# <u>Estimation of 28-day Compressive Strength of Self-compacting Concrete using</u> <u>Multi Expression Programming (MEP): An Artificial Intelligence approach</u>

## WALEED BIN INQIAD

#### MILITARY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING (MCE), NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (NUST), ISLAMABAD 44000, PAKISTAN



#### 01. Introduction

Self-compacting Concrete (SCC) is a concrete h properties such as good segregation resistand flowability and it can compact itself without requi vibrations.

The use of SCC containing different industrial result in reduced carbon emissions and construction.

#### 02. Problem

Despite the widespread use of the construction SCC in industry, there is a lack of work focusing on estimating the 28day compressive strength of based on its mixture SCC composition It is due to the non-linear behavior of SCC.

### <u>03. Object</u>

The aim of this develop quantitative the predict compressive SCC using Multi Programming (MEP).

<u>Flowchart</u>	<u>04. MEP</u>	<u>0</u> .
End	<ul> <li>MEP is a recently developed variant of Genetic Programming (GEP).</li> <li>It is based on Darwin's principle of Natural Selection.</li> <li>It solves a problem by generating a population of solutions and selects the best performing solution using a set of the set of</li></ul>	• T C tr
aving special ce, enhanced iring external	evolutionary rules. <u>07. <i>Results</i></u> The output of the MEP algorithm	n is
l wastes can good quality	$y = \frac{(x_1 x_5 + x_2) + [(x_1 \sqrt{\sqrt{x_1}})]}{(\sqrt{x_3} + x_4)}$	
study is to robust nethod to 28-day crength of FP)	The accuracy and predicting ability of algorithm can be	visualiz

20

30

Actual Strength (MPa)

#### 0.62 0.29 -0.23 -0.17 Water-cement ratio - 1 -0.033 5. Data Collection 0.099 0.25 -0.26 0.32 Silica fume - -0.033 216 data points collected from extensive 0.099 1 0.35 -0.68 0.12 - 0.4 Fly ash - 0.62 0.26 literature search. - 0.2 There are six input parameters and one Fine Agg - 0.29 0.25 0.35 1 -0.75 0.099 0.24 - 0.0 output parameter. Coarse Agg - -0.23 -0.26 -0.68 -0.75 1 -0.26 -0.51 70% of the data is used for training and 30% Superplasticizer - -0.17 0.32 0.12 0.099 -0.26 1 0.43 is used for validation of the algorithm. 0.53 0.26 Strength -0.24 -0.51 0.43 06. Error Metrices The accuracy of the algorithm is accessed by FJY alculating the following error metrices for both raining and validation datasets. Training Validation Error Metric Correlation Matrix of Variables Used MAE 3.66 3.15 RMSE 4.683.69 0.94 —Actual 0.96 -MEP -Error shown in the form of an empirical equation: $(x_4) - \sqrt{x_3 + x_4} ] + (\frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3}{x_4})$ $x_0$ $\cos(x_1)$ Eo $\tan(\sin(x_4)))^2$ 20 zed by scatter and series plots of training and validation datasets as shown: MEP Validation 200 50 100 150 Linear Fit Data Points (MPa) 07 08. Conclusion あ 50 • This study presented a novel technique to accurately predict the strength of SCC using MEP. • The algorithm performed well on both training and validation data sets which is indicated by the error

Actual Strength (MPa)







metrices and scatter plots.