



1 Article

# Exploiting carbon and nitrogen compounds for enhanced energy and resource recovery

4 Bahareh Kokabian <sup>1</sup>, Veera Gnaneswar Gude <sup>2\*</sup>

5 Civil & Environmental Engineering Department, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762

6 \* Correspondence: gude@cee.msstate.edu; Tel.: +1-662-325-0345

7

8 Abstract: Microbial desalination cells (MDCs), a recent technological discovery, allow for 9 simultaneous wastewater treatment and desalination of saline water with concurrent electricity 10 production. The premise for MDC performance is based on the principles that bioelectrochemical 11 (BES) systems convert wastewaters into treated effluents accompanied by electricity production and 12 the ionic species migration (i.e. protons) within the system facilitates desalination. One major 13 drawback with microbial desalination cells (MDCs) technology is its unsustainable cathode chamber 14 where expensive catalysts and toxic chemicals are employed for electricity generation. Introducing 15 biological cathodes may enhance the system performance in an environmentally-sustainable manner. 16 This study describes the use of autothrophic microorganism such as algae and Anammox bacteria as 17 sustainable biocatalyst/biocathode in MDCs. Three different process configurations of photosynthetic 18 MDCs (using Chlorella vulgaris) were evaluated for their performance and energy generation 19 potentials. Static (fed-batch, SPMDC), continuous flow (CFPMDC) and a photobioreactor MDC 20 (PBMDC, resembling lagoon type PMDCs) were developed to study the impact of process design on 21 wastewater treatment, electricity generation, nutrient removal, and biomass production and the 22 results indicate that PMDCs can be configured with the aim of maximizing the energy recovery through either biomass production or bioelectricity production. In addition, the microbial 23 24 community analysis of seven different samples from different parts of the anode chamber, disclosed 25 considerable spatial diversity in microbial communities which is a critical factor in sustaining the 26 operation of MDCs. This study provides the first proof of concept that anammox mechanism can be 27 beneficial in enhancing the sustainability of microbial desalination cells to provide simultaneous 28 removal of ammonium from wastewater and contribute in energy generation.

29

Keywords: anammox bacteria, microbial desalination, microalgae, photosynthesis, nutrients,
 bioelectricity

## 32 PACS: J0101

33

# 34 1. Introduction

The energy and water production issues are intertwined and cannot be addressed in isolation [1-4]. Wastewater treatment and desalination, in particular, are energy consuming processes which can have detrimental effects on the environment [3]. Integrated solutions that utilize waste sources to generate energy, which in turn, can be used to produce freshwater are attractive options to address current energy and water issues [5]. In this context, bioelectrochemical systems have evolved as a

#### Journal Name 2016, x, x

40 novel technology to convert wastes into valuable energy [6]. Bioelectrochemical systems can be 41 employed to generate clean electricity, or high value energy or chemical products from various 42 wastewater sources and organic or inorganic wastes that can serve as fuel feedstock for electroactive 43 bacteria [7]. Microbial desalination cells (MDCs) are based on an integrated configuration in which, 44 wastewater and saline water sources can be treated simultaneously without any external power input 45 or mechanical energy or pressure application [8]. This process offers multiple benefits of energy and 46 resource (water and nutrients) recovery while eliminating environmental pollution.

47 Photosynthetic microorganisms can be used in PMDCs for accomplishing proper utilization 48 of carbon and nutrient compounds (Figure 1)[9]. Their role in PMDCs can be further controlled 49 specifically for bioelectricity production or biomass production which depends on the process 50 configuration. Microalgae provide in-situ oxygen production which can serve as an electron acceptor 51 in the electron transfer process while utilizing organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous compounds 52 for growth [10]. On the other hand, conventional removal of nitrogenous compounds by nitrification-53 denitrification process from waste water in waste water treatment plants requires considerable 54 amount of energy and costs. Anammox process which comes from Anaerobic ammonia oxidation 55 (anammox) is an emerging microbial process for conversion of ammonium to nitrogen gas under 56 anaerobic condition with potential energy and cost savings [11]. Authotrophic bacteria create a 57 bypass to oxidize ammonia to nitrogen gas by nitrite omitting the need for organic carbon source. 58 Partially nitrification of ammonia to nitrite instead of nitrate, allows for about 40% saving on energy 59 used for aeration. In addition, due to the authoutrophic nature of these bacteria their growth rate is 60 slow and thus, less biosolids are produced during this process. All of these benefits, make Anammox 61 based nitrogen removal process more cost effectiveness (cost reduction of up to 60%) and less 62 greenhouse gas emission compared to conventional nitrification-denitrification process [12].

