engineering proceedings

MDPI

Proceeding Paper

LoRa Radius Coverage Map on Urban and Rural Areas: Case Study of Athens Northern Suburbs and Tinos Island, Greece †

Spyridon Mitropoulos [1,*], Vasilios A. Orfanos [2], Dimitrios Rimpas [2] and Ioannis Christakis [2]

[1] Department of Surveying and Geoinformatics Engineering, University of West Attica, 28, Ag. Spyridonos Str., 12243 Egaleo, Greece
[2] Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of West Attica, P. Ralli & Thivon 250, 12244 Egaleo, Greece; vorfanos@uniwa.gr (V.A.O.); drimpas@uniwa.gr (D.R.); jchr@uniwa.gr (I.C.)
* Correspondence: smitro@uniwa.gr
† Presented at the 10th International Electronic Conference on Sensors and Applications (ECSA-10), 15–30 November 2023; Available online: https://ecsa-10.sciforum.net/.

Abstract: As the use and development of Internet of Things is very popular nowadays, one of the most widespread ways of exchanging data from such arrangements is the use of LoRa network. Among one of the advantages offered by this technology, is the ability to provide low power consumption as well as wide wireless coverage in an area. Although in researches there are references regarding the coverage radius of a geographical area, differences can be detected between urban (cities) and rural (countryside) areas, as in the last ones there are no dense structures nor radio signal noise inside the operating frequency spectrum of LoRa. Thus, results are expected to be better at rural areas than urban areas. Especially in an urban area, apart from the signal noise caused by other LoRa devices (both commercial or private), the coverage varies according to the placement of the LoRa station inside a building, this is related to the height in which the gateway is placed in another building. In this work, the LoRa radio coverage study is presented in a radius of 2 km both in an urban and in a rural environment using only one LoRa gateway. To better capture the coverage, LoRa stations are placed on every floor of the selected buildings periodically. The results show the difference in coverage between urban and rural areas which is related to radio signal noise. Furthermore, significant changes in the coverage map in urban areas can be observed, directly related to the installation height of the LoRa station. With the understanding these variations in LoRa network performance in different environments, informed decisions can be made with the deployment of such networks, optimizing their efficiency and ensuring seamless data transmission in both urban and rural settings.

Keywords: IoT LoRa; radio coverage; map coverage

Citation: Mitropoulos, S.; Orfanos, V.A; Rimpas, D.; Christakis, I. LoRa Radius Coverage Map on Urban and Rural Areas: Case Study of Athens Northern Suburbs and Tinos Island, Greece. *Eng. Proc.* **2023**, *56*, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor(s): Name

Published: 15 November 2023

1. Introduction

The development of IoT devices in recent years is mainly focused in LoRa protocol for wireless data transmission. LoRa uses wireless data transmission protocols, achieving long-range communication. It is based on Chirp Spread Spectrum (CSS) modulation with a fixed frequency shift step, where it can achieve long-distance data transmission plus low power transmission. It is utilized in a wide range of applications such as smart metering, vehicle and infrastructure monitoring, smart health applications, industrial production monitoring and control [1–4]. IoT architecture consists of three parts: perception, network and application. A LoRa network includes three different types of nodes, i.e., a LoRa server, a LoRa gateway (GW), and the end devices [5].

The rapid development of wireless communication technologies in the IoT, are used widely at smart buildings, such as Zigbee, Wi-Fi, LoRa, etc., [6,7]. Smart buildings present an increasing research field of their ability for real-time dynamic control of various activities and reduction of energy consumption in building operations [8]. In [9] an investigation of communication performance of LoRa wireless technology inside an office building is presented. Experimental measurements show the transmission delay and packet loss rate of LoRa nodes from the same or different floors. The [10] study concluded that, the affection of electromagnetic propagation changes under various environments and cities, as well as rainforests.

The combination of IoT solutions is used for automated control of services such as building access, security systems, lighting, heating systems, ventilation systems, etc. [11,12]. In [13], a wearable LoRa based wireless sensor network including self-powered environmental sensors, is used to monitor the harmful environmental conditions. A LoRa based low-power real-time air quality monitoring system [14] was implemented to collect both air and gas pollutants values, such as NO_2, CO, PM10 and PM2.5. In [15] research work, a LoRa based smart metering system is proposed, applied to smart buildings and smart grid systems. In [16] the monitoring of the environment conditions took place in a university, with LoRa nodes installed indoors and outdoors. Based of measured data, the rate of packet loss in the outdoor under line-of-sight nodes was much smaller than the indoor ones. The [17] shows the relation of throughput based on the installation position, and is presented using, RSSI, SNR and PER (packet error ratio), showing that the transmission signal was attenuated seriously in the basement.

