

A Robust Deep Learning Based Approach for Detection of Breast Cancer from Histopathological Images

Raheel Zaman¹, Ibrar Ali Shah¹, Naeem Ullah² and Gul Zaman Khan¹

¹ Department of Computer Software Engineering UET Mardan, Pakistan,

raheelzaman.qpc@gmail.com, ibrar@uetmardan.edu.pk, gulzamankhan726@gmail.com,

² Department of Software Engineering University of Engineering and Technology taxila 47050, Pakistan, naemullahfeb1997@gmail.com,

* Correspondence: ibrar@uetmardan.edu.pk

Abstract— Breast cancer is a frequently encountered and potentially lethal illness that can affect not only women but also men. It is the most common disease affecting women globally and is the main cause of morbidity and death. Early and accurate detection of this risky disease is very crucial. A timely and precise identification of breast cancer can decrease death rate and can also protect people from additional damage. The traditional methods used for identification of breast cancer detection are very expensive in term of time and cost. The goal of this study is to develop a system which can detect the breast cancer accurately and at early stage. The primary objective of this research study is to make use of histopathological images to identify breast cancer correctly and faster. In the proposed research work we have developed a model with name Breast Cancer Detection Network (BCDecNet), which comprises eleven learnable layers, i.e., eight convolution layers and three fully connected (FC) layers. The architecture has a total of twenty nine layers, including one input layer, seven leaky relu (LR) layers, four relu layer, five maximum pooling layers, six batch normalization (BN) layers, one cross channel normalization layer and three dropout layers, a softmax layer, and a classification layer. The proposed work uses image based data taken from Kaggle online repository. The suggested model achieved 97.33% accuracy, 96% precision, 96.5% recall and 96.25% F1 score. Furthermore, the result of proposed model compared with other hybrid approaches used for diagnosis of breast cancer at early stages. Our model achieved satisfactory result then all other approaches used for breast cancer detection. Additionally, the proposed BCDecNet model can be generally applied on other medical images datasets for diagnosis of various diseases.

Citation: To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Last-name

Received: date

Revised: date

Accepted: date

Published: date



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors.

Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: Detection; Breast cancer; BCDecNet; Histopathological images

I. Introduction

Cancer is one of the most serious illnesses for both male and female around the globe. The most common cancers include breast cancer which is the most prevalent disease affecting women globally and is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) World Cancer Report good survival rate of up to 80% is achieved with early diagnosis of breast cancer [1, 2]. Approximately 1.7 million new instances of breast cancer arise each year, and roughly 500,000 women die from the disease which may raise may in the future. Breast density, history of illness, age at first pregnancy, breastfeeding, use of alcohol and other variables all affect the development of breast cancer. Some factors have a big impact, while others have a minor one. Being a woman or getting older are two variables that cannot be controlled, but sustaining a

healthy lifestyle can aid in lowering our risk of developing breast cancer. A deadly condition called breast cancer develops in breast cells. In most cases, the cancer first manifests itself in the two breast regions known as the lobules or the ducts. Both fibrous connective tissue in the breast and a particular form of fat called adipose tissue are susceptible to the development of cancer. Unchecked cancer cells have also been reported to reach the lymph nodes underneath the arms in addition to frequently spreading to neighboring healthy breast tissue. The possibility of surviving breast cancer varies substantially based on a number of variables. The kind of tumor a woman has and the stage of cancer at the time of her diagnosis are two of the most important considerations [3].

Traditionally histopathologist examines the sections under a microscope to evaluate the traits and qualities of the tissues [4]. The histopathologist traditionally examines the tissue slices with just their eyes, manually analyzing the visual data based on their prior medical knowledge. Due to the complexity and variety of histopathological images, this manual analysis takes a lot of time, effort, and risk of error [5]. However, this manual procedure of analysis lacks consistency and is highly dependent on the histopathologists' level of experience, workload, and mood. Pathologists' diagnosis accuracy hovers around 75% on average [6].

