



1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

# Proceedings Effect of CaCl<sub>2</sub> enrichment on fatty acid profile in Rocha pears<sup>+</sup>

Cláudia Campos Pessoa <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Inês Carmo Luís <sup>1,2</sup>, Ana Coelho Marques <sup>1,2</sup>, Ana Rita F. Coelho <sup>1,2</sup>, Diana Daccak <sup>1,2</sup>, Paula Scotti-Campos <sup>2,3</sup>, Isabel P. Pais <sup>2,3</sup>, Rita Moreira <sup>3</sup>, José N. Semedo <sup>2,3</sup>, José C. Ramalho <sup>2,4,5</sup>, Paulo Legoinha <sup>1,2</sup>, Maria Manuela Silva <sup>1,2</sup>, Manuela Simões <sup>1,2</sup>, Fernando H. Reboredo <sup>1,2</sup> and Fernando C. Lidon <sup>1,2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Departamento de Ciências da Terra, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Campus da Caparica, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; idc.rodrigues@campus.fct.unl.pt (I.C.L.); amc.marques@campus.fct.unl.pt (A.C.M.); arf.coelho@campus.fct.unl.pt (A.R.F.C.); d.daccak@campus.fct.unl.pt (D.D.); pal@fct.unl.pt (P.L.); mma.silva@fct.unl.pt (M.M.S.); mmsr@fct.unl.pt (M.S.); fhr@fct.unl.pt (F.H.R.); fjl@fct.unl.pt (F.C.L.);
- <sup>2</sup> Centro de Investigação de Geobiociências, Geoengenharias e Geotecnologias (GeoBioTec), Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Campus da Caparica, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; paula.scotti@iniav.pt (P.S.); isabel.pais@iniav.pt (I.P.P.); jose.semedo@iniav.pt (J.N.S.); cochichor@mail.telepac.pt (J.C.R.);
- <sup>3</sup> Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária, I.P. (INIAV), Quinta do Marquês, Avenida da República, 2780-157 Oeiras, Portugal; rita.moreira@iniav.pt (R.M.);
- <sup>4</sup> Plant Stress & Biodiversity Lab, Centro de Estudos Florestais (CEF), Laboratório Associado TERRA, Instituto Superior Agronomia (ISA), Universidade de Lisboa (ULisboa), Quinta do Marquês, Avenida da República, 2784-505 Oeiras, Portugal
- <sup>5</sup> Plant Stress & Biodiversity Lab, Centro de Estudos Florestais (CEF), Laboratório Associado TERRA, Instituto Superior Agronomia (ISA), Universidade de Lisboa (ULisboa), Tapada da Ajuda, 1349-017 Lisboa, Portugal
- \* Correspondence: c.pessoa@campus.fct.unl.pt

Abstract: Human malnourishment is a current problem of society and agronomic biofortification is 24 a procedure that wishes to tackle these mineral deficits in human diets by increasing a specific nu-25 trient on the edible part of food crops. Calcium is an important mineral element that performs struc-26 tural functions, and thus can help prevent the development of pathologies such as osteoporosis. 27 Thereby, this work aims to study the impact of calcium enrichment on fatty acid content in Rocha 28 pears. Thus, an agronomic enrichment workflow with seven foliar sprays of CaCl2 (with concentra-29 tions between 4 - 8 kg/ha), was performed in an orchard located in the West region of Portugal. 30 Besides Ca enrichment assessment in fruits (with a portable x-ray fluorescence analyzer) at harvest, 31 fatty acids (FA) quantification and FA profile (acquired with a gas-liquid chromatograph, coupled 32 to a flame ionization detector (GC-FID)), DBI and lipoperoxidation values (with a spectrophotome-33 ter) were also attained. Increases of Ca in sprayed fruits reached 7.6 % to 44.3 %. For FA related 34 parameters, no significant differences were observed, suggesting that Ca sprays did not impact 35 these parameters. Total fatty acids (TFA), double bond index (DBI) and lipoperoxidation values 36 varied between 0.72 - 0.74 g/100 g FW, 8.13 - 9.83 and 2.23 - 3.18 µM /g FW respectively. The follow-37 ing FA profile was attained: C18:2 > C16:0 > C18:3 > C18:0 > C18:1 > <C16:0. No significant differ-38 ences were observed. In summary, CaCl2 can be used to increase Ca levels in fruits allowing the 39 production of fruits with prophylactic characteristics, while the concentrations from this study did 40not impact their FA content. Overall, this suggests that cell compartmentation and membranes reg-41 ular functioning were maintained, suggesting the absence of lipid decay, and avoiding a potential 42 increase in storage losses. 43

