

Placemaking and Sustainable Urbanism: Strategies for Creating Liveable and Resilient Cities [†]

Chinnu S Kumar * and Pooja Nigam

Department of Architecture and Planning, Malaviya National Institute of Technology Jaipur, India; pni-gam.arch@mnit.ac.in

* Correspondence: chinnuskumar61@gmail.com; Tel.: 9567669622

[†] Presented at the 1st International Online Conference on Buildings: Advances in Building Planning, Design, Construction, and Operation, 24–26 Oct 2023.

Abstract: Sustainable urbanism has become a popular expression in the realm of planning and design. The concept of sustainable urbanism revolves around the idea of managing finite resources for the burgeoning population. The ultimate goal of sustainable urbanism is community wellbeing and inclusivity, which align with the objectives of placemaking. The paper aims to explore the prospects of placemaking in achieving sustainable urbanism. The case studies demonstrate that strategic investment in sustainable infrastructure and adaptive reuse practices yields long-term cost savings and environmental benefits. The conclusion reflects on the challenges related to citizen participation and governance, highlighting the necessity of collaboration and coordination among stakeholders.

Keywords: Placemaking; Urban design; Urban revitalization; Sustainable urbanism; Local area planning; Local self-governance; public participation; Liveable cities; Resilient cities; Creative cities

1. Introduction

Globalization is universal, that its effects have not only pervaded agglomerations but also peri-urban areas [1]. It is estimated that 75 percent of the global population will live in cities by 2050 [2], and it is imperative to address the various challenges and issues. The pressing issue of population expansion amid rapid urbanization leads to increased migration to cities, placing substantial pressure on urban infrastructure. The scarcity of energy, water, and resources triggers overexploitation placing immense pressure on the environment, causing subsequent pollution and degradation. Urban decay and neglected spaces brood social ills, such as crime and poverty [3], impacting a city's economic resilience and the well-being of its community, scarring biodiversity. The above instances are happening at various scales in our cities today, which can result in a catastrophe. The development trajectory in the direction of sustainability can steer to better, more liveable and more resilient cities if the issues are addressed and mended early in the process.

The concept of sustainable urbanism, grounded in principles of social inclusion, environmental consciousness, and economic viability, accords an integrated approach to urban development and effectively tackles these issues. The term sustainability is a cross-disciplinary concept that encircles various disciplines and fields, integrating diverse expertise to attend socio-economic and environmental issues. The discourse of placemaking incubates the very concept of sustainability in the realm of architecture, design, and planning; as urban design projects foster community betterment, environmental sensitivity, and economic improvement[2]. Placemaking incorporates sustainable principles in the design and development of places shared by communities that are functional, attractive and meaningful. Through a qualitative literature study and case study analysis, the research aims to apprehend the role of placemaking in achieving sustainable urbanism.

Citation: Kumar, C.S.; Nigam, P. Placemaking and Sustainable Urbanism: Strategies for Creating Liveable and Resilient Cities. *Eng. Proc.* 2023, 53, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

Academic Editor: Firstname Last-name

Published: 14 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

The paper is organized into four distinct sections. Following this introduction, the second section, 'Sustainable urbanism', reviews the concepts of sustainability in the realm of urban planning and discusses various initiatives, shedding light on the dimensions and indicators of sustainability. The third section explores successful placemaking efforts to achieve sustainable urbanism and showcases best practices through successful case studies. The fourth section deliberates on the challenges related to participation and governance, underlining the necessity of collaboration and coordination among stakeholders.