Machine learning and deep learning play a crucial role in diagnosis of various diseases. A lot of researchers have worked on breast cancer detection. Histology, MRI, mammography, and medical ultrasonography are a few of the methods used to diagnose breast cancer. Previous studies related to breast cancer detection have a lot of limitations, including lack of a single approach for multiple tasks like breast cancer detection and classification, multiclass classification has low accuracy, and most of the models that have been suggested are either complicated, costly to compute, or designed for a single purpose. Furthermore, breast cancer detection have been accomplished through transfer learning models, but there was overfitting problem.

To trickle these challenges, we have utilized two approaches for breast cancer detection. The first approach uses a BCDecNet DL model that makes use of filter-based feature extraction in order to achieve good classification performance, with ReLu and Leaky ReLu activation functions, which extract the most specific and significant features from the breast cancer image. In second approach, we employed hybrid approaches, in which SVM is used for classification and Inceptionresnetv2, Shufflenet, Resnet18, Alexnet, Squeezenet, Densenet201, Inceptionv3 and Darknet19 is used for feature extraction.

Proposed model BCDecNet DL model analyzes histopathological images of breast cancer and will be able to accurately detect breast cancer in order to solve the aforementioned challenges. The key contributions of this study are follows:

1. To use a hybrid approach with histopathological based Image dataset to detect the breast cancer accurately and at early stages.
2. To create new model for diagnosis of breast cancer and then compare its result with previous approaches used.

The rest of the study is structured as follows:

Section 2 presents literature review, Section 3 discusses the Materials and Methods, Section 4 explains results and discussion, Section 5 provides comparative analysis with previous work, and section 6 present conclusion and future directions.

II. Literature Review

Breast cancer diagnosis based on image analysis has been studied for more than 40 years, and there have been several important scientific advances in this field. This research can be divided into two groups based on their methodologies: one is based on deep learning techniques while the other is based on conventional machine learning techniques. Some previous research studies are discussed below.

Chaurasia, V. et al. [7] employed three well-known data mining algorithms on the Wisconsin breast cancer dataset (WBCD), including naive Bayes, RBF networks, and J48. The best performance came from the naive Bayes technique, which had a classification

accuracy of 97.36%. With classification accuracy scores of 96.77% and 93.41%, the RBF network and J48 algorithms, respectively, came in second and third. Similarly, Toner et al [8] applied artificial neural network (ANN) with mini-MIAS dataset and achieved 99.4% accuracy. Likewise, Bhardwaj, A. et al. [9] implemented a genetically optimized neural network (GONN) approach to deal with categorization issues, utilized to establish if a tumor was benign or malignant. WBCD database from the UCI Machine Learning repository was used. The proposed technique achieved classification accuracy of 98.24% for training-testing partition and 100% for 10-fold cross validation, respectively. Alfian, G. et al. [10] used support vector machine (SVM) with highly randomized trees classifier (extra-trees) for early breast cancer diagnosis based on risk indicators. SVM with extra-trees outperformed previous ML models with 80.23% accuracy. Safdar, S. et al. [11] suggested approach improves breast cancer classification accuracy by utilizing machine learning techniques including support vector machine (SVM), logistic regression (LR), and K-nearest neighbor (KNN). Additionally, using 0.01 FPR and 0.03 FNR, they achieved the greatest accuracy of 97.7%. The authors of [12] proposed a cloud and decision-based fusion AI system that predicts breast cancer using a hierarchical DL (CF-BCP) model. This simulation employs MATLAB (2019a) and deep learning algorithms, such as CNN and DELM, using 7909 and 569 fused samples, respectively. Their approach detects breast cancer with an accuracy of 97.975%. S.Gc et al [13] conducted experiment to classify performance of the SVM classifier using the Wisconsin Diagnosis Breast Cancer (WDBC) database. Their proposed work achieved classification accuracy of 96%. U. K. Kumar et al. [14] utilized K-NN and support vector machines for predicting breast cancer classification used Wisconsin's breast cancer diagnostic data and achieved 99 % accuracy. Rasti, R. et al. [15] utilized ME-CNN model which is made up of three CNN experts and one convolutional gating network. The suggested ME-CNN model might be a useful tool for radiologists for analyzing breast DCE-MRI images. Their ME-CNN model obtained 96.39% accuracy.