63



64

Figure 1. A photosynthetic microbial desalination cell (PMDC)

- 65 66 67
  - This research article presents the preliminary and proof-of-concept studies of photosynthetic
- 68 (microalgal) and autotrophic (anammox bacteria) as biocathodes in microbial desalination cells. The
- 69 following sections describe the experimental details and preliminary results.

#### 70 2. Materials and Methods

71 2.1. MDC configuration and operation

72 Three-chamber inside circular shaped MDCs with 7.2 cm diameter were made using plexi-73 glass. Anion exchange membrane (AEM, AMI7001, Membranes International) separated the anode 74 and the desalination chambers, while cation exchange membrane (CEM, CMI7000, Membranes 75 International) separated the cathode and the desalination compartments. Both membranes were 76 preconditioned by immersing in 5% NaCl solution at 40 °C for 24 h and rinsed with distilled water 77 (DI) water prior to use, to allow for membrane hydration and expansion as recommended by the 78 supplier. Carbon cloth covered with stainless steel mesh were used as electrodes with 16 cm<sup>2</sup> surface 79 area. Prior to use, both electrodes were washed first with 1 N HCl solution and then with 1 N NaOH 80 and finally rinsed with deionized water. The electrodes are then soaked in DI water over a night prior to use to remove any excess residues [13]. Anode and cathode electrodes were connected through a 81 82 titanium wire. The working volume of anode, desalination, and cathode chambers after inserting the 83 electrodes were 37, 28, and 37 mL respectively. Three different operational modes, namely, static 84 (SPMDC, Figure 2a), continuous flow (CFPMDC, Figure 2b), and photobioreactor (PBMDC, Figure 85 2c) were used for photosynthetic MDC to assess its performance in terms of electricity generation, 86 biomass production and nutrient removal capacities. SPMDC was run in batch cycles. In each test, 87 new wastewater, fresh algae medium and fresh salt solution were used in PMDCs. In the continuous 88 mode, the algae catholyte was circulated using a peristaltic pump. Two MDC biocathodes were 89 assembled to the large photo-bioreactor (5 liter volume). This configuration was called Photo-90 bioreactor MDC (PBMDC).

91





95

Figure 2. PMDC process configurations: a) PMDC with an algae biocathode under fed batch (static) operational
 mode (SPMDC); b) PMDC with an algae biocathode under continuous flow operational mode (CPMDC); c)

96 Anammox biomass was provided by Hampton Roads Sanitation District in Virginia and was 97 divided in three bottles under anaerobic condition in the shaker incubator at 35°C and 150 rpm. The 98 culture contained NH4Cl, 382 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; NaNO<sub>2</sub>, 493 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; KHCO<sub>3</sub>, 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; KH2PO<sub>4</sub>, 27 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; 99 FeSO4×7H2O, 9.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; EDTA, 5.0 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; MgSO4 ×7H2O, 240 mg L–1; CaCl2×2H2O, 143 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 100  $300 \mu l$  of trace metal solution. The trace solution contained ZnSO<sub>4</sub>×7H<sub>2</sub>O, 1,247 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; MnSO<sub>4</sub> × H<sub>2</sub>O, 101 1,119 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; CuSO4×5H2O, 44 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; Al<sub>2</sub>(SO4)<sub>3</sub>× 14H<sub>2</sub>O, 201.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; Na<sub>2</sub>MoO4×2H<sub>2</sub>O, 129 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; 102 CoCl2×6H2O, 30 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; KCl, 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup>; EDTA,975 mg L<sup>-1</sup> that provides micronutrients needed for 103 microbial growth of anammox bacteria [14]. After about two months reactivation process, this sludge 104 was transferred to the cathode chamber of MDC. The anode chamber of MDC was inoculated with 105 30 ml of acclimatized anaerobic sludge.