2. Sustainable Urbanism

Sustainability, in simple terms, refers to the relationship between humans and their environment, ensuring long-term needs by acknowledging the needs of future generations [4]. Urban sustainability prioritizes equitable socio-economic development [5], and environmental protection of urban areas [6] to improve the interdependency with urban systems. Urban sustainability is defined as an adaptive process of facilitating and maintaining a virtual cycle between ecosystem and human well-being through concerted ecological, economic, and social actions in response to changes within and beyond the urban landscape [7]. The interdisciplinary practice coalesces components essential to planning and designing the built environment and optimises the materials, energy, water, and associated pollutants [8]. To mitigate the negative impacts of the pollution, sustainable urbanism employs smart green infrastructure with energy-efficient and eco-friendly practices. It also advocates the incorporation of recreational spaces with open green spaces within cities to augment the overall community well-being and ecological health of the urban environment. The rich diversity of communities is celebrated by safeguarding its cultural assets, including heritage buildings and precincts, expressing its arts and culture, with the help of local expertise and creativity [8]. Sustainable technological innovations in industries ensure diversification and employment opportunities promising long-term economic resilience and stability [9]. Sustainable urbanism upholds compact city development that revisits the culture of resource and energy consumption intending to design sustainable units with accessible services within walking distance [10].

The Freiburg Charter for Sustainable Urbanism, drawn up in 2013 at the European Conference on Sustainable Urbanism in Germany, outlines 12 guiding principles [11]. These principles are grouped into spatial, content and process categories focussing on the objectives that emphasize the need for cultural preservation, thereby strengthening neighbourhoods; establishing green nexus by safeguarding public areas; firming social inclusion by improving citizen participation; buttressing economic viability by securing jobs instituting adaptive and transitive cities. Following the Charter, is the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) that aim to promote sustainable urbanism by addressing social, environmental, and economic challenges related to urbanization and cities [12]. The 2030 agenda includes 17 goals: clean water, sanitation, and energy; responsible consumption and production; industry and economic escalation; reduced inequalities, good health and well-being, sustainable cities and communities; and life on land. These goals aim to create a healthy community with green spaces, walkable neighbourhoods, and well-planned compact cities. By aligning sustainable urban planning and design practices with these goals, cities can contribute to a more sustainable and equitable planet.

2.1. Initiatives, Dimensions and Indicators for Sustainable Urbanism

In line with the global agenda to combat global warming, numerous cities have envisaged a range of initiatives to create an equitable and sustainable city [13]. The initiatives under the "One NYC" plan for New York City include policies to improve air quality, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote social integrity and increase access to green spaces. A dedicated bike lane network, promotion of electric vehicles, and options for the expansion of public transportation networks are some of the initiatives by policymakers in Seattle, Washington. Brazil's extensive slum upgradation agendas by physical

redevelopment and employment generation schemes have integrated slum neighbourhoods into the city fabric [1]. The urban regeneration scheme of Barcelona has reinvented the city by choosing an alternative sector, such as creative and cultural tourism-based industries. The participatory budgeting framework of Porto Alegre, Brazil, in 1989 is a role model for more than 1200 municipalities worldwide, allowing citizens to allocate public funds for their contextual needs. This forestalls enforced elite governance practices and endorses local requirements. The various indicators combined by various agencies are listed below.

Table 1. Dimension and indicators of sustainability.

