

Proceeding Paper



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

In silico study of the functional effects of polymorphic loci associated with the risk of developing uterine leiomyomas according to genome-wide studies

Ola Alali^{1*}

- ¹ Department of Medical Biological Disciplines, Belgorod State National Research University, 308015 Belgorod, Russia.
- * Correspondence: E-mail: Alali@bsu.edu.ru.

Abstract: Uterine leiomyoma (UL) is the most common benign tumor causing considerable morbid-9 ity during the reproductive years in women with contributions from environmental and genetic 10 factors. According to the GWAS studies, there are many genes and polymorphisms that related 11 with, somehow responsible for the UL pathogenesis, but the biological mechanisms underlying this 12 association remain unclear. This study aimed to investigate the published GWAS studies of UL to 13 recognize significant functionality of TNRC6B polymorphism linked with UL. Six SNPs were se-14 lected based on the 7 GWAS published of their association with UL by PubMed database. For their 15 analysis, including their epigenetic effects, expression and splicing patterns, using in silico approach 16 and bioinformatics tools (HaploReg, GTEx-portal and Gene Ontology Resource). Based on Hap-17 loReg, several epigenetic effects regulating these SNPs were found as: rs12484776(1 motif changed, 18 16 enhancers and 4 DNAs histone markers), rs4821939(3 motifs changed, 11 enhancers, 4 protein 19 bounds and 5 DNAs histone markers), rs733381(2 motifs changed, 11 enhancers, 1 protein bound 20 and 2 DNAs histone markers), rs12484951(1 motif changed histone markers), rs3830738 (5 motifs 21 changed histone markers) and rs17332320(2 motifs changed and 2 DNAs histone markers). Depend-22 ing on GTEx, inferred that (rs12484776, rs4821939, rs733381, rs3830738, rs12484951 and rs17332320) 23 are associated with the expression of genes/in tissues as: (4/4, 4/4, 4/4, 3/3, 4/4 and 2/2), respectively. 24 These loci do not regulate the expression level of any genes in the UL pathophysiology important 25 tissues, and are not associated with the alternative splicing traits (sQTL) of any gene in any tissue. 26 By Gene Ontology Resource, indicated that no statistically significant biological pathways for genes 27 associated with the studied polymorphisms have been identified. The in-silico analysis of GWAS 28 TNRC6B gene polymorphisms significant for fibroids have pronounced epigenetic effects and affect 29 the expression of six genes (RP51042K10.10, FAM83F, TNRC6B, RP51042K10.13, SLC25A17 and 30 XPNPEP3), which may be the basis of their involvement in the pathophysiology of fibroids. 31

Keywords: bioinformatics; in silico analysis; uterine leiomyoma; TNRC6B gene.

32 33

34

1. Introduction

The most frequent tumors of the female reproductive system are uterine leiomyomas 35 (ULs)^[1,2]. They originate from the myometrium and are benign monoclonal uterine smooth 36 muscle tumors^[3,4]. By the time of menopause, more than 70% of women have uterine fi-37 broids. With a lifetime prevalence of 30% to 70%^[5], they afflict 20% to 40% of women of 38 reproductive age^[4] (they frequently regress after menopause). Around a quarter of women 39 with UL are aware of their symptoms, despite the fact that many of them are oblivious of 40 them^[6]. and possible reproductive disruption, in addition to other indications of the over-41 all effect of fibroids on health-related quality of life. Miscarriage is up to twice as prevalent 42

Citation: Alali, O. In silico study of the functional effects of polymorphic loci associated with the risk of developing uterine leiomyomas according to genome-wide studies. **2023**, *3*, *x*. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx Published: 2 March

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1

2

in women with symptomatic UL, and complications affect 10% to 40% of pregnancies with UL[7].

Uterine leiomyoma is a complicated condition caused by a number of demographic, 3 dietary, and hormonal risk factors^[8-10] as well as biological, epigenetic, and genetic 4 causes^[11], with the genetic component accounting for 40-50%^[12] of the illness. The genetic 5 foundation for the formation, development, and progression of ULs is now being studied 6 by a considerable number of academics and researchers. 7

