

THE DIFFERENCE IN GUT MICROBIOME COMPOSITION OF MELANOMA PATIENTS **REGARDING THEIR DIETARY FIBER INTAKE**

Gordana Kenđel Jovanović¹, Marin Golčić², Luka Simetić³, Davorin Herceg³, Krešimir Blažević³, Ivan Dražić⁴, Andrej Belančić⁵, Nataša Skočibušić⁵, Dora Palčevski⁶, Igor Rubinić⁵, Vera Vlahović-Palčevski⁵, Tea Majnarić⁷, Renata Dobrila-Dintinjana², Stjepko Pleština³

1 Department of Health Ecology, Teaching Institute of Public Health of Primorsko-Goranska County, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia; gordana.kendel-jovanovic@zzjzpgz.hr

- 2 Department of Radiotherapy and Oncology, University Hospital Center Rijeka, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia
- 3 Department of Oncology, University Hospital Center Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- 4 Department of Mathematics, Physics and Foreign Languages, Faculty of Engineering, University of Rijeka, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia
- 5 Department of Clinical Pharmacology, University Hospital Center Rijeka, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia
- 6 Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Center Rijeka, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia
- 7 Community Health Center of Primorsko-Goranska County, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia



INTRODUCTION

The gut microbiome has been recently put in focus for its implications in the pathogenesis and treatment of melanoma, and together with diet may have an influence on the effectiveness of treatment. Dietary fiber (DF) intake impacts gut microbiome composition and metabolic

METHODS

Croatian metastatic melanoma patients with a complete and sustained response to immunotherapy (N = 15, male 80%, average age 61.0 ±12.2 years) were prospectively profiled for gut (fecal) microbiota signatures and dietary intake (four 24-hour recalls three weeks before the stool sample). Patients were grouped regarding the average DF intake of $\geq 25g/day$ (high fiber group) HFG, N=8) and < 25g/day (low fiber group LFG, N=7).

function, but greatly varies across individuals whose response to fibers depends on their baseline gut microbiome. This study aimed to determine microbiome differences among melanoma progression-free survival patients regarding their DF intake.

RESULTS

HFG had significantly higher intake and energy proportion of mono-unsaturated fatty acids (p=0.007) and omega-3 fatty acids (p=0.049), and lower alcohol intake (p=0.046) than LFG patients. HFG patients increase in showed proportion of Phocaeicola Bacteroides dorei, cellulosilyticus and Holdemania massiliensis on LEfSE analysis, while LFG exhibited higher abundance of *Ruminococcus*, Blautia wexlerae, Anaerobytricum hellii, and Ruthenibacterium edouardi.

Table 1. Selected dietary variables intake differences in Croatian melanoma patients (N=15) regarding their average dietary fiber intake

Variables	LFG	HFG	p-value
	DF intake < 25g/day	DF intake ≥ 25g/day	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	29.44 ± 3.81	29.80 ± 7.40	0.440
Energy intake (MJ/day)	8.01 ± 0.95	10.54 ± 0.86	0.049*
Proteins (g/day)	85.24 ± 18.63	97.93 ± 19.42	0.220
Proteins (% MJ of EI)	19.68 ± 0.92	18.76 ± 2.12	0.292
Total fats (g/day)	76.66 ± 11.06	94.12 ± 12.59	0.013*
Total fats (% MJ of EI)	36.02 ± 3.46	39.27 ± 4.43	0.135
Saturated fatty acids (g/day)	31.29 ± 10.57	35.86 ± 7.98	0.370
Monounsaturated fatty acids (g/day)	28.23 ± 5.21	36.83 ± 6.36	0.007*
Polyunsaturated fatty acids (g/day)	12.70 ± 2.78	17.09 ± 8.98	0.224
Omega-3 fatty acids (g/day)	0.32 ± 0.18	0.97 ± 0.78	0.049*
Carbohydrates (g/day)	198.59 ± 30.95	228.37 ± 35.38	0.106
Carbohydrates (% MJ of EI)	41.91 ± 5.45	42.38 ± 4.69	0.863
Dietary fibers (g/day)	18.53 ± 5.49	29.80 ± 7.20	0.004*
Alcohol (g/day)	4.55 ± 4.48	0.27 ± 0.52	0.045*
* p< 0.05 tested with t-test			

CONCLUSION

DF and omega-3 fatty acids have proven to be associated with promoting intestinal integrity, enhancing short-chain fatty acid production, and altering gut inflammatory state. We demonstrated differences in gut microbiome composition between HFG and LFG metastatic melanoma patients with complete and sustained response to immunotherapy; which further exhibits a for health intestinal potential and homeostasis. The presented difference could be explained by the causal variance in intestinal microbial community ecology that is possibly affected by various environmental involvements, such as diet.

FUNDING

Financing of the DNA extraction and analysis was funded



Ruminococcus_u_s high Blautia wexlerae low Anaerobutyricum hallii Ruthenibacterium lactatiformans Lachnoclostridium edouardi Phocaeicola dorei Bacteroides cellulosilyticus Holdemania massiliensis 3.5 0.5 1.5 2.5

Figure 1. A linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSE) plot showing the bacterial strains with the difference in relative abundance in metastatic melanoma patients regarding their average dietary fiber intake. Green = HFG ($\geq 25g/day$), blue = LFG (<25g/day)

REFERENCES

1. Golčić M, Simetić L, Herceg D, et al. Analysis of the Gut Microbiome and Dietary Habits in Metastatic Melanoma Patients with a Complete and Sustained Response to Immunotherapy. Cancers (Basel). 2023;15(11):3052.

by the Croatian Society for Medical Oncology, the

researchers funded the rest of the study.

2. Pellegrini M, D'Eusebio C, Ponzo V, et al. Nutritional Interventions for Patients with Melanoma: From Prevention

to Therapy-An Update. Nutrients. 2021;13(11):4018.