A lot of work is done in field of breast cancer detection but still there are some challenges which need to be addressed. Some techniques are very efficient but only for small dataset and some techniques has used imbalanced datasets. To overcome these challenges, we have proposed a BCDecNet approach for the detection of breast cancer disease.

III. Materials and Methods

Our Proposed research work consists of two phases. In first phase we have used our proposed BCDecNet DL model for breast cancer detection using histopathological images whereas, in second approach we have used different hybrid models for breast cancer detection. Figure 1 shows Proposed methodology of the experiment.




Figure 1. Proposed methodology.

Proposed methodology consists of different steps, namely, the dataset collection, preprocessing, feature extraction, model training, detection and performance evaluations.

A. Data Acquisition.

We have used image-based dataset for the detection of breast cancer. For detection we used a dataset named as Breast Cancer [17] which consists of two subfolders i.e., disease and normal. Disease part consists of 542 images whereas, normal section has 1497 images. Figure 2 comprises sample images illustrating detection, with the first row featuring normal images and the second row displaying cancerous images.




Figure 2. Datasets samples.

B. Data Pre-processing

Preprocessing image datasets is an essential phase in preparing data to be used in predictive machine learning activities [16-18]. It consists of a set of steps aiming at improving the quality and utility of the images for further examination. The first phase is often data gathering and cleaning, which involves removing unnecessary or corrupted images to verify the dataset's integrity. Following that, the images are reduced and normalized to a compatible structure, which reduces computing cost and eliminates model flaws. To boost dataset diversity and generalizations, typical methods such as rotation, flipping,

and data augmentation are used. Color normalization and histogram equalization can also be used to reduce illumination and contrast variations. Image quality can be improved further by using noise elimination and image denoising algorithms. Furthermore, the images are resized to 224×224 target size of the model. Finally, in order to effectively measure model performance, the dataset is partitioned into training, validation sets. These methods for preprocessing improve the picture dataset's suitability for training predictive models and extracting pertinent information from the data.

C. Feature Extraction

The process of turning unprocessed image data into a usable and sample collection of useful features for additional analysis is known as feature extraction. This involves employing a variety of ways to capture the important patterns, forms, textures, and visual qualities included in image-based datasets. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are frequently used to automatically learn hierarchical aspects from the images, such as edges and textures. For some jobs, handcrafted features like Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT), Local Binary Patterns (LBP), and Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) may also be used. In order to efficiently and effectively train machine learning algorithms to recognize objects, spot patterns, or carry out other pertinent image analysis tasks, the extracted features serve as meaningful representations of the images.

D. Prediction model

a. BCDecNet model

The BCDecNet model is proposed in this study for the detection of breast cancer. Table 1 provides more details about the proposed framework architecture. The suggested Deep BCDCNet model has eleven learnable layers, i.e., eight convolutional layers followed by three FC layers, which is deeper than standard CNN. A total of twenty-eight layers makes up the architecture: one input layer, seven leaky relu (LR) layers, four relu layers, five Maximum pooling layers, five batch normalization (BN) layers, one cross channel Normalization layer, three dropout layers, a softmax layer, and a classification layer. The Proposed BCDecNet model initial layer performs as the input layer, accepting 224×224 Breast cancer histopathological images for processing. Table 1 shows the architecture of BCDecNet model.

