environmental sciences proceedings

MDPI

*Proceedings Paper*

# Urban Effects on Cloud Base Height and Cloud Persistence over Sofia, Bulgaria †

**Ventsislav Danchovski * and Danko Ivanov**

Sofia University, Faculty of Physics, Department of Meteorology and Geophysics; danko@phys.uni-sofia.bg
* Correspondence: danchovski@phys.uni-sofia.bg;

† Presented at the 5th International Electronic Conference on Remote Sensing, 7–21 November 2023; Available online: https://ecrs2023.sciforum.net/.

**Abstract:** Cities may have local weather and climate that are significantly different from their surrounding rural areas due to different physical characteristics of urban surfaces and emissions of substances, the latter being modulated by the rhythm of the urban ecosystem. Radiative, thermal, moisture, and aerodynamic properties of the urban surface influences clouds formation as well as their characteristics. By using in-situ measurements as well as data from remote sensing instruments (ceilometers) located in the city centre and its outskirts, urban impact on cloudiness over the city of Sofia is evaluated. It is found that cloud base heigh over the city centre is up to more than 200m higher then over rural area. It is shown that clouds over the rural area are more persistent in cold months as well as in the afternoon in spring.

**Keywords:** urban climate; clouds; ceilometer; cloud base height; clouds cover; urban heat island

## 1. Introduction

Clouds are an important parameter of Earth's climate as they determine surface net radiation by modification of incoming and outgoing radiation. Clouds are also part of the water cycle, so they are involved in water and energy transport. Clouds hanging over the cities control shortwave energy that can be harnessed by solar panels and exploited by the population, and so the cloud directly influences human life. Although cloud formation is the result of natural processes, there are reports about urban effects on clouds and precipitation [1]. Cloud base height (CBH) is found to be higher over cities [2], changes in cloud cover have been identified, as well [3]. The observed urban impact on clouds and precipitation is hypothesized to be due to increased turbulent mixing and thermally driven convection [4], because of the urban heat island. Another possible cause is forced updraft due to surface convergence that is observed over urban areas with increased roughness [5]. Some of the emitted pollutants in urban atmosphere may act as cloud condensation nuclei which affect cloud formation and precipitation [6]. Confirming the sought-after urban effects on cloudiness is hindered by the constantly moving atmosphere, which may lead to cloud detection that is far away from the cloud origin place. Often, cities are located around the borders of natural landscapes with contrasting physical properties (e.g., sea-land, mountain-valley), this leads to natural inhomogeneities in cloudiness that overlap with urban ones [7].

Detecting urban influence requires precise quantification of the cloud characteristics of interest, making human cloud observations with questionable usefulness. Near surface measurements of air humidity and temperature can be used to estimate the lifting condensation level (LCL) that serves as a proxy for cloud base height [8], although its applicability is limited to convective clouds. Reference instruments for cloud base height measurement are the ceilometers [9] that are routinely used to ensure cloud base information

**Citation:** To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Published: date

for air traffic safety at many airports. Ceilometers are low power lidars that determine CBH by emitting laser pulses and measurement of their two-way (to the cloud and back) flight time. Nowadays, ceilometer's popularity is growing due to their diverse applications developed within some pan-European initiatives [10,11].

The main goal of the work is to test whether the cloudiness over Sofia is influenced by the urban surface. A better understanding of the seasonal and daily variations of the urban influence is also among the goals of the work.

## 2. Materials and Methods

A combination of in situ and remote measurements of air and clouds parameters over a 10-year period (2011-2020) for two locations are used in this study. The first location, Sofia Airport (hereafter LBSF is located northeast of the city, 42.696°N; 23.417°E, Figure 1), is equipped with instruments providing parameters important for aviation safety. The airport automatic system records meteorological data every 30 minutes in the METAR reports. Air temperature and humidity (used in LCL estimation) as well as cloud base height (derived by Vaisala CL31 ceilometer) are the chosen parameters that are analyzed in the study. The second location is a large park in the city center (hereafter SU, it has coordinates 42.682°N; 23.345°E, Figure 1), where automatic weather station (AWS) and ceilometer Lufft CHM15k are situated. Data from the instruments are logged every 10 and 1 minute, respectively. As atmospheric boundary layer height over Sofia in summer is on average approximately 2500m [12], all higher clouds were filtered out since it is quite unlikely to be associated with urban area influence.




