

Proceeding Paper

Effect of HPMC, Xanthan Gum, and Psyllium in the Formulation of Gluten-Free Bread for the Improvement of Organoleptic Quality [†]

Ramón Torres-Perez ^{*}, Elena García, Marta Igual, Javier Martínez-Monzó and Purificación García-Segovia

i-Food, Instituto Universitario de Ingeniería de Alimentos-FoodUPV, Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022 València, Spain; email1@email.com (E.G.); email2@email.com (M.I.); email3@email.com (J.M.-M.); email4@email.com (P.G.-S.)

^{*} Correspondence: ramon@sinblat.es

[†] Presented at the 4th International Electronic Conference on Foods, 15–30 October 2023; Available online: <https://foods2023.sciforum.net/>.

Abstract: Gluten-free bread is a new option for a population unable to digest gliadins and glutenins. This study compared the texture and color of four formulations, each containing varying amounts of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), psyllium, xanthan gum, and water. It was found that removing xanthan gum reduced the hardness and gumminess of the breadcrumbs. Increasing the amount of psyllium increased gumminess and redness. Interestingly, increasing the amount of HPMC in the formulation did not show significant differences. This suggests that HPMC may not have a pronounced effect on texture and colour compared to the control formulation.

Keywords: gluten-free; bread; hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC); psyllium; xanthan gum; texture profile analysis (TPA)

1. Introduction

Gluten is a glycoprotein found in commonly consumed grains such as wheat, barley, and rye [1]. It is associated with conditions such as celiac disease or altered immune function [2,3]. This fact has led to an increase in gluten-free products in recent years, although their production is one of the most challenging [4]. The gluten network serves not only to trap fermentation gases but also plays a role in creating the crumb's cell structure, resulting in distinct texture and flavor characteristics not found in other baked products [5]. However, developing gluten characteristics in doughs can prove challenging. Currently, the most common ingredients used to aid in this process are hydrocolloids, specifically hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), xanthan gum, psyllium husk fiber, and guar gum [6]. This study aimed to compare and evaluate the color and texture of four gluten-free bread formulations, each containing a varying amount of hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), psyllium husk fiber, and xanthan gum.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Formulations

2.1.1. Ingredients

Gluten-free bread was made with corn starch (Roquette, Benifaió, Spain), rice flour (Arrocerías San Cristóbal, Sollana, Spain), tapioca starch (Vicolorquia, Badalona, Spain), sucrose (Aceites La Canal, Chella, Spain), sunflower oil (Aceites La Canal, Chella, Spain), rice syrup (Ferrer Alimentación, Barcelona, Spain), compressed yeast (Lesaffre Iberica, Valladolid, Spain), and EGM gluten-free bread mix (Sinblat Alimentación Saludable, Foios, Spain), that was made of salt, inactive sourdough, sodium bicarbonate, monocalcic

Citation: Torres-Perez, R.; García, E.; Igual, M.; Martínez-Monzó, J.; García-Segovia, P. Effect of HPMC, Xanthan Gum, and Psyllium in the Formulation of Gluten-Free Bread for the Improvement of Organoleptic Quality. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2023**, *26*, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

Academic Editor(s): Name

Published: date



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

phosphate, pea protein, bamboo fiber, potassium sorbate, calcic propionate, natural flavors, and enzymes.

Gluten replacers were hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) Vivapur K4M (Rettenmaier Ibérica, Barcelona, Spain), xanthan gum (Brenntag, Dos Hermanas, Spain), and psyllium husk fiber (Barcelonesa, Cornellà de Llobregat, Spain).

2.1.2. Gluten-Free Breadmaking

A gluten-free bread recipe was composed as follows (per 100 g flour and starch): 91.2 g of maize starch, 5.5 g of rice flour, 3.3 g of tapioca starch, 17.9 g of EGM gluten-free bread mix, 5 g of sucrose, 26.2 g sunflower oil, 7.1 g rice syrup and 7.7 g of compressed yeast. HPMC, xanthan gum, psyllium, and tap water were added in varying amounts to each formulation. Differences in composition can be observed in Table 1.

