



Proceeding Paper

# Improving Food Security in Rural Communities through Livelihood Resilience: A Multidimensional Approach <sup>†</sup>

Naser Shafieisabet \* and Neginsadat Mirvahedi

Department of Human Geography and Spatial Planning, Faculty of Earth Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran 19839-69411, Iran; negin.mirvahedi1@gmail.com

\* Correspondence: nshsabet@yahoo.com

<sup>†</sup> Presented at the 4th International Electronic Conference on Foods, 15–30 October 2023; Available online: <https://foods2023.sciforum.net/>.

**Abstract:** In light of historical risks and the need for resilience, global strategies have shifted towards empowering rural communities to enhance their food security. This study investigates the integration of livelihood resilience and food security through a systematic literature review. Analyzing a substantial portion of the reviewed studies, our findings consistently reveal that livelihood resilience strategies are strongly associated with reduced food insecurity levels in rural settings. By exploring the core components driving this relationship, this research informs policymakers, organizations, and community leaders on effective strategies to strengthen food systems and overall well-being in rural regions. Integrating quantitative evidence and qualitative insights, this study underscores the pivotal role of livelihood resilience in fortifying food security, offering evidence-based recommendations for rural development policies and programs.

**Keywords:** livelihood resilience; food security; systematic review; sustainable agriculture; sustainable rural development

## 1. Introduction

Rural communities, often characterized by their reliance on agriculture and limited access to resources, have persistently grappled with a spectrum of vulnerabilities [1,2]. Historically, efforts to address these vulnerabilities largely focused on reducing risks [3,4]. However, in the contemporary landscape marked by dynamic global challenges, there has been a discernible shift in approaches, emphasizing the cultivation of resilience as a means to empower these communities [5–7]. This shift recognizes that vulnerability reduction alone may not suffice in the face of multifaceted threats [8–10]. One such threat looming large is food insecurity, a complex issue influenced by macro and micro-level factors [11–13]. It is within this context that the integration of livelihood resilience and food security emerges as a promising avenue, one that combines economic diversification, social support, and sustainable environmental practices to bolster food security and overall well-being in rural regions [14,15]. Consequently, a critical inquiry arises: How can the implementation of a livelihood resilience approach effectively elevate food security in rural communities? Understanding the nuanced relationship between livelihood resilience and food security, including the key components and mechanisms at play, is crucial for crafting effective policies, programs, and interventions tailored to the unique challenges faced by rural communities. This research endeavors to explore this relationship through a systematic literature review, and aims to provide insights and recommendations for addressing food security challenges in rural contexts.

**Citation:** Shafieisabet, N.; Mirvahedi, N. Improving Food Security in Rural Communities through Livelihood Resilience: A Multidimensional Approach. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum* **2023**, *26*, x. <https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx>

Academic Editor(s): Name

Published: date



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 2. Methods

Our research employs a systematic literature review to explore the interplay between livelihood resilience and food security in rural areas. The study follows a structured five-stage approach: (1) framing the research question, (2) concept redefinition and hierarchical structuring, (3) categorization and validation, (4) synthesis, and (5) contextual application. In the initial phase, we systematically query reputable scientific databases, using keywords like “Food Security”, “Rural Community”, and “Livelihood Resilience” from 2000 to 2023. The subsequent stage employs open coding to extract fundamental concepts from selected sources, followed by axial coding to classify these concepts into primary categories. The validation phase incorporates processual inference to transition from events to underlying structures and mechanisms. Synthesis amalgamates key findings related to factors and mechanisms to offer a holistic understanding. Finally, the contextual application phase constructs a cogent and comprehensive explanatory framework for identified factors and mechanisms.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The relationship between livelihood resilience and food security is a complex and multifaceted one, as evidenced by the various subcategories that emerged from our review of the literature. Livelihood resilience, defined as the ability of individuals and communities to withstand and recover from stresses and shocks, plays a critical role in enhancing food security. The classification presented in Table 1 outlines the primary subcategories under discussion. In the following sections, we will elucidate the role of livelihood resilience in enhancing food security.