Keywords: Agronomic Ca enrichment; Ca content in fruit; DBI; Fatty acids profile; Pyrus communis44L.; Lipoperoxidation; TFA45

## 1. Introduction

**Citation:** To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Published: date

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

47

2 of 6

Mineral deficits in human diets are a current problem that can promote heath issues 1 [1]. Among the different minerals, Ca deficits can lead to bone deformations or lower mass 2 density, affecting both growth and locomotion ability, which ultimately can increase the 3 occurrence of fractures [2]. In this regard, agronomic biofortification can be a strategy to 4 acquire foods with higher contents of a selected mineral, after the application of fertilizers 5 (directly to the soil, or to the aerial part of plants via foliar sprays) [3].

In Portugal, Rocha pear is a valuable fruit that contributes to the country's economy, 7 since over half of its production is exported [4]. In 2021, over 225 000 tons were produced 8 from a little over 10 000 ha [5]. Although lipids are present in low quantities on some fruits 9 such as pears [6], they can not only act as energy storage molecules, but also contribute to 10 the maintenance of cellular compartmentalization [7], and the modification of these structures can be related to the development of diseases in post-harvest [8].

Thus, since agronomic biofortification with CaCl<sub>2</sub> has increased Ca levels on another pear variety [9], this study aimed to test the efficiency of this fertilizer application on Rocha pear variety, while simultaneously monitor any impact to the fatty acids (FA) content of sprayed fruits.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Enrichment Workflow

In an orchard located in the West region of Portugal, a total of three tree rows were select. One was kept as the control, while the remaining two were sprayed with CaCl<sub>2</sub>. One row was sprayed seven times with 4 kg/ha (T1), while the second row was initially sprayed three times with 4 kg/ha, followed by four sprays with double the concentration (8 kg/ha) (T2).

# 2.2. Calcium Assessement in Fruits

Calcium content of fruits at harvest, was assessed using an x-ray fluorescence system 25 as described in [10]. For sample preparation, fruits were firstly washed then cut, being 26 later put to dry (60 °C) until constant weight. 27

#### 2.3. Fatty Acids Content and Lipoperoxidation Assessment

Total fatty acids (TFA), FA profile and double bond index (DBI) of fruits at harvest 29 were attained as described in Pessoa et al., (2023) [11]. 30

Membrane lipoperoxidation was also determined by quantifying the production of 31 malondialdehyde (MDA). Thus, lipid oxidation was estimated based on Hodges et al. 32 (1999) [12], with some modifications. Rocha pear samples previously peeled were 33 weighted (400 mg, n = 3) and macerated in 3000  $\mu$ L of 0.1% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). 34 After a centrifugation (13000 g, 10 min, 4 °C), the supernatant (750  $\mu$ L) was withdrawn 35 into a test tube followed by the addition of 0.5% thiobarbituric acid (2250  $\mu$ L). For the 36 blank, the supernatant amount was replaced by 0.1 % TCA. All samples were transferred 37 to a water bath (20 min., 90 °C) and then placed on ice. A spectrophotometer (SPECORD 38 50 PLUS, Analytik Jena, Germany) and WinASPECT PLUS software (version 4.2) was 39 used to obtain the spectrum between wavelengths 450 - 620 nm, and the absorbance was 40recorded at 532 nm. The determination of MDA was performed considering the molar 41 extinction coefficient of  $\varepsilon_{532} = 155 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . 42

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

A One-way ANOVA ( $P \le 0.05$ ) was performed to compare tree rows, and a Tukey 44 test was conducted, considering a 95 % confidence level. 45

#### 3. Results

At harvest, lower Ca contents were reported in the control (Table 1), while T2 presented significantly higher values than the other treatments. Within this framework, the

43

46

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

biofortification index of Ca ranged from 7.6 % to 44.3 %. For the remaining parameters 1 (TFA, DBI and MDA), no significant differences were reported. Total fatty acids (Table 1) 2 varied between 0.72 and 0.74 g/100 g FW, DBI (Table 1) among 8.13 and 9.83 and MDA 3 values (Table 1) ranged between 2.23 and 3.18 µM/g FW. 4

Table 1. Mean values (n = 4) and standard error of Ca content, TFA, DBI and MDA of Rocha pear fruits at harvest. Letters a and b represent significant differences between treatments for each pa-6 rameter ( $P \le 0.05$ ). 7