Table 1. Composition of the formulations in the study (g/100 g flour and starch).

Ingredient Formula	Xanthan Gum (g)	HPMC (g)	Psyllium (g)	Water (g)
FC	3.2	3.6	9.8	159.7
F1	3.2	4.4	9.8	164.0
F2	3.2	3.6	13.2	173.6
F3	0	3.6	9.8	148.5

FC: Control formula. F1: HPMC increase. F2: psyllium increase. F3: xanthan gum removal.

All the ingredients were mixed using a Sigma Aeromix mixer with a hook tool, all mixed at speed one for 15 min. The dough was divided into pieces weighing 440 g and shaped by hand before being put into a Teflon-coated metal mold measuring $9.9 \times 19.1 \times 6.8$ cm. The molds were fermented in a Bauuman fermenter at 29 °C and 80% relative humidity. After fermentation, the bread pieces were placed in an oven (Loguidice, FOX 10T-LFRC) and baked at 210 °C for 2 min, followed by 38 min at 180 °C. Once baked, the breads were removed from the mold and allowed to cool in a room at 25 °C for 2 h. Afterward, loaves were sliced, packed in polypropylene bags, and stored under controlled conditions (22–25 °C, 50–70% RH). Bread samples were analyzed 48 h after production.

2.2. Analysis

2.2.1. Crumb Color

Crumb color analysis was measured by a colorimeter (CR-400, Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan). Color was measured in six replicates and these measurements were repeated for each formulation. The device was calibrated using the standard illuminant D65, and a 10° observer. Readings were displayed as a^* , b^* , and L^* color parameters according to the CIELAB system of color measurement. The a^* value is a measure of greenness ranging from -100 to +100 (redness), the b^* value ranges from -100 (blueness) to +100 (yellowness), while the L^* value indicates the measure of lightness and ranges from 0 (black) to 100 (white).

2.2.2. Texture Analysis

Crumb firmness was evaluated using the TA-XT Plus (Stable Micro Systems, Godalming, UK) texturometer. Texture profile analysis (TPA) was performed on 8 slices of 5 mm thick of each formulation. A P/75 compression platform, 5mm/s test speed, and 50% deformation were used. Hardness, adhesiveness, cohesiveness, and gumminess were measured.

2.2.3. Statistical Analysis

The data were analyzed using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique, with the Fisher LSD test at a 95% confidence level ($p < 0.05$). These statistical analyses were carried out using Statgraphics Centurion XVII v204 8 64 bits.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Color

Color is an important characteristic of baked products because it contributes to consumer preference [7]. Table 2 displays the means and standard deviations of the results acquired during the color characterization process. There are no significant differences in the L^* parameters; however, in the bibliographic research, it was found that Ziemichód, M et al. [8] indicate a significant reduction in crumb lightness when psyllium is added. Additionally, Sabanis, D et al. [9] observe a decrease in L^* values with an increase of HPMC in gluten-free breads. They also indicated that decreasing the amount of xanthan gum can lead to decreases in L^* values compared to formulations with higher amounts of xanthan gum. In terms of a^* , F2 is significantly superior, which can be seen as an increase in redness due to the increase in psyllium in the formulation [8]. Belorio et al. showed in their study that the addition of xanthan gum to a recipe made with rice flour resulted in an increase in redness. Although no significant differences were observed in their corn flour recipe with xanthan gum, this analysis indicated that the lowest value was obtained with F3, which connected the removal of xanthan gum to the reduction of redness in the crumb [9]. Finally, there are no major differences in b^* , with the modified formulations tending to be lower than the control. Nevertheless, there are significant differences between F1 and F3, that is, FC and F1 are significantly more yellow than F3 [8].

Table 2. Mean values \pm standard deviations of color parameters of the crumbs.

Formula	L^*	a^*	b^*
FC	65 ± 2^a	1.1 ± 0.3^b	11.5 ± 0.9^c
F1	65 ± 2^a	1.1 ± 0.3^b	11.2 ± 0.8^{bc}
F2	64 ± 3^a	1.5 ± 0.4^c	11.0 ± 0.9^{ab}
F3	64 ± 3^a	0.9 ± 0.4^a	10.8 ± 1^a

The same letter in superscript within the column indicates homogeneous groups established by ANOVA ($p < 0.05$). FC: control formula. F1: HPMC increase. F2: psyllium increase. F3: xanthan gum removal.