**Table 1.** Classification of Studies on Livelihood Resilience and Food Security: Codes, Subcategories, and Categories.

Category	Subcategory	Codes	References
Environmental Factors	Climate Change	Climatic Stressors, Climate Change, Adverse Changes	[2,5,9,12,15–25]
	Natural Disasters	Natural Disasters, Groundwater Adaptation, Drought-Induced Shocks	[2,5,6,15,23,25–30]
Livelihood Strategies	Diversifying Income	Diversifying Portfolios, Income Diversity	[2,5,6,15,17,20,21,23,25–27,29,30]
	Asset Management	Livelihood Assets, Capital Access, Financial Capital	[3,16,17]
	Sustainable Practices	Sustainable Management and Conservation, Agroforestry, Crop Landraces, Water-Saving Technology	[8,13,29–31]
	Adaptation Measures	Adaptation Strategies, Resilience Framework	[4,9,32,33]
Community and Social	Social Networks and Trust	Trust and Cooperation, Social Capital	[13,19,34]
	Government and Policy Support	Government Guidance, Social Behavior and Learning	[3,8,10]
Economic Stability	Economic Development	Economic Development, Economic Stability, Economic Well-being	[7,16,35,36]
Resource Accessibility	Access to Resources	Resource Accessibility, Resource Availability, Capital Access, Food Production, Sustainable Practices	[3,11,13,15,17,19,21,29,30,37–43]
Adaptation to Change	Adaptive Capacity	Adaptive Capacity, Resilience Framework	[4,17,28,33,44,45]
	Vulnerability and Coping Strategies	Vulnerability and Climate Change, Livelihood Practices	[25,36,46]
	Learning and Capacity Building	Adaptive Capacity, Learning Capacity	[8,10,17,27,45]

**Environmental Factors:** Climate change and natural disasters are pivotal factors that impact both livelihoods and food security. Livelihood resilience equips individuals and communities with the adaptive capacity to cope with climatic stressors and adverse changes in climate. It enables them to adapt to natural disasters, such as droughts, floods, and groundwater depletion, which can disrupt food production and access. By

implementing sustainable practices like water-saving technologies, agroforestry, and crop landraces, livelihoods become more robust in the face of environmental challenges, ultimately ensuring the availability and stability of food sources.

**Livelihood Strategies:** Diversifying income sources and effectively managing livelihood assets are integral components of increasing food security. Livelihood resilience allows for the diversifying of income through portfolios and income diversity, reducing vulnerability to economic fluctuations. Furthermore, asset management ensures that households have capital access and financial capital, enabling them to invest in food production and access. Sustainable practices, including agroforestry and water-saving technologies, contribute to enhanced agricultural production, directly impacting food security.

**Community and Social Factors:** Community and social networks are vital for enhancing food security. Social capital, trust, cooperation, and networks enable individuals to access resources, share knowledge, and build trust, all of which are essential for food security. Government and policy support can provide critical guidance and resources to bolster livelihood resilience, indirectly benefiting food security.

**Economic Stability:** Economic development and stability are intertwined with food security. Livelihood resilience fosters economic development and stability, leading to economic well-being. Stable economic conditions directly affect food access and availability for households.

**Resource Accessibility:** Access to resources, including natural resources and capital, is facilitated by livelihood resilience. Capital access enables investments in food production and access, while sustainable practices ensure the efficient use of resources like land and water.

**Adaptation to Change:** Adaptive capacity is a cornerstone of livelihood resilience. It enables individuals and communities to adapt to changing circumstances, including environmental and socio-economic stressors. Vulnerability is reduced through coping strategies, and learning and capacity building provide the skills and knowledge needed to enhance food security.

In summary, livelihood resilience is a multifaceted concept that directly and indirectly contributes to increased food security. It enables individuals and communities to navigate environmental, economic, and social challenges, ensuring access to sufficient, safe, and nutritious food. By adopting sustainable practices, diversifying income sources, building social capital, and promoting economic stability, livelihood resilience empowers communities to thrive in the face of adversity, ultimately leading to improved food security for all.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this comprehensive review of 44 studies, we shed light on the intricate nexus between livelihood resilience and food security in rural areas. Our inquiry addressed the effective integration of livelihood resilience strategies to enhance food security, yielding several noteworthy conclusions. Our findings underscore the central role of livelihood resilience in fortifying food security in rural contexts. By embracing strategies that fortify livelihood resilience, communities can substantially improve their access to ample, safe, and nutritious food. This harmonious relationship is underpinned by a multifaceted framework, elucidating intricate mechanisms. Our synthesis reveals the pivotal role of adaptive capacity in communities facing climatic stressors and climate change. Communities with robust adaptive capacity effectively counter adverse weather patterns, amplifying food security. Strategies such as diversifying livelihoods, fostering social capital, and cultivating trust among community members contribute significantly to this adaptive capacity. Furthermore, access to critical resources, including financial and natural capital, emerges as a decisive factor in enhancing food security. Improved access to these resources empowers rural households to secure their livelihoods, enhancing food security. Sustainable resource management and conservation, particularly in agriculture and