**Figure 1.** Locations of station SU (cyan) and LBSF (green). Both stations are equipped with an AWS and a ceilometer.

## 3. Results and Discussions

To determine whether urban areas affect cloudiness, we focus on two macrophysical cloud characteristics—cloud base height and cloud persistence. The first can be approximately evaluated by LCL or routinely measured by ceilometer, the second is the ratio of the time when a ceilometer detects a cloud to the total measurement duration. To verify whether the urban influence on the cloud parameters exhibit diurnal and annual cycles we present the mean values for each hour and month on heatmap plots.

LCL heatmaps (Figure 2) reveal two maxima in the afternoons (15LT) of April and August. The absolute maximum is approximately 2150 m and 1740 m at LBSF (airport) and SU (city center). Surprising at first it looks reasonable if the local climate of AWS is considered. Though being in the city center station SU is in a park, where air humidity is expected to be higher and so LCL is lower. In contrast, despite being situated outside of the city LBSF AWS is likely to be exposed to local effects of large areas covered by asphalt and concrete, resulting in lower humidity (due to reduced evaporation) that leads to higher LCL. The local minimum in May for both stations is related to more rainy weather.




**Figure 2.** Seasonal variation of LCL daily cycle at stations LBSF (airport) and SU (park in the city center). LCL is used as a proxy of CBH.

A heatmap plot of CBH (retrieved by the ceilometers) over each location (Figure 3) reveals similar bimodal distribution. Again, the two maxima are in April and August but delayed by approximately two hours. However, the larger values are registered over the city center (maximum 1880m), not over the airport (maximum 1600m) in contrast to what is found for LCL. Duration of the relatively high CBHs (if compered against LCLs) is longer for both stations, so that CBHs>1500m are measured even at night and early morning in summer months. That may be related to intensive turbulent fluxes and updraft over the city accompanying the heat island.

Regarding to the percentage of time a ceilometer has detected low clouds (CBH<2500m), i.e., clouds persistence, it was found to be greater over the airport and less over the city (Figure 4). This is most likely a consequence of higher temperatures and lower air humidity in the boundary layer over the city compared to that over the rural area. Also, for both stations, as one can expect, the winter months are characterized by more frequent low clouds. The seasonal variation in the diurnal cycle of cloud persistence also worths noting. The afternoon persistence is higher in the summer as well as in the morning hours of the winter months. The first can be explained by the development of convective fair-weather clouds, the second – by presence of low clouds and even fogs, more typical for the airport (station LBSF) than the city center (station SU).

## 4. Conclusions

Urban areas were confirmed to affect cloud formation and some cloud parameters. It was shown that LCL-based estimates of CBH should be used with caution due to lack of representativeness of the measurement site. Over Sofia, CBH showed a bimodal distribution with maximum values in the afternoon hours in April and August. The highest CBH above the center is more than 200 meters higher than outside the city. Cloud persistence showed seasonal changes in the diurnal cycle. Winter morning and summer afternoon maxima were recorded over both stations. It turned out that over the city the clouds are less persistent than outside the city, contrary to previous studies carried out over megacities [3].




**Figure 3.** Seasonal variation of CBH daily cycle at stations LBSF (airport) and SU (park in the city center). CBH values are measured by a ceilometer at each location.




**Figure 4.** Seasonal variation of clouds persistence daily cycle at stations LBSF (airport) and SU (park in the city center). Persistence is expressed as ratio of number of registered by the ceilometer low clouds (CBH<2500m) to the total number of measurements.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, methodology, visualization, software, writing, project administration, and funding acquisition V.D.; formal analysis and investigation, V.D. and D.I.; data curation, D.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Bulgarian National Science Fund, grant number KP-06-COST/7 from 19.08.2021.