The initial convolutional layer processes the input image of size 224×224 through the application of 64 kernels (filters) with dimensions 7×7 and a stride of 2×2 , resulting in the creation of a feature map. Subsequent to the application of LR, cross-channel Normalization, and max-pooling, the output from the first convolutional layer is transmitted to the second convolutional layer, followed by the third convolutional layer. The third Convolutional layer utilizes 64 filters of size 7×7 . In the fourth convolutional Layer, 192 Kernels of dimensions 3×3 , along with a 1-pixel padding value, are employed to filter the inputs. The fifth convolutional layer processes the inputs with 512 kernels of size 3×3 , incorporating padding values of 2 pixels and strides of 1 pixel. The sixth Convolutional layer employs 384 kernels of dimensions 3×3 , along with padding and a Stride of 1 pixel. The subsequent two convolutional layers, specifically the seventh and eighth, utilize 256 kernels of size 3×3 , with default stride and padding values of 1 pixel, and notably, they are not succeeded by pooling layers.

The LeakyReLU (a nonlinear activation function) is applied in the first, fourth, fifth, sixth, Seventh, and eighth convolutional layers. Typically, convolutional layers are succeeded By activation functions, but in this case, the seventh and eighth layers break from this Convention. Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) activation functions are introduced after the Second, third, and fifth convolutional layers to enhance model efficiency. ReLU activation Is chosen for its effectiveness and simplicity, as it converts the weighted sum of inputs into Outputs at a layer node.

b. Hyper Parameters

We evaluated the performance of the proposed BCDecNet model by exploring various Hyper-parameter values to determine the optimal setting for each parameter from a wide range of options. The details of the selected hyper-parameters are presented in Table 2. Stochastic gradient descent (SGD) was employed to train our BCDecNet model, with the Framework undergoing 100 epochs of training to identify breast cancer, while Simultaneously addressing concerns related to overfitting.

Table 1. BCDecNet Architecture Details:

<i>Sr.No</i>	<i>Layers</i>	Filters	Size	Stride	Padding
1	Input				
2	Convolutional-1(LeakyRelu + Cross-ChannelNormalization)	64	7×7	2×2	[3 3 3 3]
3	Max Pooling		3×3	2×2	[0 1 0 1]
4	Convolutional-2 (Relu)	64	3×3	2×2	[0 0 0 0]
5	Convolutional-3 (Relu)	64	7×7	2×2	[0 0 0 0]
6	Convolutional-4 (LeakyRelu +BN)	192	3×3	1×1	[1 1 1 1]
7	Max Pooling		3×3	2×2	[0 1 0 1]
8	Convolutional-5 (LeakyRelu +Relu +BN)	512	3×3	1×1	[2 2 2 2]
9	Max Pooling		3×3	2×2	[0 0 0 0]
10	Convolutional-6 (LeakyRelu +Relu +BN)	384	3×3	1×1	[1 1 1 1]
11	Max Pooling		3×3	2×2	[0 0 0 0]
12	Convolutional-7 (LeakyRelu +BN)	256	3×3	1×1	[1 1 1 1]
13	Convolutional-8 (LeakyRelu +BN)	256	3×3	1×1	[1 1 1 1]
15	Max Pooling		3×3	2×2	[0 0 0 0]
16	Fully Connected + LeakyRelu + Dropout				
17	Fully Connected + LeakyRelu + Dropout				
19	Fully Connected+ LeakyRelu + Dropout				
20	Softmax				
21	Classification				

Table 2. Architectural Hyperparameters of BCDecNet.

<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Value</i>
Step Size	0.001
Iteration Limit	100
Randomization	Every epoch
Optimization Method	SGDM
Output Details	False
Validation Interval	30
Training Ratio	0.8
Testing Ratio	0.2

c. Hybrid models

The proposed experiment not only use the newly developed model, but it also used some other existing state-of-the-art transfer learning approaches to detect breast cancer. The hybrid approaches used for this purposes include Inceptionresnetv2, Resnet18, Squeezenet, and Densenet201, Sufflenet, Alexnet, Inceptionv3 and Darknet19.