3.2. Textural Characterization

Texture is a crucial factor in the sensory experience of the consumer. Alterations in this parameter can influence the ease and satisfaction of chewing [10]. Table 3 shows the means and standard deviation results of TPA analysis. In this table can be seen a significantly lower hardness in the F3, the formula that removed xanthan gum, compared to the other formulations. This reduction in hardness may be due to an increase in the specific volume of the bread, as explained by Lazaridou et al. [11]. In addition, many authors have seen an increase in the volume of bread after adding xanthan gum using different blends, concentrations, and types of flour [12]. Gumminess is defined as the result of the product of hardness and cohesiveness. F2 had the highest value. This fact may be because an increase in psyllium increases hardness when compared to other hydrocolloids such as HPMC [13]. Otherwise, some studies show an increase in crumb cohesiveness caused by psyllium [8,14]; and since gumminess is the product of hardness and cohesiveness, this fact may explain the increase in gumminess of F2. Adhesiveness is defined as the work required to remove a material from a surface, measured in grams per second (g^*s). As demonstrated in Table 3, the breadcrumb of the formulation 3 is less adhesive. Some bibliographical studies, such as this one by Enzina-Zelada CR et al., have found an increase in adhesiveness associated with a decrease in xanthan gum [15]. It is worth noting that

there are no significant differences in all parameters between FC and F1. Therefore, the increase of HPMC in F1 may not play a significant role in the final texture of the product. Although an increase in HPMC does not increase bread hardness [16], lower hardness was observed when the hydrocolloid was used in lower concentrations [12,16].

Table 3. Mean \pm standard deviation of the texture profile analysis (TPA) of the crumbs in the study.

Formula	Hardness (g)	Adhesiveness (g.s)	Cohesiveness	Gumminess (g)
FC	5994 \pm 809 ^b	-4 \pm 3 ^a	0.60 \pm 0.02 ^{ab}	3603 \pm 495 ^b
F1	6184 \pm 1038 ^b	-4 \pm 3 ^a	0.59 \pm 0.13 ^a	3625 \pm 984 ^b
F2	6597 \pm 1410 ^b	-5 \pm 2 ^a	0.63 \pm 0.05 ^b	4153 \pm 770 ^c
F3	4720 \pm 1180 ^a	-2 \pm 2 ^b	0.60 \pm 0.04 ^{ab}	2832 \pm 760 ^a

The same letter in superscript within the column indicates homogeneous groups established by ANOVA ($p < 0.05$). FC: control formula. F1: HPMC increase. F2: psyllium increase. F3: xanthan gum removal.

4. Conclusions

Following the study, there are some significant differences between tested formulations. F1 is the formulation that has an increase in HPMC compared to the control formulation. Nonetheless, since there were no considerable differences, an increase in HPMC is not recommended, as it does not improve the analysed parameters. The psyllium content is higher in F2 than in the control formula. This rise in hydrocolloids caused an increase in the redness and gumminess of the bread crumbs. Finally, F3 is the formulation in which xanthan gum was eliminated. This resulted in a breadcrumb with lower levels of hardness and gumminess, as well as a breadcrumb less adhesive. As a result, it can be concluded that F3 is the most advisable due to the texture improvement produced by the removal of the hydrocolloid.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.T.-P., E.G., M.I., J.M.-M. and P.G.-S.; methodology, R.T.-P., E.G., M.I., J.M.-M. and P.G.-S.; validation, M.I., J.M.-M. and P.G.-S.; formal analysis, R.T.-P. and E.G.; investigation, R.T.-P. and E.G.; resources, J.M.-M. and P.G.-S.; data curation, E.G.; writing—original draft preparation, R.T.-P. writing—review and editing, M.I., J.M.-M. and P.G.-S.; supervision, M.I., J.M.-M. and P.G.-S.; project administration, P.G.-S.; funding acquisition, J.M.-M. and P.G.-S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Ministerio de Ciencia e innovación through its program of research grant for Doctorados Industriales—Convocatoria 2020 (DIN2020-011079).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable, this study does not involve humans or animals.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable, this study does not involve humans.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors want to acknowledge Sinblat Alimentación Saludable providing bread and formulations for the analysis.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- San Mauro Martín, I.; Garicano Vilar, E.; Collado Yurrutia, L.; Ciudad Cabañas, M.J. ¿Es el gluten el gran agente etiopatogénico de enfermedad en el siglo XXI? *Nutr. Hosp.* **2014**, *30*, 1203–1210.
- Rosell, C.M.; Barro, F.; Sousa, C.; Mena, M.C. Cereals for developing gluten-free products and analytical tools for gluten detection. *J. Cereal Sci.* **2014**, *59*, 354–364.
- Ahmad Mir, S.; Ahmad Shah, M.; Mumtaz Hamdani, A. *Gluten-Free Bread Technology*; Springer International Publishing: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2021.
- Roman, L.; Belorio, M.; Gomez, M. Gluten-Free Breads: The Gap Between Research and Commercial Reality. In *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*; Blackwell Publishing Inc.: Malden, MA, USA, 2019; Volume 18, pp. 690–702.