In this work, the innovation of a low-cost water tank measurement device using an ultrasonic sensor is presented, while the data transmission was done through a LoRa network. An extensive study of the LoRa network coverage is also presented, using SNR and RSSI measurements. In the urban area, network coverage is studied as LoRa terminal nodes are placed on all floors of buildings. The same experiment is conducted in a rural area without tall and dense buildings or significant radio interference.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Setup

This study presents, the implementation of low-cost water tank monitoring station. It is focused on the reception and network layer of LoRa architecture. The measurements analysis concerns the radio coverage of an area between the LoRa node and LoRa gateway. The low-cost water tank stations, are implemented to measure the depth of a water tank. For portability purposes a small solar panel with a lithium battery pack, energizes the node. Every station is based on the TTGO@ESP32 (868 Mhz) [18] module (Figure 1a), while as LoRa gateway a Mikrotik wAP LR8 kit [19] (Figure 1b) is used. In addition, custom dipole antennas were made and used for both nodes and gateway. The antenna performance measurements are shown in Figure 1c. To measure the distance (depth), the ultrasonic JSN-SR04T sensor is utilized [20].

(**a**) (**b**) (**c**)

Figure 1. LoRa node construction (**a**) TTGO@ESP32. (**b**) LoRa gateway. (**c**) Antenna frequency response.

The final construction of the autonomous LoRa node is presented in Figure 2a. The internal setup parts of the LoRa node are shown in Figure 2b and LoRa gateway in Figure 2c. This setup, is based on the 868 Mhz frequency band, spread factor 8, bandwidth 125

Khz and code rate 4/5. Measurements are obtained via the web interface of the LoRa gateway.



(**a**) (**b**) (**c**)

Figure 2. LoRa node and gateway. (**a**) LoRa node. (**b**) The parts of LoRa node. (**c**) LoRa gateway.

2.2. Experimental Results

The experiment was conducted in two phases. The LoRa nodes and LoRa gateway were at first installed within an urban area at the northeast Athens at the municipality of Agia Paraskevi, Attica, Greece. The second phase took place in a rural area at the northwest of Tinos Island, at the village of Pirgos. The urban area and the installation points of the LoRa gateway and nodes are shown in Figure 3a. Measurements were obtained at the first two weeks of the June 2023 and refer to an area of 1.6 square kilometers. At this area the surface presents two hills while the average building height is 15 m tall. The visualization of surface elevation (Figure 3b) between gateway and nodes was retrieved using Google Earth's application.



(**a**) (**b**)

Figure 3. Urban area of northeast of Attica. (**a**) Nodes and gateway installation points. (**b**) Elevation graphs between nodes and gateway.

The measurements between the nodes and the gateway are summarized in Table 1. The height of the antenna of LoRa gateway was 3 m higher than the top roof of the building (total height around 18 m).

Table 1. SNR and RSSI measurements from nodes (urban area).

Node	GW Dist.	Roof (dB)		2nd Floor (dB)		1rst Floor (dB)		Ground (dB)	
	(m)	SNR	RSSI	SNR	RSSI	SNR	RSSI	SNR	RSSI
1	892	0~2.5	−110~−113	−5.5~−7.5	−112~−114	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	967	−7.5~−9.5	−113~−115	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	494	−7.0~−10.0	−114~−117	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	565	3~6	−103~−107	−2.0~−1.0	−108~−111	−7.0~−10.0	−115~−118	N/A	N/A

The installation points of the LoRa gateway and LoRa nodes at rural area projected in Figure 4a, while the surface elevation between gateway and nodes in Figure 4b. For the research purpose the radio coverage distance extension presented in Figure 5a,b, measurements were obtained at the first two weeks of the July 2023 and referred to an area of 6.0 square kilometers. This area is surrounded by mountains that offers excellent isolation from radio frequency noise. At this area the average residential building height does not exceed 6 m. Table 2 shows the measurements between the nodes and the gateway.



(**a**) (**b**)

Figure 4. Rural area of northwest of Tinos Island. (**a**) Nodes and gateway installation points. (**b**) Elevation graphs between nodes and gateway.



