

Modeling fracture properties for heterogeneous materials using J-Integral [†]

Mehdi BOUDOUH ^{1,*}, Brahim El Khalil HACHI ¹, Hadi TAIBI ¹, Mohamed HABOUSSI ², MARÍA FERNÁNDEZ RAGA ³ and Dahmane HACHI ¹

¹ laboratory of development in mechanical and materials (LDMM), Ziane Achour University, Djelfa, Algeria;

² Laboratory of Process and Materials Sciences (LSPM), Sorbonne Paris Nord University, UPR 3407 CNRS, F-93430, Villetaneuse, France;

³ Department of Applied Physics, University of Leon, Spain;

* Correspondence: boudouh.mehdi@gmail.com .

† Presented at the The 4th International Electronic Conference on Applied Sciences, 27 Oct–10 Nov 2023; Available online: <https://asec2023.sciforum.net/>

Abstract: The majority of classical methods which analyze the stability of existing cracks are based on theorems which calculate the forces in a state of limit equilibrium and do not take into consideration the deformation of the structure and the internal constraints thereof. This is not entirely consistent exactly with what happens in reality. For this purpose, we have proposed this study which analyzes the stability of cracks by the stress intensity factor through the calculation of the integral J that is used in the calculation of the stress intensity factor. The stress intensity factor is a mechanical parameter, its values play a very important role in predicting the cracked state of the structure, when it takes a certain value which is equal to the toughness of the material, the crack will cause rupture of the structure. There are several methods for calculating the stress intensity factor. We have chosen to calculate it using the energy method because the direct calculation of the latter poses a problem because of the secularity of the flow around the crack. In this paper we used the integral J and the energy release rate to calculate the stress intensity factor. We also calculated using the laws of crack growth the growth rate and the necessary number of cycles for the propagation of a crack.

Keywords: fracture; crack; KIC; crack growth rate; J-integral; stress intensity factor (SIF)

Citation: BOUDOUH, M.; El Khalil HACHI, B.; TAIBI, H.; HABOUSSI, M.; RAGA, M.F.; HACHI, D. Modeling fracture properties for heterogeneous materials using J-Integral. *Eng. Proc.* **2023**, *52*, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

Academic Editor(s):

Received: date

Revised: date

Accepted: date

Published: date



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

All structures contain cracks. These vary depending on the nature of their presence, as they may appear as a material or form defect during the construction of the material. These cracks are considered to be the main contributor to various failures in structures subjected to loads which can be static, cyclic or dynamic.

Fracture mechanics is an area which is interested in the study and prediction of the initiation and propagation of cracks in materials and structures.

The main dates which mark the development of fracture mechanics are 1920, when Griffith [1] showed that the rupture of an elastic-brittle medium can be characterized by a global variable, which will later be called the restitution rate of energy and 1957, when, from the study of the singularities of the stress field, Irwin introduced the notion of stress intensity factor (SIF) [2].

The 1960s-1980s were those of the rise and then maturity of fracture mechanics, with in particular digital developments and the treatment of non-linear problems. Many works were published during this period.

In 1968, Rice [3] introduced the notion of integrals whose properties made it possible to characterize the toughness of a material when the plasticity is no longer confined to the crack tip.

The brittle fracture mechanics is very widely used by engineers and mechanics because it uses global energy theorems such as the stress intensity factor (SIF) [4].

To value the risk of the structure, it is necessary to access the numerical outputs of the simulations.

The finite element method has made it possible to study fracture mechanics from a numerical point of view, thus offering precise and detailed solutions to more complex problems [5]. In our study, we will use the COMSOL software to calculate the stress intensity factor (SIF). The numerical calculation is based on the contour integral J.

The stress and strain field at the crack tip include $(1/\sqrt{r})$ type singularities [6]. In order to capture this singularity, the numerical model must include so-called 'singular' elements.

2. Materials and Method

The J-integral (curvilinear integral) is a method of calculating the rate of strain relaxation or work energy (energy) per unit area fractured in a material.