Dimension	Indicators
Demography ^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}	Urbanization, population, migration, net population density, age pyramid, average household size, rate, minority, slums.
Social equity ^{1, 2, 5}	Income distribution, poverty, child labour, informal employment, unemployment, expenditure on poverty.
Health and education ^{1, 2, 5}	Persons per hospital bed, child mortality, life expectancy at birth, family planning, literacy rate, school enrolment rates.
Urban productivity ¹	City product per capita, household expenditure, investment by sector, employment by industry, tourism, major projects.
New technology ¹	R & D expenditure, internet hosts per thousand population.
Urban land ^{1, 2, 4}	Land developer multiplier, developer contributions, median time-planning, permission: open/vacant and commercial land
Housing ^{1, 4}	Dwelling type, price, rent, tenure type, floor area per person, mortgage: credit, houses with mortgages, mortgage loans.
Municipal services ^{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}	Water, Electricity, Sewage / Wastewater, Telephone: Household connections, investment per capita, operations, maintenance, cost recovery, list of providers, interruptions.
Urban mobility ^{1, 4}	Mode of travel, expenditure on road, infrastructure, congestion, median travel time, cost recovery from fares, fatalities.
Cultural ¹	Attendance at public events, galleries, museums, sports.
Local govt. finance ^{1, 2}	Sources of revenue, capital and recurrent, expenditure per person, property taxes, expenditure ratio, debt service charge,
Urban governance ^{1, 2}	Functions of local government, annual plan, local taxes level, borrowing funds, choosing contractors and representers.
Urban environment ^{1, 2, 3}	Solid waste generated, household sewage disposal, wastewater treated, air pollution concentrations, energy use.
Land and mountain ^{2, 3, 4}	Fragile ecosystem on land, agriculture, land use, arable land.
Ocean and coastal ^{2, 3}	Population in coastal area, fisheries sustain yield, Algae index
Forest and atmosphere ^{2, 3, 4}	Forest area change, greenhouse gas emits, population welfare

¹ [14], ² [15], ³ [16], ⁴ [17], ⁵ [18]

The sustainability principle-based interventions do not apply universally; rather, it varies with region and many other factors associated with place and people. The one-size-fits-all tactic do not apply due to the difference in the terrain, resources, cultural values, and socio-economic dynamics. For example, an intervention required for a declined port city would prioritize its focus on an alternate economic engine like tourism to regenerate its potential waterfront. The same interventions are neither applicable nor relevant to peri-urban landlocked cities. It is at this point where the concepts of sustainability converge with placemaking ideas as placemaking deals with nurturing spaces that reflect the essence of the place and aspirations of the community. Such deep-rooted placemaking efforts that embrace its local context and engage its community foster a stronger sense of ownership among the people, making them responsible as well.

3. Placemaking Strategies within the Context of Sustainable Urbanism

The term 'placemaking' designates a multi-faceted approach to the planning, design and management of places for refining urban environments and residents' quality of life [19]. Placemaking utilizes the fundamental nature of humans to sustain the place they habit by defining, constructing, and hegemonizing place quality through actions, reactions, and interactions [20]. It is evident in the origins of placemaking which was based on the public's opposition to mega projects that sliced their spaces, interrupting social cohesions and displacing communities [21]. Initiatives spread across the world in response to the writings of Jane Jacobs and her contemporaries to reclaim their spaces and cities. The quintessence principle of placemaking, the importance of civic participation, was postulated in the seminal works of Henri Lefebvre [22]. David Harvey, in his book "Rebel Cities: From the Right to the City to the Urban Revolution", mentions the importance of identity in context and culture that makes a place which exempts from the provision of duplicating or scaling up successful projects [23]. Placemaking, in the context of sustainable urbanism, is process oriented and manifests the societal and ecological conditions of our time, also focusing on the sustainability of native values [24]. Transpiring collaborative, bottom-up, and community-led; placemaking principles gradually imparted a more sustainable alternative to developer-driven speculative projects, which is top-down [21]. The Project for Public Spaces, founded on Jan Gehl's research on the quality of public life, has drafted methodologies and tools for place makers crafting numerous projects around the world. The various placemaking strategies includes adaptive reuse (repurposing of heritage structures), tactical urbanism (pop-up events, street furniture, coloured asphalt), street and market revitalization (pedestrian-friendly, safer junctions), waterbody restoration (ecological rehabilitation, disaster mitigation), urban green open space (urban parks, community guerrilla garden), walking and cycling facilities (sidewalks, bike-lanes) and transit – oriented – development (public transport, mixed-use, last mile connectivity).