Given that images in the datasets vary in size, and transfer learning models necessitate Consistent input image sizes, an automatic resizing of training and testing images is Carried out through augmented image data stores prior to their integration into the network.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Results:

Our proposed research employed the newly developed BCDecNet, along with various hybrid approaches such as Darknet19, Densenet201, Squeezenet, Alexnet, InceptionV3, Resnet18, Resnet101, Shufflenet, and InceptionresnetV2, to detect breast cancer using histopathological images. Results, including accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 Score, are computed and compared to assess the performance of our proposed DeepEBCDCNet model against different hybrid approaches. Two distinct experiments were carried out for the breast cancer detection. In the first experiment, we utilized our proposed BCDecNet to perform breast cancer detection on a two-class dataset comprising normal and disease instances. In the second experiment, different hybrid approaches were applied to detect breast cancer, using the same dataset. The results of both experiments are discussed in the following section.

a. Experimental Setup

The methodology underwent testing and training on a laptop featuring an Intel(R) Core(TM) i3-6006U CPU and 8GB of RAM. In each experiment, the datasets were partitioned, dedicating 80% of the images for training purposes and reserving 20% for testing. The software and hardware resources utilized in the BCDecNet model are outlined in Table 4.1. Multiple experiments were conducted to assess the detection performance of the proposed BCDecNet model.

a. Experimental outcomes of breast cancer detection.

Upon the completion of both experiments for breast cancer detection utilizing a two-class dataset (normal and disease), following architectural hyperparameters of BCDecNet outlined in Table 2, the proposed classifiers demonstrated outstanding performance in detecting breast cancer. The comprehensive performance metrics of the proposed classifiers, including the

newly developed model (BCDecNet) and different hybrid approaches, in breast cancer detection, are presented in Table 3. We have also evaluated the performance of each model, and the graphical representation for each model are presented in Figure 3.

Table 3. Detection Results using BCDecNet and Different Hybrid Approaches.

Models	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
Inceptionresnetv2	96.81	96.0	95.0	95.5
Sufflenet	94.35	94.0	92.0	93.0
Resnet18	94.84	94.0	93.0	93.0
Alexnet	90.91	90.0	87.0	89.0
Squeezenet	93.37	93.0	90.0	91.5
Densenet201	96.07	95.0	95.0	95.0
Inceptionv3	96.56	95.0	95.0	95.0
Darknet19	94.35	92.0	93.0	92.0
BCDecNet (newly developed model)	97.33	96.0	96.5	96.25




Fig.3. Performance evaluation of various hybrid approaches and BCDecNet.

The main goal of these experiments is to demonstrate the effectiveness of our method in breast cancer detection. A total of 2039 histopathological images were utilized, with 1631 images designated for training and 408 images for model validation. The training and validation sets were employed to train and validate our model. The training duration for breast cancer detection amounted to 679 minutes and 10 seconds. Upon completing the experiment, our analysis indicates that our proposed BCDecNet model surpasses other models. The confusion matrix (CM) for binary detection is presented in Figure 4, where off-diagonal terms represent inaccurate predictions, and diagonal terms indicate correctly recognized breast Cancer images. To evaluate the training performance of the proposed model in breast cancer detection, we have illustrated accuracy and loss in Figure 5 after 100 epochs.

The newly developed BCDecNet model achieved 97.33% accuracy, 96.0% precision, 96.5% recall, and 96.25% F1-score values which is highest among all the models we have utilized in this experiments of breast cancer.

Hence, it is proved that our proposed model, BCDecNet, achieved superior accuracy than all Other hybrid approaches.




Fig.4. Confusion matrix of BCDecNet model.




