B.T.; Mukundamago, M.; Chitata, T. Predicting future distribution patterns of 207 Jatropha gossypiifolia L. in South Africa in response to climate change. S Afr J Bot 2022, 146, 417-425. 208
- 14. Pradhan A; Chan C; Roul PK; Halbrendt J; Sipes B (2018) Potential of conservation agriculture (CA) for climate 209 change adaptation and food security under rainfed uplands of India: A transdisciplinary approach. Agric Syst 210 2018; 163; 27-35. 211
- 15. Işik, K. Rare and endemic species: why are they prone to extinction? Turk J Bot 2011; 35(4); 411-417.
- Zangiabadi, S.; Zaremaivan, H.; Brotons, L.; Mostafavi, H.; Ranjbar, H. Using climatic variables alone 16. 213 overestimate climate change impacts on predicting distribution of an endemic species. PLoS ONE 2021; 16(9); 214 e0256918.

215