Utilizing the whole genome association search (GWAS), researchers may investigate 8 how genetic factors contribute to the emergence and development of various multifacto-9 rial diseases, including ULs^[13]. On the basis of GWAS, several research teams are actively 10 researching the genetic basis of ULs. In addition, there is a lack of consistency in the find-11 ings among various global groups and they are little repeated and sometimes contradic-12 tory. It was suggested by many candidate gene association studies that TNRC6B was 13 linked to UL in several ethnic populations. However, the biological mechanisms behind 14 these relationships are still largely unclear. In addition to making it possible to choose 15 genetic markers for a study with greater accuracy and support, the exponential growth of 16 biomolecular data and its mining into databases have also made it possible through 17 providing tools for comprehensive analysis to gain deeper insights into the potential func-18 tions of candidate genetic variants and the mechanisms by which they contribute to 19 traits^[14-16]. A thorough in silico analysis of the *TNRC6B* polymorphisms, which were found 20 to be linked with UL, was carried out using numerous online genomic databases and re-21 cent advancements in bioinformatics. The goal of this bioinformatic investigation was to 22 gain knowledge of the mechanisms behind these linkages. This study aimed to investigate 23 the published GWAS studies of UL to recognize significant functionality of TNRC6B pol-24 ymorphism linked with UL. 25

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Selection of polymorphisms:

Based on the results of their connection with UL that have been published, polymor-28 phisms were chosen for the study. The phrases "uterine leiomyoma," "TNRC6B," and "in silico analysis" were used in different combinations to search PubMed for relevant articles. 30 The search showed up 7 articles that were pertinent. These papers listed a total of six SNPs 31 connected to UL in 4 ethnic samples: Japanese, European, UK and European & African. 32 Table 1 contains a list of the chosen polymorphisms. 33

2.2. Bioinformatic analysis:

The following bioinformatics tools were used for the analyses: Utilizing the integrated online program HaploReg v4.1^[17], chosen polymorphism loci were examined for their functional importance (chromatin states, motifs changes, protein interactions, regulatory potential, and eQTLs).

In addition to HaploReg (v4.1), the GTExportal data (http://www.gtexportal.org) was 39 used to evaluate the influence of the six candidate SNPs for UL on gene expression level 40 (cis- and trans-eQTL) in organs and tissues^[18]. Using the Gene Ontology Resource tools 41 available at (http://geneontology.org)^[19], the functional importance of the candidate genes 42 for UL in the different biological pathways was investigated. 43

3. Results	44
3.1. Genomic location of the SNPs:	45
In the introns of the <i>TNRC6B</i> gene, six reference SNPs were found.	46
3.2. Regulatory effects:	47

They suggest that all reference SNPs can produce various regulatory effects, albeit to 48a different extent, as follows: 49

29

26

27

34

35

36

37

SNP rs12484776, displays histone marks associated with enhancers in 16 tissues(hESC Derived CD56+ Mesoderm Cultured Cells, and Primary B and T cells (regulatory, effector/memory enriched, helper, etc.) from peripheral blood and brain (hippocampus middle, anterior caudate, dorsolateral and prefrontal cortex, etc., and male fetal brain, fetal adrenal gland, fetal muscle trunk, etc.)), located in the DNase-1 hypersensitive region in 4 tissues (H1 Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells, Foreskin Fibroblast Primary Cells skin01, Fetal Lung and Placenta), and altered motif (SRF) for the transcription factor. 7

SNP rs4821939, displays histone marks associated with enhancers in 11 tissues(hESC 8 Derived CD56+ Mesoderm Cultured Cells, and Primary B and T cells (regulatory, effec-9 tor/memory enriched, helper, etc.) from peripheral blood and brain (hippocampus mid-10 dle, anterior caudate, dorsolateral and prefrontal cortex, etc., and male fetal brain, fetal 11 adrenal gland, fetal muscle trunk, etc.)), located in the DNase-1 hypersensitive region in 12 4 tissues (Primary T cells from cord blood, Primary hematopoietic stem cells G-CSF-mo-13 bilized Male and female, and HUVEC Umbilical Vein Endothelial Primary Cells), 5 bound 14 proteins(iPS-15b Cells, Primary hematopoietic stem cells G-CSF-mobilized Male and fe-15 male, and Monocytes-CD14+ RO01746 Primary Cells) and 3 altered motifs (Hmx, Mef2 and 16 Nkx2) for the transcription factor. 17

SNP rs733381, displays histone marks associated with enhancers in 11 tissues(hESC18Derived CD56+ Mesoderm Cultured Cells, and Primary B and T cells (regulatory, effector/memory enriched, helper, etc.) from peripheral blood and brain (hippocampus middle, anterior caudate, dorsolateral and prefrontal cortex, etc., and male fetal brain, fetal2021adrenal gland, fetal muscle trunk, etc.)), located in the DNase-1 hypersensitive region in222tissues (Fetal Kidney and ovary), bound proteins(ZNF263) and 2 altered motifs (BCL23and p300) for the transcription factor.24

SNP rs12484951, displays histone marks associated with 2 altered motifs (BATF and Hmbox1) for the transcription factor. SNP rs3830738, displays histone marks associated with 5 altered motifs (Foxj1, Foxk1, Irf, TCF12 and p300) for the transcription factor.