Treatment	Ca (%)	TFA (g/100 g FW)	DBI	MDA (µM /g FW)
Control	$0.131b \pm 0.017$	0.72a±0.10	9.09a±0.88	2.91a±0.11
T1	$0.141b \pm 0.002$	0.74a±0.05	8.13a±0.29	3.18a±0.05
T2	$0.189a \pm 0.002$	0.74a±0.10	9.83a±1.47	2.39a±0.33

The profile of FA (Table 2) was characterized by the highest abundance of linoleic 9 acid (C18:2), followed by palmitic acid (C16:0) and linolenic acid (C18:3). Stearic (C18:0) 10 and oleic (C18:1) acids were the least abundant, while there was also a small percentage 11 of FA with C chains lower than C16 (<C16:0). No significant differences were observed. 12

Table 2. Mean values (n = 4) and standard error of FA profile of Rocha pear at harvest. Letter a 13 indicates the absence of significant differences between treatments in the different parameters ( $P \le P$ 14 0.05). 15

Treatment	mol %						
	< C16:0	C16:0	C18:0	C18:1	C18:2	C18:3	
Control	1.76a±0.27	13.13a±1.25	5.37a±1.31	3.60a±0.89	66.76a±1.33	9.31a±1.68	
T1	1.21a±0.07	15.97a±0.49	4.07a±0.19	2.34a±0.12	67.47a±1.24	8.75a±0.83	
T2	1.76a±0.23	14.87a±1.75	2.96a±0.54	2.82a±0.22	69.08a±1.99	8.34a±0.62	

#### 4. Discussion

Similarly, to another study [9], Ca levels in Rocha pears at harvest, increased with the 18 applied concentration of CaCl<sub>2</sub>. Higher concentrations at later stages of development pro-19 vided better results. However, the use of higher concentrations should be carefully mon-20 itored since a study reported damages in leaves [9]. Since pear trees are a permanent cul-21 ture, in order to maintain yields during the following years, toxicity level must thus be 22 avoided to prevent damages to photosynthetic systems. 23

Lipids constitute only 0.4 g / 100 g of edible portion of pears [13]. In agreement, our 24 TFA values were similar to this reference, although slightly higher. This can be due to 25 variety variability or edaphoclimatic characteristics, since changes in FA composition can 26 be related to geographical parameters [14]. Nevertheless, pears are considered fruits with 27 low lipid content (< 10%) [6]. 28

The FA profile was identical in all fruits (C18:2 > C16:0 > C18:3 > C18:0 > C18:1). Sim-29 ilar profiles were observed in pears [15] and apples [6]. Indeed, in two different pear va-30 rieties, the predominant FAs were C18:2 > C16:0 > C18:1 > C18:3 [15]. In turn, in apple pulp 31 the following profile was attained C18:2 > C16:0 > C18:3 > C18:1 > C18:0 [6]. In comparison 32 to these other studies, only oleic acid (C18:1) was present in a different proportion, namely 33 with inferior values. This may be related not only to variety variability, but also possibly 34 related to adaptative responses to environmental stresses (such as temperature, rainfall or 35 hours of sun exposure), which can lead to modifications on the proportions of unsaturated 36 FAs [16]. Our data are also in agreement with PortFIR [13], when it mentions a predomi-37 nance of linoleic acid (0.1 g/100 g of edible portion) and consequent higher content of un-38 saturated FAs over saturated ones. Furthermore, fruits that are more resistant to low 39

3 of 6

5

8

temperatures, tend to show a higher presence of unsaturated FAs than saturated ones [17], 1 being in accordance with the storage temperatures (-0.5 to 1 °C) sustained by Rocha pear 2 when in conservation chambers [18]. 3

Regarding the impact of foliar sprays, Ca did not appear to impact the TFA and FA 4 profile at harvest, due to the absence of significant differences. In fact, part of the Ca pre-5 sent in plant tissues is located in the cell wall, providing strength and rigidity to the struc-6 ture [19]. In addition, Ca can help to maintain membrane integrity by acting on the bind-7 ing of anionic groups of lipids and proteins and can promote membrane fusion [19]. Thus, 8 in plants subjected to stress and deficient in Ca, solute leakage and disintegration of the 9 cell structure/compartmentalization are expected. In fact, enzyme activity can lead to 10 changes in the lipid composition of cell membranes and cause irreversible membrane 11 damage [20, 21]. 12

The DBI is an indicator of the level of unsaturation, since it refers to the average number of double bonds in the FAs. Thus, the absence of significant differences in this parameter in comparison to the control suggests that membrane fluidity was not affected by Ca, indicating no ion losses or compromises in cell compartmentalization [22]. This is in agreement with the impact of Ca on cell membranes mentioned by Deng (2008) [23], namely on their integrity, which may be related to the stabilizing effect of Ca on the lipid bilayer through its binding to phospholipids.