ecosystems, prove instrumental in this regard. Social aspects of resilience, behavioral adaptability, and learning capacity are pivotal drivers of food security within the livelihood resilience paradigm. The capacity of rural communities to learn from experience, adapt to change, and engage in social learning significantly bolsters food availability, access, and utilization. In the context of mitigating shocks and disturbances, diverse adaptation strategies and resource accessibility significantly enhance food security. Strategies enabling rural populations to navigate challenges like drought-induced shocks and natural disasters play a pivotal role in ensuring consistent food access. Capacity to transition to alternative livelihoods, harness water-saving technology, and optimize production structures enhances resilience and safeguards food security. Livelihood resilience transcends mere economic stability; it encompasses broader dimensions of economic development, social well-being, and community welfare. Studies consistently reveal that bolstering livelihood resilience yields comprehensive improvements in quality of life, with profound implications for food security. In summary, our systematic review underscores the intrinsic link between livelihood resilience and food security in rural communities. Through interconnected mechanisms and strategies, livelihood resilience emerges as a potent means of enhancing food security by enabling communities to navigate challenges, adapt to changing circumstances, and ensure food resource availability and access. As the global community grapples with climate change, economic volatility, and resource scarcity, the imperative of implementing livelihood resilience approaches becomes increasingly evident. This is not a theoretical framework but a pragmatic path toward achieving sustainable food security for vulnerable rural populations.

**Author Contributions:** All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:**

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Shafieisabet, N.; Mirvahedi, N. Benefits of rural-urban interactions for sustainable food security in Iran. *Hum. Geogr.* **2022**, *16*, 19–31. <https://doi.org/10.5719/hgeo.2022.161.2>.
2. Wassie, S.B.; Mengistu, D.A.; Birlie, A.B. Agricultural livelihood resilience in the face of recurring droughts: Empirical evidence from northeast Ethiopia. *Heliyon* **2023**, *9*, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16422>.
3. Sun, Y.; Wang, Y.H.; Huang, C.; Tan, R.H.; Cai, J.H. Measuring farmers' sustainable livelihood resilience in the context of poverty alleviation: A case study from Fugong County, China. *Hum. Soc. Sci. Commun.* **2023**, *10*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01575-4>.
4. Manuel, J.; Rivera-Ferre, M.G.; Lopez-i-Gelats, F. Contributions of a feminist perspective to the analysis of farm viability: The livelihoods reproduction framework. *J. Peasant. Stud.* **2023**, *27*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2023.2210500>.
5. Hossain, M.R.; Khan, M.A. Impact of Household Interventions on Homestead Biodiversity Management and Household Livelihood Resilience: An Intertemporal Analysis from Bangladesh. *Small-Scale For.* **2023**, *22*, 481–510. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11842-023-09540-4>.
6. Duan, Y.F.; Chen, S.P.; Zeng, Y.; Wang, X.T. Factors That Influence the Livelihood Resilience of Flood Control Project Resettlers: Evidence from the Lower Yellow River, China. *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032671>.
7. Chen, S.J.; Wu, J.; Zhou, K.X.; Li, R. Livelihood resilience and livelihood construction path of China's rural reservoir resettled households in the energy transition. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2023**, *6*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2022.1046761>.
8. Zada, M.; Zada, S.; Ali, M.; Zhang, Y.J.; Begum, A.; Han, H.; Ariza-Montes, A.; Araya-Castillo, L. Contribution of Small-Scale Agroforestry to Local Economic Development and Livelihood Resilience: Evidence from Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province (KPK), Pakistan. *Land* **2022**, *11*, 21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land11010071>.
9. Hossain, B.; Shi, G.Q.; Ajiang, C.; Sarker, M.N.I.; Sohel, M.S.; Sun, Z.G.; Yang, Q. Climate change induced human displacement in Bangladesh: Implications on the livelihood of displaced riverine island dwellers and their adaptation strategies. *Front. Psychol.* **2022**, *13*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.964648>.