SNP rs17332320, displays histone marks located in the DNase-1 hypersensitive region in 2 tissues (BLD and BLD) and 2 altered motifs (HDAC2 and Pax-5) for the transcription factor. 30

3.3. Expression QTLs:

In 4 tissues (organs), 4 SNPs seemed to have a cis-eQTL influence on the expression 32 of 4 genes (*RP51042K10.10, TNRC6B, RP51042K10.13,* and *XPNPEP3*). However, SNP 33 rs3830738 only affected the expression of 3 genes (*RP51042K10.10, TNRC6B,* and 34 *RP51042K10.13*), while SNP rs17332320 only affected the (*RP51042K10.10* and *TNRC6B*). 35

3.4. The alternative splicing traits (sQTL):

The GTEx dataset highlighted the regulatory function of mRNA precursor splicing 37 patterns. According to GTEx, none of the six SNPs are linked to alternative splicing traits 38 (sQTL) of any gene in any tissue. 39

3.5. Pathway analysis:

This investigation was performed on *TNRC6B* since it was discovered to be linked41with UL and multiple reference polymorphisms were mapped to this gene, as well as be-
cause several reference SNPs may impact the expression of this gene according to the
eQTL analysis. The following genes were evaluated using the Gene Ontology database:
(*RP51042K10.10, FAM83F, TNRC6B, RP51042K10.13, SLC25A17,* and *XPNPEP3*) in table 1,
where no statistically significant biological pathways for genes related with the researched
polymorphisms were discovered.41

Table 2. Overview about functional effects of *TNRC6B* gene polymorphisms at (22q13.1) associated48with UL in GWAS studies.49

36

25

26

27

31

1

Gene	SNPs (Pos.)	OR (effect allele), <i>p</i> [Ref.]	Regulatory effects	eQTL
TNRC6B	rs12484776(40256869)	OR=1.23 (G), <i>p</i> =2.8 × 10 ⁻¹² [20]	Enhancer 16 tissues, DNAse 4 tis-	RP51042K10.10, TNRC6B, FAM83F
		OR=0.89 (A), p=4.6× 10 ⁻¹⁸ [21]	sues, 1 altered motif	RP51042K10.13, XPNPEP3, SLC25A17
	rs4821939(40263247)	OR=1.08 (A), <i>p</i> =7.8 × 10 ⁻¹⁶ [22]	Enhancer 11 tissues, DNAse 4 tis-	RP51042K10.10, FAM83F, TNRC6B,
			sues, 5 Protein Bounds,	RP51042K10.13, SLC25A17, XPNPEP3
			3 altered motifs	
	rs733381(40273644)	OR=1.10 (G), <i>p</i> =5.7 × 10 ⁻¹¹ [23]	Enhancer 11 tissues, DNAse 2 tis-	RP51042K10.10, FAM83F, XPNPEP3
			sues, 1 Protein Bound,	RP51042K10.13, TNRC6B, SLC25A17
			2 altered motifs	
	rs12484951(40307071)	OR=1.11 (G), <i>p</i> =3.2 × 10 ⁻¹³ [24]	2 altered motifs	RP5-1042K10.10, FAM83F, SLC25A17
				RP5-1042K10.13, TNRC6B, XPNPEP3
	rs3830738(40315223)	OR=0.91 (A), <i>p</i> =2.7 × 10 ⁻¹³ [25]	5 altered motifs	RP5-1042K10.10, TNRC6B, FAM83F,
				RP5-1042K10.13, XPNPEP3
	rs17332320(40315616)	OR=1.15 (T), p=1.6 × 10 ⁻¹² [26]	DNAse 2 tissues, 2 altered motifs	RP51042K10.10, TNRC6B, SLC25A17,
				RP5-1042K10.13, XPNPEP3, FAM83F