5. Cauvain, S.P. *Technology of Breadmaking*, 2nd ed.; Young, L.S., Ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2007.
6. Gómez, M. Gluten-free bakery products: Ingredients and processes. *Adv. Food Nutr. Res.* **2022**, *99*, 189–238.
7. Sabanis, D.; Lebesi, D.; Tzia, C. Effect of dietary fibre enrichment on selected properties of gluten-free bread. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *42*, 1380–1389.
8. Ziemichód, A.; Wójcik, M.; Różyło, R. Seeds of *Plantago psyllium* and *Plantago ovata*: Mineral composition, grinding, and use for gluten-free bread as substitutes for hydrocolloids. *J. Food Process Eng.* **2019**, *42*, e12931.
9. Belorio, M.; Gómez, M. Effect of hydration on gluten-free breads made with hydroxypropyl methylcellulose in comparison with psyllium and xanthan gum. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1548.
10. Puerta, P.; Laguna, L.; Villegas, B.; Rizo, A.; Fiszman, S.; Tarrega, A. Oral processing and dynamics of texture perception in commercial gluten-free breads. *Food Res. Int.* **2020**, *134*, 109233.
11. Lazaridou, A.; Duta, D.; Papageorgiou, M.; Belc, N.; Biliaderis, C.G. Effects of hydrocolloids on dough rheology and bread quality parameters in gluten-free formulations. *J. Food Eng.* **2007**, *79*, 1033–1047.
12. Mezaize, S.; Chevallier, S.; Le Bail, A.; De Lamballerie, M. Optimization of Gluten-Free Formulations for French-Style Breads. Available online: <https://ift.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2009.01096.x> (accessed on 1 September 2023).
13. Mancebo, C.M.; San Miguel, M.Á.; Martínez, M.M.; Gómez, M. Optimisation of rheological properties of gluten-free doughs with HPMC, psyllium and different levels of water. *J. Cereal Sci.* **2015**, *61*, 8–15.
14. Santos, F.G.; Aguiar, E.V.; Braga, A.R.C.; Alencar, N.M.M.; Rosell, C.M.; Capriles, V.D. An integrated instrumental and sensory approach to describe the effects of chickpea flour, psyllium, and their combination at reducing gluten-free bread staling. *Food Packag. Shelf Life* **2021**, *28*, 100659.
15. Encina-Zelada, C.R.; Cadavez, V.; Monteiro, F.; Teixeira, J.A.; Gonzales-Barron, U. Combined effect of xanthan gum and water content on physicochemical and textural properties of gluten-free batter and bread. *Food Res. Int.* **2018**, *111*, 544–555.
16. Hager, A.S.; Arendt, E.K. Influence of hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC), xanthan gum and their combination on loaf specific volume, crumb hardness and crumb grain characteristics of gluten-free breads based on rice, maize, teff and buckwheat. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2013**, *32*, 195–203.

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.