Malondialdehyde is an aldehyde resulting from lipid oxidation, a process associated 20 with changes in sensory attributes (such as color, texture and flavor), and nutritional attributes (affecting not only vitamins but also essential FAs) [24]. The absence of significant 21 differences for this analysis can be related to the same absence of differences on the former 23 lipid parameters. This suggests that the concentrations of CaCl<sub>2</sub> did not affect the FA content of fruits, indicating lipid membrane well-functioning and good prospects to less storage losses. 26

#### 5. Conclusion

The concentrations of CaCl<sup>2</sup> used in this study led to increases of Ca content in Rocha 28 pear fruits, namely after increased spray concentration at later stages of the production 29 cycle. Foliar applied concentrations did not affect FA content of fruits, suggesting that 30 membrane well-functioning and cell compartmentation was well kept indicating less prospects to storage losses. 32

#### Supplementary Materials: Not applicable.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, F.C.L.; methodology, P.S. and F.C.L.; formal analysis,34C.C.P., I.C.L., A.C.M., A.R.F.C., D.D., P.S., I.P.P. and R.M.; investigation, C.C.P., I.C.L., A.C.M.,35A.R.F.C., D.D., P.S., I.P.P. and R.M.; resources, J.N.S., J.C.R., P.L., M.M.S., M.S. and F.H.R.; writing –36original draft preparation, C.C.P; writing – review and editing, C.C.P, F.C.L.; supervision, F.C.L.;37project administration, F.C.L.; funding acquisition. F.C.L. All authors have read and agreed to the38published version of the manuscript.39

**Funding:** This research was funded by PDR2020, grant number 101-030734. Funding from Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) UI/BD/150718/2020 is also greatly acknowledged.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank José Henriques (HBio Lda.) for technical assistance on the45orchard. We also thank the research centers (GeoBioTec) UIDB/04035/2020, (CEF) UIDB/00239/202046and Associate Laboratory TERRA (LA/P/0092/2020) for support facilities.47

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the48design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manu-49script; or in the decision to publish the results.50