3.1. Case Studies – Successful Placemaking Efforts

The transformative ability of placemaking has created people-centric, inclusive, sustainable urban spaces in various cities across the globe. The selection of case studies from governmental practitioner's guides and global best practices in placemaking is a valuable approach to inform and inspire urban development projects. The transformation of congested, vehicle-laden streets in Times Square, New York, USA, into pedestrian-only public spaces with amenities like food, shopping, and theatre is a notable example. This was achieved through intensive workshops utilizing mobility analysis tools, stakeholder engagement, and pilot projects. These led to the renovation of historic public plazas, improved traffic management, attractive street furniture, and a platform for creative public art displays, benefitting both residents and visitors. In Seville, Spain, persistent public campaigns against congested roads resulted in the creation of an extensive 80-kilometer network of fully segregated bicycle lanes. Rental services, climate-responsive infrastructure, and special bicycle lanes separated from vehicular traffic by raised curbs significantly improved usability and safety. Additionally, efforts to green narrow roads have contributed to a healthier, less-polluted environment, making Seville a more liveable city.

In Delhi, India, Raahgiri Day emerged as a transformative initiative to reclaim the city streets for the community to walk, exercise, and recreate. This grassroots movement, conceived to address urban congestion and air pollution, has encouraged more residents to opt for eco-friendly modes of transportation, reducing air pollution and fostering healthier urban living. Thoroughfares are temporarily closed to vehicular traffic, creating a safe space for the public to participate in performances, cultural activities, and social interactions, thus becoming a symbol of active urban revitalization. The revitalization of eight historical lakes in Coimbatore, as part of the Smart City mission, is an excellent paragon for waterbody restoration, creating a 20-kilometer-long Greenway connecting them. This community-driven project has transformed these areas into vibrant public spaces

with amenities and also focuses on stormwater management and sustainable mobility. The amenities include seating, lighting, play areas, water recreation facilities, exercise zones, and even a food court. Multipurpose spaces for activities like skating, yoga, and co-working, along with restroom facilities, have also been incorporated.

While not low-cost upfront, these projects wisely allocate resources into more efficient and durable infrastructure, promoting sustainable mobility and significantly reducing reliance on non-renewable fuels, ultimately contributing to long-term cost savings and environmental benefits. The strong emphasis of adaptive reuse, involving repurposing of existing structures and materials, minimises resource consumption. Moreover, the promotion of green infrastructure, such as urban parks and open green spaces, contributes to both environmental well-being and cost-effective urban development.

4. Discussions and Conclusions

In spite of the fact that placemaking benefits sustainable urbanism, the concomitant challenges and limitations must also be considered. The existing opaque and unaccountable local urban governance that favours to skewed and elite decision-making dampens the provisions for stakeholder participation [1]. The success of placemaking lies in the group effort of multiple stakeholders, considered equally, regardless of their background or status. This fair and inclusive nature of the placemaking practices also makes it difficult while coordinating to priorities diverse opinions in case of conflicting agendas. One of the primary challenges thus lies in the mediation of stakeholders during the decision-making. It is imperative to invest resources, time and effort in the iteration process to understand the aspirations of the community, causing a substantial upfront cost. The difficulty in securing funds for critical endeavours can drastically impact the scope and scale of placemaking efforts. The nonexistence of an appropriate operational governance mechanisms and institutional framework in both policy-making and implementation processes is a significant challenge of placemaking framework [1]. The identification of potential barriers and opportunities for improving participation, governance and funding mechanism requires continued research and collaboration among diverse stakeholders to fully unlock the potential of comprehensive placemaking.

The demand for sustainable urbanism is driven by a multitude of urban issues and challenges that cities face worldwide. This research analyses sustainable urbanism and placemaking strategies, revealing similarities and convergence. The concept of placemaking shares common objectives of sustainability concerning community well-being and inclusivity. While placemaking prioritizes socio-economic dimension, sustainable urbanism focuses on the dimension of environment and yet, both endeavours to improve the overall quality of life. Cities with sustainable practices attract investment, create innovative industries, and develop skilled workforces driving economic growth and global market leadership. Prioritizing these concepts and continuously refining implementation can create liveable, and resilient cities with the environment and inhabitants' diverse needs, fostering a more sustainable and inclusive future for urban spaces worldwide.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, resources, data curation, writing—original draft preparation, writing and editing, visualization, C.S.K.; review and supervision, P.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. R. Keivani, 'A review of the main challenges to urban sustainability', *International Journal of Urban Sustainable Development*, vol. 1, no. 1–2, pp. 5–16, Jan. 2009, doi: 10.1080/19463131003704213.

