

Abstract



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

Antibiofilm Activity of Ciprofloxacin and Sulfadiazine Combination Against *Escherichia coli* Biofilms: A Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis ⁺

Rosalía Ayala Gómez 1,*, María Cecilia Becerra² and Graciela Pinto Vitorino¹

- ¹ Departamento de Farmacia y Centro Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Científico Tecnológico, Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Km. 4, Comodoro Rivadavia 9000, Argentina; rosaliaag90@gmail.com, gpintovitorino@gmail.com
- ² Departamento de Ciencias Farmacéuticas, Unidad de Investigación y Desarrollo en Tecnología Farmacéutica-Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba 5000, Argentina; maria.cecilia.becerra@unc.edu.ar
- * Correspondence: rosaliaag90@gmail.com
- + Presented at the 9th International Electronic Conference on Medicinal Chemistry, 1–30 November 2023; Available online: https://ecmc2023.sciforum.net/

Citation: Ayala Gómez, R.; Becerra,M.C.; Pinto Vitorino, G. AntibiofilmActivity of Ciprofloxacin and Sul-fadiazine Combination AgainstEscherichia coli Biofilms: A ScanningElectron Microscopy Analysis. Med.Sci. Forum 2023, 2, x.https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxxAcademic Editor: Firstname LastnamenameCitation: Ayala Gómez, R.; Becerra,Abstract: Bacteria with
bacteria. Given their in
tive antimicrobial strate
films is challenging, ne
ical strains with intern
(SDZ) combination exh
CIP and SDZ combination

Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licens es/by/4.0/). Abstract: Bacteria within biofilms display remarkable antibiotic resistance compared to planktonic 15 bacteria. Given their implications in infectious diseases and multidrug resistance, exploring effec-16 tive antimicrobial strategies to regulate biofilm formation is urgent. Eradicating bacteria within bio-17 films is challenging, needing combination therapy to combat persistent biofilm-related infections. 18 In previous studies, we demonstrated the synergistic and partially synergistic effects of Ciproflox-19 acin (CIP) combined with antibacterial sulfonamides (SA) against Escherichia coli reference and clin-20 ical strains with intermediate quinolone resistance (E. coli IRQ). Notably, the CIP+ sulfadiazine 21 (SDZ) combination exhibited superior efficacy. In this study, we assessed the antibiofilm activity of 22 CIP and SDZ combinations on mature biofilms formed on glass discs using scanning electron mi-23 croscopy (SEM), focusing on the E. coli IRQ strain. Mature biofilms (48-hour growth) were treated 24 with individual antibiotics (CIP and SDZ) and their combinations (CIP+SDZ), considering minimal 25 fractional inhibitory concentrations (FIC) from previous studies. The experiment was performed in 26 triplicate. Treatments included: CIP (FICx100) + SDZ (FICx10), CIP (FICx100), and SDZ (FICx10). 27 SEM micrographs highlighted an enhanced antibiofilm effect of CIP+SDZ combinations compared 28 to individual drugs. Specifically, CIP (FICx100) + SDZ (FICx10) significantly reduced biofilm for-29 mation, caused disorganization, reduced extracellular matrix, and induced bacterial cell destruc-30 tion, outperforming untreated and individually treated biofilms. These findings provide insights 31 into the partially synergistic effect of this combination on E. coli IRQ, attributed to cooperative ac-32 tions targeting diverse stages of DNA synthesis. The study underscores CIP+SDZ as a promising 33 combination for treating biofilm-related infections. 34

Keywords: Ciprofloxacin; Sulfadiazine; Synergism; Biofilm; SEM

35

36

37

38

Supplementary Materials:

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.A.G.; M.C.B.; G.P.V.; methodology, R.A.G.; validation,39R.A.G.; M.C.B.; G.P.V.; formal analysis, R.A.G.; G.P.V.; investigation, R.A.G.; resources, G.P.V.; writing – original draft preparation, R.A.G.; writing – review and editing, R.A.G.; G.P.V.; visualization,40

M.C.B.; G.P.V.; supervision, M.C.B.; G.P.V.; project administration, M.C.B.; G.P.V.; funding acquisi-	1
tion, G.P.V. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.	2
Funding: This research was funded by Secretaría de Ciencia y Técnica, Universidad Nacional de la	3
Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Res. R/10 N° 218/22, PI N° 1712 and by Consejo Nacional de Investiga-	4
ciones Científicas y Técnicas.	5
	,
Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.	6
Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable	7
Deter A 1911 Clather of Discourse in the Date A 1911 Clather of the other	0
Data Availability Statement: Please refer to suggested Data Availability Statements in section "MDPI Research Data Policies" at https://www.mdpi.com/ethics.	8 9
MD11 Rescuren Dua 1 oneres at https://www.inapi.com/cunes.	,
Acknowledgments: The authors thank CONICET, SeCyT-UNPSJB, Vannier Laboratory and Hospi-	10
tal Regional Dr. Sanguinetti.	11
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.	12
	13