10. Amadu, I.; Armah, F.A.; Aheto, D.W.; Adongo, C.A. A study on livelihood resilience in the small-scale fisheries of Ghana using a structural equation modelling approach. *Ocean Coast. Manag.* **2021**, *215*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2021.105952>.
11. Gong, Y.C.; Zhang, R.L.; Yao, K.W.; Liu, B.W.; Wang, F.L. A Livelihood Resilience Measurement Framework for Dam-Induced Displacement and Resettlement. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12113191>.
12. Fachrista, I.A.; Irham; Masyhuri; Suryantini, A. Livelihood Resilience of Vegetable Farmers: Efficacy of Organic Farming in Dealing with Climate Change in Java, Indonesia. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* **2019**, *17*, 11209–11232. [https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1705\\_1120911232](https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1705_1120911232).
13. Ranjan, R. Combining Social Capital and Technology for Drought Resilience in Agriculture. *Nat. Resour. Model.* **2014**, *27*, 104–127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nrm.12021>.
14. Shafieisabet, N.; Mirvahedi, N. The role of rural–urban linkages in perceived environmental effects of farmers for participation in sustainable food security plans. *Agric. Food Secur.* **2021**, *10*, 46. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-021-00317-6>.
15. Fang, Y.P.; Zhu, F.B.A.; Qiu, X.P.; Zhao, S. Effects of natural disasters on livelihood resilience of rural residents in Sichuan. *Habitat Int.* **2018**, *76*, 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2018.05.004>.
16. Uy, N.; Takeuchi, Y.; Shaw, R. Local adaptation for livelihood resilience in Albay, Philippines. *Environ. Hazards* **2011**, *10*, 139–153. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17477891.2011.579338>.
17. Thulstrup, A.W. Livelihood Resilience and Adaptive Capacity: Tracing Changes in Household Access to Capital in Central Vietnam. *World Dev.* **2015**, *74*, 352–362. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.05.019>.
18. Tanner, T.; Lewis, D.; Wrathall, D.; Bronen, R.; Cradock-Henry, N.; Huq, S.; Lawless, C.; Nawrotzki, R.; Prasad, V.; Rahman, M.A.; et al. Livelihood resilience in the face of climate change. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **2015**, *5*, 23–26. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2431>.
19. Pagnani, T.; Gotor, E.; Caracciolo, F. Adaptive strategies enhance smallholders' livelihood resilience in Bihar, India. *Food Secur.* **2021**, *13*, 419–437. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-020-01110-2>.
20. Xiao, Y.C.; Liu, S.L.; Zuo, J.Y.; Yin, N.L.; Wu, J.L.; Xie, W.H. Farmer Households' Livelihood Resilience in Ethnic Tourism Villages: A Case Study of the Wuling Mountain Area, China. *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15010662>.
21. Zhou, W.F.; Guo, S.L.; Deng, X.; Xu, D.D. Livelihood resilience and strategies of rural residents of earthquake-threatened areas in Sichuan Province, China. *Nat. Hazards* **2021**, *106*, 255–275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04460-4>.
22. Dongdong, Y.; Xi, Y.; Weihong, S. How do ecological vulnerability and disaster shocks affect livelihood resilience building of farmers and herdsman: An empirical study based on CNMASS data. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **2022**, *10*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.998527>.
23. Liu, H.; Pan, W.L.; Su, F.; Huang, J.Y.; Luo, J.Q.; Tong, L.; Fang, X.; Fu, J.Y. Livelihood Resilience of Rural Residents under Natural Disasters in China. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14148540>.
24. Pelletier, B.; Hickey, G.M.; Bothi, K.L.; Mude, A. Linking rural livelihood resilience and food security: An international challenge. *Food Secur.* **2016**, *8*, 469–476. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-016-0576-8>.
25. Sarker, M.N.I.; Cao, Q.; Wu, M.; Hossain, M.A.; Alam, G.M.M.; Shouse, R.C. Vulnerability and Livelihood Resilience in the Face of Natural Disaster: A Critical Conceptual Review. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* **2019**, *17*, 12769–12785. [https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1706\\_1276912785](https://doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1706_1276912785).
26. Muktar, B.G.; Man, N.; Saleh, J.M.; Daneji, M.I. Evaluation of ICTs access, use and preferences for livelihood resilience: Results from a survey of Malaysian fisher folks. *J. Agric. Educ. Ext.* **2018**, *24*, 377–388. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1389224x.2018.1479279>.
27. Luo, X.; Zhang, C.M.; Song, J.H.; Qiu, Z.S.; Li, W.Z.; Wang, W. Do Livelihood Strategies Affect the Livelihood Resilience of Farm Households in Flooded Areas? Evidence From Hubei Province, China. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* **2022**, *10*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2022.909172>.
28. Ranjan, R. Natural Resource Sustainability versus Livelihood Resilience: Model of Groundwater Exploitation Strategies in Developing Regions. *J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag.-ASCE* **2012**, *138*, 512–522. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)wr.1943-5452.0000201](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)wr.1943-5452.0000201).
29. Sina, D.; Chang-Richards, A.Y.; Wilkinson, S.; Potangaroa, R. What does the future hold for relocated communities post-disaster? Factors affecting livelihood resilience. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.* **2019**, *34*, 173–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.11.015>.
30. Singh, A.; Singh, R.K.; Kumar, N.; Kumar, S.; Sheoran, P.; Singh, D.; Kumar, S.; Sharma, P.C. Adapting to Social-Ecological Risks to the Conservation of a Muskmelon Landrace in India. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14169880>.
31. Siyum, Z.G. Tropical dry forest dynamics in the context of climate change: Syntheses of drivers, gaps, and management perspectives. *Ecol. Process.* **2020**, *9*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-020-00229-6>.
32. Ayeb-Karlsson, S.; van der Geest, K.; Ahmed, I.; Huq, S.; Warner, K. A people-centred perspective on climate change, environmental stress, and livelihood resilience in Bangladesh. *Sustain. Sci.* **2016**, *11*, 679–694. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-016-0379-z>.
33. Mallick, B. The Nexus between Socio-Ecological System, Livelihood Resilience, and Migration Decisions: Empirical Evidence from Bangladesh. *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11123332>.
34. Kriegl, M.; Kluger, L.C.; Gorris, P.; Kochalski, S. Coastal livelihood resilience to abrupt environmental change: The role of social capital in a Peruvian bay. *Reg. Envir. Chang.* **2022**, *22*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-022-01959-3>.
35. Shepherd, G.; Warner, K.; Hogarth, N. Forests and poverty: How has our understanding of the relationship been changed by experience? *Int. For. Rev.* **2020**, *22*, 29–43. <https://doi.org/10.1505/146554820829523907>.
36. Nasrnia, F.; Ashktorab, N. Sustainable livelihood framework-based assessment of drought resilience patterns of rural households of Bakhtegan basin, Iran. *Ecol. Indic.* **2021**, *128*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.107817>.

