## IECAG 2024 Conference

### EFFECTS OF FERTILIZATION ON PHENOLIC AND GLUCOSINOLATE LEVELS IN DIPLOTAXIS TENUIFOLIA (L.) DC HYBRID VENERE

Sofija Kilibarda<sup>1\*</sup>, Danijel D. Milinčić<sup>2</sup>, Sandra Vuković<sup>1</sup>, Đorđe Moravčević<sup>1</sup>, Mirjana B. Pešić<sup>2</sup>, Aleksandar Ž. Kostić<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Chair of Crop and Vegetable production, Nemanjina 6, 11080 Belgrade, Serbia <sup>2</sup> University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, Chair of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Nemanjina 6, 11080, Belgrade, Serbia

\*email: sofija.kilibarda@agrif.bg.ac.rs

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **MATERIAL and METHODS**

*Diplotaxis tenuifolia* (L.) DC, known as wild rocket, is a perennial herb that features the hybrid Venere, which offers enhanced resilience to lower temperatures, and improved flavor and texture. Rich in phenolics, and glucosinolates (GLSs), this variety is highly valued for its peppery, nutty leaves, making it a popular choice in gastronomy.



This study aimed to assess the impact of the biostimulant Kelpak, along with iron and potassium-enriched foliar fertilizers, on the levels of phenolics and GLSs in the leaves of Venere hybrid, compared to an untreated control group. To achieve this, twenty-three plant phenolics and four glucosinolates were quantified in 70% methanol extracts using an ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) system coupled with quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Q-ToF-MS).

#### **RESULTS and DISSCUSION**

Table 1. Quantification of phenolic compounds (mg/100g), in Venere hybrid leaves samples

	1				
Venere hybrid	Treatments				
Compound name	Control	ге	K	кеграк	
Compound name					
Phenolic acid and derivatives	22.10	24.25	12.42	26.04	
Dibudrovubanzaic acid bayacidab	52.10	24.25	12.45	20.94	
Coffeie acid hexecide <sup>b</sup>	14.44	15.50	6 10	10.25	
Carreic acid nexoside*	10.09	4.47	0.19	1 29	
n Coumoria acid hovoside	5.58	4.57	1.27	1.38	
Sinanic acid hovoside <sup>b</sup>	1.51	1.45 6 70	1.19	2.51	
	- 1 00	1.02	- 1 10	4.21	
	62 59	<b>E9 0E</b>	24.44	66 10	
Elavonols and derivatives	05.58	50.55	54.44	00.19	
Ouercetin derivatives					
Quercetin 2.7 <i>A</i> '-tri O hovosido <sup>c</sup>	65.27	22.97	11 12	12.19	
Quercetin-3-0-[2"-(6"-sinapovl	05.57	55.62	44.45	42.40	
heroside)-6"-heroside) 7 0 heroside	- )	11.96	4.99	8.15	100
Quercetin-3 /' di Q bevocido 2' Q (6"					
cinanovil) hovosido	70.06	57.37	50.59	65.82	1
Ouercetin 2 4' di O bevecide 2' O (6"					
foruloul) hoveside	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>1.72</td><td>-</td><td></td></loq<>	-	1.72	-	
Quercetin 2 Q (2" sineney! heyeside)					
Querceun-5-0-(2 -sinapoyi-nexoside)-	20.02	10.20	25.01	26.72	
3-0-(6 -sinapoyi-nexoside)-4'-0-	29.98	19.26	35.01	26.72	
Quercetin-3-0-(2"-feruloyi-hexoside)-	4.75	0.70	2.25	2.20	
3'-O-(6"-sinapoyl-hexoside)-4'-O-	4.75	0.78	3.25	3.36	
hexoside					
Quercetina	<loq< td=""><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td></td></loq<>	-	-	-	
Σ	170.16	123.18	139.98	146.54	
Kaempferol and Isorhamnetin derivatives					
Kaempferol-3,7,4'-tri-O-hexoside +	-	-			
HCOOH					Tabl
Kaempferol-3,4'-di-O-hexoside <sup>c</sup>	14.41	-	10.80	9.95	
Isorhamnetin-3-O-hexoside-4'-O-	3.36	1.61	2.52	3.41	
gentobioside <sup>c</sup>	0.00	1.01	2.52	0.11	Van
Isorhamnetin-3,4'-di-O-hexoside <sup>c</sup>	28.87	-	42.73	-	Ven
Σ	46.64	1.61	56.05	13.36	
Other detected compounds					
1,8-Dipropoxyanthraquinone <sup>c</sup>	54.85	60.17	52.28	41.20	Glu
Sinapic acid derivative <sup>b</sup>	-	1.28	0.51	1.00	
Quercetin derivative <sup>c</sup>	17.12	21.22	11.43	15.84	Glu
Quercetin derivative <sup>c</sup>	5.64	3.12	6.76	8.22	
Kaempferol derivative <sup>c</sup>	10.21	2.03	1.45	4.01	Neog
Σ	87.82	87.81	72.42	70.27	Neogl
ΣΣ	368.19	271.55	302.89	296.35	DM

e results revealed that quercetin rivatives were the dominant phenolics. otably, quercetin-3,4'-di-O-hexoside-3'-O--sinapoyl)-hexoside ranged from 505.9 700.6 mg/kg FW across treatments, and ercetin 3,7,4'-tri-O-hexoside ranged from 4.8 to 653.7 mg/kg FW, with both mpounds being more abundant in the ntrol group compared to the treated nples. This trend was mirrored in the al phenolic content, which was higher in control (3681.9 mg/kg FW) compared the treated leaves. Conversely, the tilized plants exhibited increased relative ntent of the dominant GLSs, cosativin, which reached its highest ncentration in potassium-treated plants 9.5%). Other GLSs, such as glucoerucin, oglucobrassicin, and DMB-GLS, also owed variations, with untreated samples nerally having higher relative content. e exception was neoglucobrassicin, hich exhibited a slight increase in leaves ated with Kelpak. Ultimately, tilization practices were effective in difying the phytochemical content and hancing specific compound levels of ld rocket leaves.

# Fable 2. Relative content of glucosinolates (GLSs) (%) inrocket leaves samples

	Treatments						
Venere hybrid	Control	Fe	К	Kelpak			
(GLSs)							
Glucosativin	68.9	88.7	89.5	78.9			
Glucoerucin	26.1	7.9	6.4	15.8			
Neoglucobrassicin	2.2	1.4	2.1	3.5			
DMB-GLS	2.8	2.0	2.0	1.8			