2. J. Stevens, P. Plowright, and A. Adhya, 'Defining Sustainable Urbanism: towards a responsive urban design', in Conference on Technology & Sustainability in the Built Environment, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia, 2010, pp. 17–36. [Online]. Available: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256079248>
3. UN-Habitat, 'State of the world's cities', London, 2006.
4. WCED, Our Common Future. The World Commission on Environment and Development, Oxford University Press, 1987.
5. S. Imtiaz, S. A. R. Shah, M. Shahzad, H. A. Raza, and G. Muhammad, 'Regeneration and Rehabilitation of Sarafa Bazaar: A Study for Sustainable Heritage and Future Cities', in ASEC 2022, Basel Switzerland: MDPI, Dec. 2022, p. 76. doi: 10.3390/ASEC2022-13773.
6. A. Bertini, I. Caruso, and T. Vitolo, 'Inland Areas, Protected Natural Areas and Sustainable Development', in ITISE 2022, Basel Switzerland: MDPI, Jun. 2022, p. 20. doi: 10.3390/engproc2022018020.
7. J. Wu, 'Urban ecology and sustainability: The state-of-the-science and future directions', *Landsc Urban Plan*, vol. 125, pp. 209–221, May 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.01.018.
8. Ercan, Sustainable Urbanism: Envisioning new agents for planning and designing sustainable spaces. *IdealKent*, 2020.
9. A. T. Wessells, 'Urban Blue Space and "The Project of the Century": Doing Justice on the Seattle Waterfront and for Local Residents', *Buildings* 2014, Vol. 4, Pages 764-784, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 764–784, Oct. 2014, doi: 10.3390/BUILDINGS4040764.
10. M. Jenks and C. Jones, *Dimensions of the Sustainable City: 2 (Future City)*. London: Springer, 2010.
11. W. Daseking, B. Köhler, and G. Kemnitz, 'Freiburg Charter', Freiburg im Breisgau, 2012.
12. United Nations, 'The 17 goals | Sustainable Development', Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Sep. 2015. <https://sdgs.un.org/goals> (accessed Aug. 09, 2023).
13. A. Pallathadka, H. Chang, and I. Ajibade, 'Urban sustainability implementation and indicators in the United States: A systematic review', *City and Environment Interactions*, vol. 19, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.cacint.2023.100108.
14. UNCHS, 'Indicators program: Monitoring human settlements', Nairobi, 1995.
15. UNCSO, 'Working list of indicators of sustainable development', New York, 1996.
16. OECD, 'Environmental Indicators: Preliminary Set', Paris, 1991.
17. EEA, 'Europe's Environment -The Dobris Assessment', London, 1995.
18. WHO, 'Urban air pollution in megacities of the world', Oxford, 1992.
19. T. Sofield, J. Guia, and J. Specht, 'Organic "folkloric" community driven place-making and tourism', *Tour Manag*, vol. 61, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.tourman.2017.01.002.
20. L. H. Schneekloth and R. G. Shibley, *Placemaking: The Art and Practice of Building Communities*. New York: John Wiley, 1998.
21. D. Horgan, 'Placemaking', in *International Encyclopedia of Human Geography*, Second Edition, Elsevier, 2019, pp. 145–152. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-102295-5.10680-8.
22. Henri Lefebvre, *Right to the City*. Cambridge, 1968.
23. D. Harvey, *Rebel Cities: From the Right to the City to the Urban Revolution*. Verso books, 2012.
24. D. Mills, 'Just Communities', in LGCSAA National Conference, 2005.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.