37. Sun, S.B.Y.; Geng, Y.D. Livelihood Resilience and Its Influencing Factors of Worker Households in the Face of State-Owned Forest Areas Reform in China. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031328>.
38. Galarza-Villamar, J.A.; Leeuwis, C.; Pila-Quinga, G.M.; Cecchi, F.; Parraga-Lema, C.M. Local understanding of disaster risk and livelihood resilience: The case of rice smallholders and floods in Ecuador. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.* **2018**, *31*, 1107–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.08.009>.
39. Gautam, Y. Seasonal Migration and Livelihood Resilience in the Face of Climate Change in Nepal. *Mt. Res. Dev.* **2017**, *37*, 436–445. <https://doi.org/10.1659/mrd-journal-d-17-00035.1>.
40. Hidayat, A.S.; Rajiani, I.; Arisanty, D. Sustainability of Floodplain Wetland Fisheries of Rural Indonesia: Does Culture Enhance Livelihood Resilience? *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142114461>.
41. Li, E.R.; Deng, Q.Q.; Zhou, Y. Livelihood resilience and the generative mechanism of rural households out of poverty: An empirical analysis from Lankao County, Henan Province, China. *J. Rural Stud.* **2022**, *93*, 210–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2019.01.005>.
42. Ranjan, R. Mathematical Modeling of Drought Resilience in Agriculture. *Nat. Resour. Model.* **2013**, *26*, 237–258. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1939-7445.2012.00136.x>.
43. Speranza, C.I.; Wiesmann, U.; Rist, S. An indicator framework for assessing livelihood resilience in the context of social-ecological dynamics. *Glob. Environ. Change-Hum. Policy Dimens.* **2014**, *28*, 109–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.06.005>.
44. Sarkki, S.; Komu, T.; Heikkinen, H.I.; Garcia, N.A.; Lepy, E.; Herva, V.P. Applying a synthetic approach to the resilience of Finnish reindeer herding as a changing livelihood. *Ecol. Soc.* **2016**, *21*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.5751/es-08819-210414>.
45. Campbell, D. Environmental change and the livelihood resilience of coffee farmers in Jamaica: A case study of the Cedar Valley farming region. *J. Rural Stud.* **2021**, *81*, 220–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2020.10.027>.
46. Marschke, M.J.; Berkes, F. Exploring strategies that build livelihood resilience: A case from Cambodia. *Ecol. Soc.* **2006**, *11*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-01730-110142>.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.