The integral J is calculated by the following relation:

$$J = \int_{\Gamma} W dy - T_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} ds = \int_{\Gamma} \left(W n_x - T_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} \right) ds \quad (1)$$

where W is the strain energy density:

$$W = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_x \cdot \varepsilon_x + \sigma_y \cdot \varepsilon_y + \sigma_{xy} \cdot 2 \cdot \varepsilon_{xy}) \quad (2)$$

And T is the traction vector defined as:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x \cdot n_x + \sigma_{xy} \cdot n_y \\ \sigma_{xy} \cdot n_x + \sigma_y \cdot n_y \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

The J integral has the following relationship with the SIF in mode I:

$$J = \frac{K_{Ia}^2}{E} \quad (4)$$

where E is the modulus of elasticity.

2.1. Energy release rate:

we can calculate the integral J without using path integration. because there is an equality between the value of the integral J and the rate of energy restitution G. it is just that in the case of an elastic material.

$$G_f = -\frac{1}{t} \frac{\Delta P_u}{\Delta a} \quad (5)$$

The potential energy of an elastic body is:

$$P_u = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sigma : \varepsilon \cdot dV - \int_{\partial\Omega} T \cdot u \cdot dS \quad (6)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \sigma : \varepsilon \cdot dV = \int_{\partial\Omega} T \cdot u \cdot dS \quad (7)$$

$\int_{\Omega} \sigma : \varepsilon \cdot dV$: 1st term strain energy

$\int_{\partial\Omega} T \cdot u \cdot dS$: boundary surface effort tractive

Therefore, one can use only one term of these two terms to calculate the potential energy.

$$U = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \sigma : \varepsilon dV = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} T \cdot u dS \quad (8)$$

The total strain energy density is a variable, which facilitates the calculation of the rate of energy restitution G .

2.2. Propagation of a crack:

When subjected to periodic loading, the rate of crack growth (in meters per load cycle) can be expressed by the Paris law:

$$\frac{da}{dN} = \eta (\Delta K_I)^n \quad (9)$$

η and n are material properties and ΔK_I is the variation of SIF

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Problem of Cracked square structure problem contains two tensile inclusions:

The structure is a square plate containing a single horizontal edge crack in the middle of the left vertical edge, subjected to a single tensile test shown in (Fig.1) a single horizontal edge crack in the middle of the left vertical edge. The length of the crack varies from $a = 0.5$ m to $a = 0.7$ m, the plate is subjected to stress loading $\sigma=t$ as shown in figure 1, and the lower horizontal edge in the y direction, with t presents the loading parameter.

The load varies from 0 to 20 MPa, we take ΔK_I equal to the calculated K_I . Note that the value of the coefficient A depends on the unit of the stress intensity factor in a rather complex way because of the power m , which in general is non-integer.

There are three ways to calculate the J-integral:

1. A circle around the tip of the crack with a radius corresponding to half the length of the crack.
2. A circle around the tip of the crack with a radius equal to 0.7 times the length of the crack.
3. The outer limits, excluding the surface of the crack.

The mesh is made up of triangular elements, the maximum element size $h_e=0.01$.

The analytical solution for the stress intensity factor is:

$$K_{Ia} = \sigma \sqrt{\pi \cdot a} \cdot f\left(\frac{a}{w}\right) \quad (10)$$

f is a correction factor.

$$f\left(\frac{a}{w}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{2w}{\pi a} \tan \frac{\pi a}{2w}} (0.752 + 2.02 \frac{a}{w} + 0.37(1 - \sin \frac{\pi a}{2w})^3)}{\cos \frac{\pi a}{2w}} \quad (11)$$

The expression in equation (11) assumes that the plate is long, so the height is significantly greater than the width. The stress field in Fig.2 indicates that this assumption is satisfied.




Figure 1. cracked square plate with two inclusions.

Two simulations are made for this problem, for each simulation, the mechanical properties of the inclusion structure have different properties.

Simulation (a): The mechanical properties of the structure are the Young's modulus $E = 69 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa}$, the Poisson's ratio $\nu = 0.33$, the density $\rho = 2730 \text{ Kg/m}^3$ with a loading t between 0 and 20 MPa.

Material properties of inclusions are Young's modulus $E = 2.06 \times 10^{11} \text{ Pa}$, Poisson's ratio $\nu = 0.3$, density $\rho = 7850 \text{ Kg/m}^3$

Simulation (b): The material properties of the structure are the Young's modulus $E = 2.06 \times 10^{11} \text{ Pa}$, the Poisson's ratio $\nu = 0.3$, the density $\rho = 7850 \text{ Kg/m}^3$ with a loading t between 0 and 20 MPa.