4. Discussion

This study shows that, in addition to the previously reported TNRC6B gene as being 2 connected with UL, many reference polymorphisms were mapped to this gene, and that 3 the expression of this gene may be influenced by several reference SNPs based on the 4 eQTL analysis. These associated polymorphism loci were shown to have no major functional role (multiple expression and splicing patterns) that was reported to correlate with 6 UL, that somehow did not affect any gene in any tissue, by documenting pronounced 7 pleiotropic tissue-specific regulatory/expression/splicing effects. 8

In general, the degree of gene pleiotropy appears to be inversely linked to the gene's 9 proportionate contribution to the trait. Given that the majority of genes in the human ge-10 nome are pleiotropic^[27], the predicted contribution of each to a specific characteristic is 11 relatively small. As a result, extremely pleiotropic genes have a limited impact size and 12 frequently provide false negative findings in GWAS unless their contribution to a specific 13 trait is greater than the average for other traits. The current analysis also offers light on 14 often observed discrepancies in related polymorphisms and failed attempts to replicate 15 potential loci in other ethnic populations. 16

5. Conclusions

The in-silico analysis of GWAS TNRC6B gene polymorphisms significant for fibroids18have pronounced epigenetic effects and affect the expression of six genes (RP51042K10.10,19FAM83F, TNRC6B, RP51042K10.13, SLC25A17 and XPNPEP3), which may be the basis of20their involvement in the pathophysiology of fibroids.21

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted according to the guidelines of
the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Local Ethical Committee of Belgorod State Univer-
sity.23
24
25

Data Availability Statement: The data generated in the present study are available from the corre-
sponding author upon reasonable request.2627

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

29

28

17

1.	Donnez J, Dolmans MM. Uterine fibroid management: from the present to the future. Human reproduction update. 2016	1
	Nov 20;22(6):665-86.	2
2.	Drayer SM, Catherino WH. Prevalence, morbidity, and current medical management of uterine leiomyomas. International	3
	Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2015 Nov 1;131(2):117-22.	4
3.	Bulun SE. Uterine fibroids. New England Journal of Medicine. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1344-55.	5
4.	Ponomarenko I, Reshetnikov E, Polonikov A, Verzilina I, Sorokina I, Yermachenko A, Dvornyk V, Churnosov M. Candidate	6
	genes for age at menarche are associated with uterine leiomyoma. Frontiers in genetics. 2021 :1387.	7
5.	Doherty L, Mutlu L, Sinclair D, Taylor H. Uterine fibroids: clinical manifestations and contemporary management. Repro-	8
	ductive sciences. 2014 Sep;21(9):1067-92.	9
6.	Gallagher CS, Mäkinen N, Harris HR, Rahmioglu N, Uimari O, Cook JP, Shigesi N, Ferreira T, Velez-Edwards DR, Edwards	10
	TL, Mortlock S. Genome-wide association and epidemiological analyses reveal common genetic origins between uterine	11
	leiomyomata and endometriosis. Nature communications. 2019 Oct 24;10(1):1-1.	12
7.	Van Heertum K, Barmat L. Uterine fibroids associated with infertility. Women's Health. 2014 Nov;10(6):645-53.	13
8.	Wise LA, Laughlin-Tommaso SK. Epidemiology of uterine fibroids-from menarche to menopause. Clinical obstetrics and	14
	gynecology. 2016 Mar;59(1):2.	15
9.	Pavone D, Clemenza S, Sorbi F, Fambrini M, Petraglia F. Epidemiology and risk factors of uterine fibroids. Best Practice &	16
	Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2018 Jan 1;46:3-11.	17
10.	Ciebiera M, Włodarczyk M, Słabuszewska-Jóźwiak A, Nowicka G, Jakiel G. Influence of vitamin D and transforming growth	18
	factor β 3 serum concentrations, obesity, and family history on the risk for uterine fibroids. Fertility and Sterility. 2016 Dec	19
	1;106(7):1787-92.	20
11.	Qin H, Lin Z, Vásquez E, Xu L. The association between chronic psychological stress and uterine fibroids risk: A meta-	21
	analysis of observational studies. Stress and Health. 2019 Dec;35(5):585-94.	22
12.	Meadows KL, Andrews DM, Xu Z, Carswell GK, Laughlin SK, Baird DD, Taylor JA. Genome-wide analysis of loss of het-	23
	erozygosity and copy number amplification in uterine leiomyomas using the 100K single nucleotide polymorphism array.	24
	Experimental and molecular pathology. 2011 Aug 1;91(1):434-9.	25
13.	Dehghan A. Genome-Wide Association Studies. Methods Mol Biol. 2018 ; 1793:37-49.	26
	Chen CY, Chang I, Hsiung CA, Wasserman WW. On the identification of potential regulatory variants within genome wide	27
	association candidate SNP sets. BMC medical genomics. 2014 Dec;7(1):1-5.	28
15.	Herman MA, Rosen ED. Making biological sense of GWAS data: lessons from the FTO locus. Cell Metabolism. 2015 Oct	29
	6;22(4):538-9.	30
16	Reshetnikov EA. Study of associations of candidate genes differentially expressing in the placenta with the development of	31
10.	placental insufficiency with fetal growth restriction. Res Result Biomed. 2020 ;6:338-49.	32
17	Ward LD, Kellis M. HaploReg: a resource for exploring chromatin states, conservation, and regulatory motif alterations	33
17.	within sets of genetically linked variants. Nucleic acids research. 2012 Jan 1;40(D1):D930-4.	34
18	Westra HJ, Peters MJ, Esko T, Yaghootkar H, Schurmann C, Kettunen J, Christiansen MW, Fairfax BP, Schramm K, Powell	35
10.		36
	JE, Zhernakova A. Systematic identification of trans eQTLs as putative drivers of known disease associations. Nature ge-	
10	netics. 2013 Oct;45(10):1238-43.	37
19.	Gene Ontology Consortium. The gene ontology resource: 20 years and still GOing strong. Nucleic acids research. 2019 Jan	38
20	8;47(D1):D330-8.	39
20.	Cha PC, Takahashi A, Hosono N, Low SK, Kamatani N, Kubo M, Nakamura Y. A genome-wide association study identifies	40
	three loci associated with susceptibility to uterine fibroids. Nature genetics. 2011 May;43(5):447-50.	41