(**a**) (**b**)

Figure 5. Rural area of northwest of Tinos Island. (**a**) Experimental nodes and gateway installation points. (**b**) Elevation graphs between nodes and gateway.

Table 2. SNR and RSSI measurements from nodes (rural area).

	Gateway Distance (km)	SNR (dB)	RSSI (dB)
Node 1	2.68	5.5~5.7	−108~−109
Node 2	2.70	4.5~5.1	−109~−110
Node 3	3.57	3.9~4.78	−108~−110
Node EXP1	4.58	9.5~10.5	−95~−91
Node EXP2	6.63	−3.75~5.5	−116~−102

3. Results and Discussion

The visualization of the deepness of each water tank via the ultrasonic sensors, is presented dynamically under the Grafana lab environment using a web browser (Figure 6). Figure 6a shows the water tanks depth measurements and Figure 6b displays the battery voltage. The reliability of the distance sensor's measurements has previously been verified by calibrating it at different distance lengths with a high accuracy laser measure device. These measurements prove that the LoRa signal is reliable enough for accurate data acquisition from stations at long distances.

(**a**) (**b**)

Figure 6. Grafana lab visualization interface. (**a**) Water tanks depth. (**b**) Battery voltage.

According to the measurements of Tables 1 and 2 (SNR, RSSI) of LoRa radio coverage between urban and rural area, extracted the coverage graphs of Figure 7 (Figure 7a shows the urban coverage and Figure 7b shows the rural coverage).

(**a**) (**b**)

Figure 7. SNR, RSSI coverage graphs. (**a**) Urban area. (**b**) Rural area.

From the coverage graphs, it is concluded that the radio coverage of the rural area is greater than the urban area. The reasoning for this behavior, is because in the urban area there is a dense structure of buildings that affect the transmission of the signal. Buildings act as attenuators, according to SNR measurements. This becomes more evident especially from the fact that there was no reception, when the station was installed at the ground or the first floor. In addition, in urban areas many forms of radio transmission have been developed as well as other LoRa networks, that create radio noise in the transmission signal as derived from RSSI measurements. On the other hand, small villages and their low height (max 6 m) houses not do not interfere with the signal as much. Also, radio noise is much weaker as the installations of radio transmitters (television, mobile network) are also weaker in relation to the urban area. According to the above, the results of LoRa radio coverage in both urban and rural area are shown, the radio coverage in the urban area refers to an area of 1.6 square kilometers, while in the rural area it refers to an area of 6.0 square kilometers.