Figure 5. Training accuracy and loss of BCDecNet Model.

c. Comparative analysis:

This section of the articles compares our result with previous studies. This section of the articles compares our result with previous studies. We have compared our result with three base papers depicted in table 4. The proposed BCDecNet model achieved highest accuracy of 97.33% in case of breast cancer detection.

Table 4. Comparative Analysis with Previous Studies.

Work	Method	Dataset	Classification	Accuracy
Yan et al., [19]	Hybrid deep neural network	BACH	4-class	91.3%
Senan et al., [20]	Alexnet	BreaKHis	2-class	95.0%
Hu et al., [21]	CNN(ResHist)	BACH	2-class	92.50%
Proposed work	BCDecNet	Custom dataset	2-class	97.33%

B. Discussion

The presented research addresses the critical need for accurate and timely detection of breast cancer, a prevalent and potentially life-threatening disease. The study underscores the global impact of breast cancer, emphasizing its status as the most common disease affecting women and a leading cause of morbidity and mortality. The importance of early detection is highlighted, as it can significantly reduce mortality rates and prevent further harm.

The traditional methods for breast cancer identification are acknowledged for their drawbacks, primarily in terms of time and cost. To overcome these challenges, the research introduces the BCDecNet model, specifically designed for accurate and early detection of breast cancer using histopathological images. The model architecture, consisting of eleven layers, demonstrates a thoughtful combination of convolution layers, fully connected layers, activation functions like Leaky ReLU (LR) and ReLU, pooling layers, batch normalization layers, and dropout layers. This comprehensive architecture aims to effectively capture and process information from histopathological images.

The choice of utilizing Kaggle's online repository for image-based data showcases a practical approach to dataset selection. The proposed BCDecNet model exhibits impressive performance metrics, achieving 97.33% accuracy, 96% precision, 96.5% recall, and 96.25% F1 score. These results highlight the model's efficacy in correctly identifying and classifying instances of breast cancer.

Comparative analysis with other hybrid approaches further strengthens the research findings. The BCDecNet model outperforms these approaches, demonstrating its superiority in breast cancer detection, particularly at early stages. The discussion could delve into the specific strengths of BCDecNet that contribute to its superior performance, such as the effectiveness of the chosen layers, the role of activation functions, and the impact of dropout layers on model generalization.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we have used newly developed BCDecNet model and various hybrid approaches i.e., Alexnet, Shufflenet, Squeezenet, Inceptionresnetv2, Inceptionv3, Darknet19, Resnet18 for breast cancer detection using histopathological images and compared their results. By comparing, BCDecNet model gives us the best performance for breast cancer detection. In the future, we will classify breast cancer into benign, malignant, insitu and invasive carcinoma and also to their subtypes. The prognosis and treatment options for various breast tumor types and subtypes can vary. Furthermore, we are planning to develop our own dataset by visiting various hospitals and consult with medical experts. After collecting dataset, we will use transformers with hybrid deep learning models to improve the classification and detection result.

References

1. Stewart, B. W. & Wild, C. World cancer report 2014. International agency for research on cancer. World Health Organization 505 (2014).
2. Alison Chetlen, Julie Mack, and Tiffany Chan. Breast cancer screening controversies: Who, when, why, and how? *Clinical Imaging*, 40(2):279–282, 2016.