**Data Availability Statement:** METAR data are freely available at https://mesonet.agron.iastate.edu/. Data from station SU are possibly available on request.

**Acknowledgments:** Acknowledgments are due to all members of the COST action CA18235 – "PROfiling the atmospheric Boundary layer at European scale" (PROBE) for the helpful discussions and exchange of knowledge and ideas. The authors are also grateful to the Iowa Environmental Mesonet of Iowa State University for archiving the METER data. The authors are also thankful to all contributors to the R project. The anonymous reviewers deserve acknowledgement for their comments, which contributed to improving the manuscript quality.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Liu, J.; Niyogi, D. Meta-Analysis of Urbanization Impact on Rainfall Modification. *Sci Rep* **2019**, *9*, 7301, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-42494-2.
2. Angevine, W.M.; White, A.B.; Senff, C.J.; Trainer, M.; Banta, R.M.; Ayoub, M.A. Urban–Rural Contrasts in Mixing Height and Cloudiness over Nashville in 1999. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* **2003**, *108*, doi:10.1029/2001JD001061.
3. Theeuwes, N.E.; Barlow, J.F.; Teuling, A.J.; Grimmond, C.S.B.; Kotthaus, S. Persistent Cloud Cover over Mega-Cities Linked to Surface Heat Release. *npj Clim Atmos Sci* **2019**, *2*, 15, doi:10.1038/s41612-019-0072-x.
4. Varentsov, M.; Wouters, H.; Platonov, V.; Konstantinov, P. Megacity-Induced Mesoclimatic Effects in the Lower Atmosphere: A Modeling Study for Multiple Summers over Moscow, Russia. *Atmosphere* **2018**, *9*, 50, doi:10.3390/atmos9020050.
5. Rozoff, C.M.; Cotton, W.R.; Adegoke, J.O. Simulation of St. Louis, Missouri, Land Use Impacts on Thunderstorms. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* **2003**, *42*, 716–738, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(2003)042<0716:SOSLML>2.0.CO;2.
6. Schmid, P.E.; Niyogi, D. Modeling Urban Precipitation Modification by Spatially Heterogeneous Aerosols. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* **2017**, *56*, 2141–2153, doi:10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0320.1.
7. Theeuwes, N.E.; Boutle, I.A.; Clark, P.A.; Grimmond, S. Understanding London's Summertime Cloud Cover. *Quart J Royal Meteoro Soc* **2022**, *148*, 454–465, doi:10.1002/qj.4214.
8. Lawrence, M.G. The Relationship between Relative Humidity and the Dewpoint Temperature in Moist Air: A Simple Conversion and Applications. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* **2005**, *86*, 225–234, doi:10.1175/BAMS-86-2-225.
9. An, N.; Pinker, R.T.; Wang, K.; Rogers, E.; Zuo, Z. Evaluation of Cloud Base Height in the North American Regional Reanalysis Using Ceilometer Observations. *International Journal of Climatology* **2020**, *40*, 3161–3178, doi:10.1002/joc.6389.
10. Illingworth, A.J.; Cimini, D.; Haefele, A.; Haeffelin, M.; Hervo, M.; Kotthaus, S.; Löhnert, U.; Martinet, P.; Mattis, I.; O'Connor, E.J.; et al. How Can Existing Ground-Based Profiling Instruments Improve European Weather Forecasts? *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* **2019**, *100*, 605–619, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0231.1.
11. Cimini, D.; Haeffelin, M.; Kotthaus, S.; Löhnert, U.; Martinet, P.; O'Connor, E.; Walden, C.; Coen, M.C.; Preissler, J. Towards the Profiling of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer at European Scale—Introducing the COST Action PROBE. *Bull. of Atmos. Sci.& Technol.* **2020**, *1*, 23–42, doi:10.1007/s42865-020-00003-8.
12. Danchovski, V. Summertime Urban Mixing Layer Height over Sofia, Bulgaria. *Atmosphere* **2019**, *10*, 36.