The mechanical properties of the inclusions are the Young's modulus $E = 69 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa}$, the Poisson's ratio $\nu = 0.33$, the density $\rho = 2730 \text{ Kg/m}^3$
The results obtained in Figure 2 show the deformation of each structure and the von Mises stress concentrations.




Figure 2. Von Mises stresses.

we notice in figure 2 a distribution of stresses in the two structures (a) and (b), This distribution starts from the places where the loading is applied and gradually propagates throughout the structure.

for figure 2.a, the structure is made of aluminum and the inclusions are made of steel. We notice that the stresses are distributed throughout the structure except the inclusions which remain healthy. This is due to their greater rigidity than the structure, because we notice a concentration of stress around the inclusions without entering inside, which explains the deformation of the structure and the inclusions remaining healthy.

for figure 2.b, we have the opposite: the structure is made of steel and the inclusions are made of aluminum. We note the distribution of stresses throughout the structure, including the inclusions in which the concentration of stresses was greater than that of the

structure, which explains why they were subjected to greater deformation than the structure.

in both structures there is a singularity of stress at the front of the crack which explains why the crack propagates




Figure 3. Energy release rates and J-integral as a function of crack length.

Figure 3 shows the calculation of the SIF for the three lines, tabulated in the Cracks (solids) evaluation group, show excellent agreement with the reference value. The largest deviation for any of the crack lengths and integration paths investigated is less than 0.3%.




Figure 4. Crack growth rate as a function of crack length.

From figure 4 we can study the crack propagation speed from the curve shown in Figures 4a and 4b which represents the rate of energy restitution as a function of the length of the crack, we note that the length of the crack and shows from 0.5 to 0.7 which explains that the crack is propagating.

we also note that the rate of energy restitution in curve 4a less than that in curve 4b which explains that the speed of propagation of the crack in structure 1a is greater than in structure 1b

4. Conclusion

In this work, a numerical implementation was made to calculate the mode I stress intensity factor using the J-integral method for two-dimensional structures.

First, we presented a theoretical aspect on the main physical quantities and parameters used in this study, the FIC and the J integral. the numerical implementation of the latter fact using the finite element method (FEM), Several numerical problems have dealt with this method.

We concluded that in both modes the profile of the energy restitution rates is not constant in the width of the specimen.

Moreover, we showed that the method of the integral J is a rather good approximation of the results provided by the method finite elements by comparing by the other numerical method (strain energy, loads energies). Also, that the analytical solution.

The strong point of this method is that they take into account the asymmetry of the specimen and the multi-material aspect,

Finally, it is interesting to note that the shape of the curve (energy release time G as a function of the crack length a) will not have a parabolic shape (as in figure. 4) because the force at rupture varies according to a .

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.B.; Software, M.B. and B.K.H.; Validation, M.B. and D.H.; Formal analysis, M.B. and H.T.; Resources, M.B. and B.K.H.; Data curation, M.B. and M.F.R.; Writing—original draft, M.B.; Writing—review & editing, M.B. and B.K.H. and D.H.; Supervision, M.B. and B.K.H.; Project administration, M.B. and B.K.H. and M.F.R.; Funding acquisition, M.B. and B.K.H. and M.F.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This project was financially supported by the Directorate General for Scientific Research and Technological Development of the Algerian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research in Algeria, the Laboratory of development in mechanic and materials (LDMM) of Djelfa University, Algeria and the Laboratory of Process and Materials Sciences (LSPM), University of Sorbonne Paris Nord, France.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Griffith AA. The phenomena of rupture and flow in solids. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond* 1920;221:163–98.
2. G.R. Irwin. Analysis of stresses and strains near the end of a crack traversing a plate. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol.24 :361–364, 1957.
3. J.R. Rice, A Path Independent Integral and the Approximate Analysis of Strain Concentration by Notches and Cracks. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 35, 379–386 (1968).
- 4.
5. Thomas ELGUEDJ. numerical simulation of fatigue crack propagation by the extended finite element method: taking into account plasticity and contact-friction.
6. Pierre-Olivier BOUCHARD. contribution to numerical modeling in fracture mechanics and multi-material structures. Doctoral thesis, Ecole Nationale Supérieure des mines de Paris, 2000.
- 7.
8. Alan T. ZEHNDER PHD. Reading Notes on Fracture Mechanics. department of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, 2009.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.