27

33

40

41

42

43

## References

- 1. FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *The Future of food and agriculture Trends and challenges;* FAO: Rome, Italy, 2017; ISBN 978-92-5-109551-5.
- 2. EFSA Panel on NDA. Scientific opinion on dietary reference values for calcium. *Efsa J* 2015, 13, 4101. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2015.4101.
- 3. Ofori, K.F.; Antoniello, S.; English, M.M.; Aryee, A.N.A. Improving nutrition through biofortification–A systematic review. *Front. Nutr.* **2022**, *9*, 1043655. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.1043655.
- 4. GPP Gabinete de Planeamento, Políticas e Administração Geral. Available online: http://www.gpp.pt/index.php/produtos/produtos (accessed on 15 June 2023).
- 5. INE Instituto Nacional de Estatística. Available online: https://www.ine.pt/xurl/pub/31589846 (accessed on 15 June 2023).
- Domínguez-Avila, J.A.; González-Aguilar, G.A. Chapter 13 Lipids. In Postharvest Physiology and Biochemistry of Fruits and Vegetables; Yahia, E.M., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2019; pp. 273–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813278-4.00013-0.
- Baeza-Jiménez, R.; López-Martínez, L.X.; Garcia-Varela, R.; Gracia, H.S. Lipids in fruits and vegetables: Chemistry and human health. In *Fruit and Vegetable Phytochemicals: Chemistry and Human Health*, 2nd ed.; Yahia, E.M., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, EUA, 2017; pp. 423-449. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119158042.ch20.
- 8. Sun, Y.; Sun, H.; Luo, M.; Zhou, X.; Zhou, Q.; Wei, B.; Cheng, S.; Ji, S. Membrane lipid metabolism in relation to core browning during ambient storage of 'Nanguo' pears. *Postharvest Biol Tec* **2020**, *169*, 111288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.post-harvbio.2020.111288.
- 9. Wójcik, P.; Skorupińska, A.; Filipczak, J. Impacts of preharvest fall sprays of calcium chloride at high rates on quality and "Conference" pear storability. *Sci. Hortic-Amst.* **2014**, *168*, 51–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2014.01.017.
- Luís, I.C.; Lidon, F.C.; Pessoa, C.C.; Marques, A.C.; Coelho, A.R.F.; Simões, M.; Patanita, M.; Dôres, J.; Ramalho, J.C.; Silva, M.M.; Almeida, A.S.; Pais, I.P.; Pessoa, M.F.; Reboredo, F.H.; Legoinha, P.; Guerra, M.; Leitão, R.G.; Campos, P.S. Zinc enrichment in two contrasting genotypes of *Triticum aestivum* L. grains: Interactions between edaphic conditions and foliar fertilizers. *Plants* 2021, 10, 204. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10020204.
- Pessoa. C.C.; Lidon. F.C.; Daccak. D.; Luís. I.C.; Marques. A.C.; Coelho. A.R.F.; Legoinha. P.; Ramalho. J.C.; Leitão. A.E.; Guerra. M.; Leitão, R.G.; Campos, P.S.; Pais, I.P.; Silva, M.M.; Reboredo, F.H.; Pessoa, M.F.; Simões, M. Calcium biofortification of Rocha pear fruits: Implications on mineral elements, sugars and fatty acids accumulation in tissues. *Sci* 2022, *4*, 35. https://doi.org/10.3390/sci4040035.
- 12. Hodges. D.M.; DeLong. J.M.; Forney. C.F.; Prange. R.K. Improving the thiobarbituric acid-reative-substances assay for estimating lipid peroxidation in plant tissues containing anthocyanin and other interfering compounds. *Planta* **1999**, 207, 604-611. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004250050524.
- 13. PortFIR Plataforma Portuguesa de Informação Alimentar. Available online: http://portfir.insa.pt/foodcomp/food?23432 (accessed on 19 June 2023).
- 14. Mostafavi, S.; Asadi-Gharneh, H.A.; Miransari, M. The phytochemical variability of fatty acids in basil seeds (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) affected by genotype and geographical differences. *Food Chem* **2019**, *276*, 700-706. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.food-chem.2018.10.027.
- 15. Hussain, S.; Masud, T.; Bano, R.; Wang, H.; Ali, S.; Ali, A. Comparative study of two pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) cultivars in terms of nutritional composition. *Food Science and Quality Management* **2015**, *36*, 48–54.
- 16. Gao, S.; Wang, B.; Liu, F.; Zhao, J.; Yuan, J.; Xiao, S.; Masabni, J.; Zou, F.; Yuan, D. Variation in fruit morphology and seed oil fatty acid composition of *Camellia oleifera* collected from diverse regions in southern China. *Horticulturae* **2022**, *8*, 818. https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae8090818.
- 17. Liang, S.; Kuang, J.; Ji, S.; Chen, Q.; Deng, W.; Min, T.; Shan, W.; Chen, J.; Lu, W. The membrane lipid metabolism in horticultural products suffering chilling injury. *Food Quality and Safety* **2020**, *4*, 9–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/fqsafe/fyaa001.
- 18. Pedro, S.I.; Coelho, E.; Peres, F.; Machado, A.; Rodrigues, A.M.; Wessel, D.F.; Coimbra, M.A.; Anjos, O. Physicochemical fingerprint of "Pera Rocha do Oeste". A PDO pear native from Portugal. *Foods* **2020**, *9*, 1209. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9091209.
- 19. White, P.J. Chapter 5 Calcium. In *Handbook of Plant Nutrition*, 2nd ed.; Barker, A.V., Pilbeam, D.J., Eds.; Taylor and Francis Group: Boca Raton, EUA, 2015; pp. 165-198. https://doi.org/10.1201/b18458.
- 20. Mao, L.; Pang, H.; Wang, G.; Zhu, C. Phospholipase D and lipoxygenase activity of cucumber fruit in response to chilling stress. *Postharvest Biol Tec* **2007**, *44*, 42–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2006.11.009.
- 21. Paliyath, G.; Thompson, J.E. Calcium- and calmodulin-regulated breakdown of phospholipid by microsomal membranes from bean cotyledons. *Plant Physiol* **1987**, *83*, 63–68. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.83.1.63.
- 22. Brizzolara, S.; Manganaris, G.A.; Fotopoulos, V.; Watkins, C.B.; Tonutti, P. Primary metabolism in fresh fruits during storage. *Front Plant Sci* **2020**, *11*, 80. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00080.
- 23. Deng, X. (2008). Mechanisms of calcium-induced firmness in fruits, vegetables, and mushrooms. Master thesis, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA, August 2008. https://trace.tennessee.edu/utk\_gradthes/3647/.
- 24. Shahidi, F.; Hossain, A. Role of lipids in food flavor generation. *Molecules* **2022**, 27, 5014. https://doi.org/10.3390/mole-cules27155014.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58