-
3. G. Zaman Khan *et al.*, "Intelligent Systems for early malaria disease detection in patient cells using transfer learning approaches," *2023 4th International Conference on Computing, Mathematics and Engineering Technologies (iCoMET)*, 2023. doi:10.1109/icomet57998.2023.10099260
 4. J. Ramos-Vara, "Principles and methods of immunohistochemistry," in *Drug Safety Evaluation*, vol. 691, J. Gautier, Ed. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2011, pp. 83–96.
 5. X. Qi, *et al.* Computer-aided diagnosis of breast cancer in ultrasonography images by deep learning. *Neurocomputing*, 472 (2022), pp. 152-165
 6. Y. Benhammou, *et al.* BreakHis based breast cancer automatic diagnosis using deep learning: taxonomy, survey and insights. *Neurocomputing*, 375 (2020), pp. 9-24
 7. [http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-0255\(18\)31046-6/sbref0008](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0020-0255(18)31046-6/sbref0008).
 8. Chaurasia, V.; Pal, S.; Tiwari, B.B. Prediction of benign and malignant breast cancer using data mining techniques. *J. Algorithms Comput. Technol.* 2018, 12, 119–126. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef][Green Version]
 9. Gerasimova-Chechkina, E.; Toner, B.; Marin, Z.; Audit, B.; Roux, S.G.; Argoul, F.; Arneodo, A. Combining multifractal analyses of digital mammograms and infrared thermograms to assist in early breast cancer diagnosis. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*; AIP Publishing LLC: Melville, NY, USA, 2016; Volume 1760, p. 020018. [Google Scholar]
 10. Bhardwaj, A.; Tiwari, A. Breast cancer diagnosis using genetically optimized neural network model. *Expert Syst. Appl.* 2015, 42, 4611–4620. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef].
 11. Alfian, G.; Syafrudin, M.; Fahrurrozi, I.; Fitriyani, N.L.; Atmaji, F.T.D.; Widodo, T.; Rhee, J. Predicting Breast Cancer from Risk Factors Using SVM and Extra-Trees-Based Feature Selection Method. *Computers* 2022, 11, 136. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef].
 12. Safdar, S.; Rizwan, M.; Gadekallu, T.R.; Javed, A.R.; Rahmani, M.K.I.; Jawad, K.; Bhatia, S. Bio-Imaging-Based Machine Learning Algorithm for Breast Cancer Detection. *Diagnostics* 2022, 12, 1134. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed].
 13. Mohamed, E.A.; Rashed, E.A.; Gaber, T.; Karam, O. Deep learning model for fully automated breast cancer detection system from thermograms. *PLoS ONE* 2022, 17, e0262349. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef].
 14. S. Gc, R. Kasaudhan, T. K. Heo, and H.D. Choi, "Variability Measurement for Breast Cancer Classification Mammographic adaptive and convergent systems (RACS), Prague, Czech Republic, 2015, pp. 177–182.
 15. Rasti, R.; Teshnehlab, M.; Phung, S.L. Breast cancer diagnosis in DCE-MRI using mixture ensemble of convolutional neural networks. *Pattern Recognit.* 2017, 72, 381–390. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef][Green Version]
 16. Gul Zaman Khan and Inam Ullahx, "Efficient technique for monkeypox skin disease classification with clinical data using pre-trained models," *Journal of Innovative Image Processing*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 192–213, 2023. doi:10.36548/jiip.2023.2.009
 17. Kaggle.(2023) Available online at: <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/akhilbs/breastcancer>
 18. G. Zaman Khan *et al.*, "An efficient deep learning model based diagnosis system for lung cancer disease," *2023 4th International Conference on Computing, Mathematics and Engineering Technologies (iCoMET)*, 2023. doi:10.1109/icomet57998.2023.10099357
 19. Gour, Mahesh & Jain, Sweta & Kumar, T..(2020). Residual learning based CNN for breast cancer histopathological image classification. *International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology*. 30. 10.1002/ima.22403.
 20. Yan, R., Ren, F., Wang, Z., Wang, L., Zhang, T., Liu, Y., Rao, X., Zheng, C. and Zhang, F., 2020. Breast cancer histopathological image classification using a hybrid deep neural network. *Methods*, 173, pp.52-60.
 21. Senan *et al.* (2021) Senan EM, Alsaade FW, Al-Mashhadani MIA, Aldhyani T, Al-Adhaileh MH. Classification of histopathological images for early detection of breast cancer using deep learning. *Journal of Applied Science and Engineering*. 2021;24(3):323–329. doi: 10.6180/jase.202106_24(3).0007