- 21. Sakai K, Tanikawa C, Hirasawa A, Chiyoda T, Yamagami W, Kataoka F, Susumu N, Terao C, Kamatani Y, Takahashi A,
 Momozawa Y. Identification of a novel uterine leiomyoma GWAS locus in a Japanese population. Scientific reports. 2020
 Jan 27;10(1):1-8.
- 22. Gallagher CS, Mäkinen N, Harris HR, Rahmioglu N, Uimari O, Cook JP, Shigesi N, Ferreira T, Velez-Edwards DR, Edwards
 4 TL, Mortlock S. Genome-wide association and epidemiological analyses reveal common genetic origins between uterine
 5 leiomyomata and endometriosis. Nature communications. 2019 Oct 24;10(1):1-1.
- Välimäki N, Kuisma H, Pasanen A, Heikinheimo O, Sjöberg J, Bützow R, Sarvilinna N, Heinonen HR, Tolvanen J, Bramante
 S, Tanskanen T. Genetic predisposition to uterine leiomyoma is determined by loci for genitourinary development and
 genome stability. Elife. 2018 Sep 18;7:e37110.
- 24. Rafnar T, Gunnarsson B, Stefansson OA, Sulem P, Ingason A, Frigge ML, Stefansdottir L, Sigurdsson JK, Tragante V, 10 Steinthorsdottir V, Styrkarsdottir U. Variants associating with uterine leiomyoma highlight genetic background shared by 11 various cancers and hormone-related traits. Nature communications. 2018 Sep 7;9(1):1-9. 12
- Edwards TL, Giri A, Hellwege JN, Hartmann KE, Stewart EA, Jeff JM, Bray MJ, Pendergrass SA, Torstenson ES, Keaton JM, Jones SH. A trans-ethnic genome-wide association study of uterine fibroids. Frontiers in genetics. 2019:511.
- 26. Masuda T, Low SK, Akiyama M, Hirata M, Ueda Y, Matsuda K, Kimura T, Murakami Y, Kubo M, Kamatani Y, Okada Y.
 15 GWAS of five gynecologic diseases and cross-trait analysis in Japanese. European Journal of Human Genetics. 2020
 16 Jan;28(1):95-107.
 17
- 27. Watanabe K, Stringer S, Frei O, Umićević Mirkov M, de Leeuw C, Polderman TJ, van der Sluis S, Andreassen OA, Neale
 18 BM, Posthuma D. A global overview of pleiotropy and genetic architecture in complex traits. Nature genetics. 2019
 19 Sep;51(9):1339-48.
 20