4. Conclusions

In this work, a LoRa based water tank measuring system was presented. As research study, the radio coverage measurements took place, both in urban and rural areas in a similar terrain. The maximum distance of the radius coverage, in the urban area was 1 km, while in rural area it worked up to 6.5 km. The measurements in the city were also done for each floor, where in many cases, especially on the ground and first floor, the system could not operate properly. In summary, it was confirmed that in non-line of site cases, the LoRa network does not work, also a radio noise affects the radio coverage. In provinces with no radio frequency noise, this system showed excellent radio coverage. On the other hand, the operation of monitoring systems, especially in rural areas, improves the lifestyle of the citizens, hence directly addressing needs with simple, low-cost but highly important ways in terms of people's quality of life and livelihood.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.C. and S.M.; methodology, I.C. and D.R.; software, I.C.; validation, S.M., D.R. and V.A.O.; formal analysis, S.M.; investigation, I.C.; resources, S.M.; data curation, V.A.O.; writing—original draft preparation, S.M. and I.C.; writing—review and editing, D.R. and V.A.O.; visualization, V.A.O.; supervision, D.R.; project administration, I.C. and D.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All of the data created in this study are presented in the context of this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Adelantado, F.; Vilajosana, X.; Tuset-Peiro, P.; Martinez, B.; Melia-Segui, J.; Watteyne, T. Understanding the Limits of LoRaWAN. *IEEE Commun. Mag.* **2017**, *55*, 34–40.
2. Orfanos, V.A.; Kaminaris, S.D.; Piromalis, D.; Papageorgas, P. Smart Home Automation in the IoT Era: A Communication Technologies Review. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2020**, *2307*, 020054. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0032939.
3. Petäjäjärvi, J.; Mikhaylov, K.; Pettissalo, M.; Janhunen, J.; Iinatti, J. Performance of a low-power wide-area network based on LoRa technology: Doppler robustness, scalability, and coverage. *Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Netw.* **2017**, *13*. https://doi.org/10.1177/1550147717699412.
4. Papadakis, N.; Koukoulas, N.; Christakis, I.; Stavrakas, I.; Kandris, D. An Iot-Based Participatory Antitheft System for Public Safety Enhancement in Smart Cities. *Smart Cities* **2021**, *4*, 919–937. https://doi.org/10.3390/smartcities4020047.
5. Augustin, A.; Yi, J.; Clausen, T.; Townsley, W.M. A study of LoRa: Long range & low power networks for the internet of things. *Sensors* **2016**, *16*, 1466.
6. Orfanos, V.; Kaminaris, S.; Papageorgas, P.; Piromalis, D.; Kandris, D. A Comprehensive Review of IoT Networking Technologies for Smart Home Automation Applications. *J. Sens. Actuator Netw.* **2023**, *12*, 30. https://doi.org/10.3390/jsan12020030.
7. Ray, P.P. A Survey on Internet of Things Architectures. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences, **2018**, *30*, 291–319.
8. Zainordin, N.B.; Abdullah, S.M.B.; Baharum, Z.B.A. Light and Space: Users Perception towards Energy Efficient Buildings. *Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci.* **2012**, *36*, 51–60.
9. Liang, R.; Zhao, L.; Wang, P. Performance evaluations of LoRa wireless communication in building environments. *Sensors* **2020**, *20*, 3828.
10. Ferreira, A.E.; Ortiz, F.M.; Costa, L.H.M.K.; Foubert, B.; Amadou, I.; Mitton, N. A study of the LoRa signal propagation in forest, urban, and suburban environments. *Ann. Telecommun.* **2020**, *75*, 333–351. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12243-020-00789-w.
11. Mussab, A.; Zaidan, A.A.; Mohammed, T.; Kiah, M.L.M. A review of smart home applications based on Internet of Things. *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.* **2017**, *97*, 48–65.
12. Yuce, M.R. WE-Safe: A Self-Powered Wearable IoT Sensor Network for Safety Applications Based on LoRa: A scoping review. *Int. J. Med. Inform.* **2017**, *3*, 105–108.
13. Zhang, X.H.; Zhang, M.M.; Meng, F.F.; Qiao, Y.; Xu, S.J.; Senghout, H. A Low-PowerWide-Area Network Information Monitoring System by Combining NB-IoT and LoRa. *IEEE Internet Things J.* **2018**, *6*, 590–598.
14. Abdelfatteh, H.; Abdelhak, A.; Aziz, D. Performance Evaluation of Low-PowerWide Area based on LoRa Technology for Smart Metering. In Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Wireless Networks and Mobile Communications, Marrakesh, Morocco, 16–19 October 2018; pp. 97–102.
15. Wang, S.Y.; Chen, Y.R.; Chen, T.Y.; Chang, C.H.; Cheng, Y.H.; Hsu, C.C.; Lin, Y.B. Performance of LoRa-Based IoT Applications on Campus. In Proceedings of the IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference, Toronto, ON, Canada, 24–27 September 2017; Volume 2017, pp. 1–6.
16. Neumann, P.; Montavont, J.; Noel, T. Indoor deployment of low-power wide area networks (LPWAN): A LoRaWAN case study. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Wireless & Mobile Computing, New York, NY, USA, 17–19 October 2016; p. 8.
17. Ayele, E.D.; Hakkenberg, C.; Meijers, J.P.; Zhang, K.; Meratnia, N.; Havinga, P.J.M. Performance analysis of LoRa radio for an indoor IoT applications. In Proceedings of the 2017 International Conference on Internet of Things for the Global Community (IoTGC), Funchal, Portugal, 10–13 July 2017; p. 8.
18. Santos, S. ESP32 with Built-in SX1276 Lora and SSD1306 OLED Display (Review), Maker Advisor. 2023. Available online: https://makeradvisor.com/esp32-sx1276-lora-ssd1306-oled/ (accessed on 29 July 2023).

19. MikroTik Routers and Wireless. Available online: https://mikrotik.com/product/wap_lr8_kit. (accessed on 31 August 2023).
20. JSN-SR04T—Instruction Manual—Openbikesensor. Available online: https://www.openbikesensor.org/docs/hardware/general/collective-order/jsn-sr04t-en.pdf (accessed on 31 August 2023).