106

107 2.2. Analyses and calculations

108 The voltage across a 1 k $\Omega$  external resistor was recorded every 15 min by a digital multimeter 109 (Fluke, 287/FVF). The current was calculated using Ohm's law, I = V/R. The power density was 110 calculated (P = V/I) as per the volumes of the anode/cathode chambers. COD tests were carried out 111 using standard methods. The nitrogen, as nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>–N), and phosphorus (PO<sub>4</sub>-3–P) concentrations 112 were measured by colorimetric methods according to the method of Hach (Methods 8039 & 8114). 113 Electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids (TDS) removal, and salinity removal were recorded 114 using a conductivity meter (Extech EC400 ExStik Waterproof Conductivity, TDS, Salinity, and 115 Temperature Meter). The algae concentration was determined by measuring the absorbance of the 116 cell suspension at a wavelength of 620 nm and then converting it to dry weight of biomass in volume 117 by a calibration curve. pH was measured using a pH meter (Orion 720A+ advanced 118 ISE/pH/mV/ORP). Dissolved oxygen was measured using YSI 5100 system. Continuous illumination 119 on the algae cathode chamber was provided by CFL white light at 60W (276 mmol per m2 per second). 120

121

#### 122 3. Results and Discussion

123 3.1. Photosynthetic microbial desalination cells

124 The COD removals in the anode along with pH changes in the cathode chamber for each cycle are 125 shown in Table 1. Low COD removal rates (less than 30%) were observed in these tests. The low COD 126 removal rates in all cycles suggest that substrate limitation was not the reason for voltage drop in the 127 cells, but the decrease in the conductivity of the solution in the middle chamber which increases the 128 ohmic resistance of the cells could be the reason for voltage drop [8]. The other reason could be due 129 to the increase in pH of the cathode solution and increased pH imbalance between the anode and 130 cathode chambers. pH is an important factor in performance of bioelectrochemical systems since it 131 affects the biological activity of the microorganisms [15]. The increase in pH which is typically caused 132 by consumption of the protons and photosynthetic activity of the algae, slows down the ORR rate 133 and is often reported as the limiting factor in power production [16]. In addition to pH, ORR rate is 134 affected by fouling and biofouling which may hinder the transfer of oxygen to the electrode surface. 135 It can be concluded that the performance of the PMDC depends on the photosynthetic activity of the 136 algae and the bioelectrochemical function of the biofilm on the cathode electrode which was reported 137 in our previous study [10]. Due to the high buffer concentration in the anode chamber, the pH did 138 not change significantly; however, the pH in the cathode chamber increased.

139

141

	Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3	Batch 4
COD removal	$31\% \pm 3.4$	$22.5\% \pm 0.18$	29% ± 1.63	$28\% \pm 1.08$
Initial Cathode pH	6.6	6.9	6.7	7.3
Final Cathode pH	10.3	11	10.6	10.83

142

143 The voltage generation profiles for continuous flow PMDC and photobioreactor PMDCs are 144 shown in **Figure 3**.

145





Figure 3. Voltage generation profiles in (a) PMDC with an algae biocathode under continuous flow operational
mode (CPMDC); b) PMDC with an algae biocathode connected to a photo-bioreactor (PBMDC)

149

150 Due to the potential limitation for algae growth in static PMDCs, the cathode chamber of 151 PMDCs was connected with a peristaltic pump to a 1000 mL bioreactor containing algae cells in 152 suspension (Figure 2b). About 100 mg of sodium bicarbonate was added to the algae container with 153 1000 mL of growth medium to provide the inorganic carbon source for photosynthetic activity by 154 algae cells. The electricity generation for continuous flow PMDC (CFPMDC) is shown in Figure 3a. 155 The Maximum voltage obtained during this cycle (20 mV) was lower than SPMDC. It has been 156 reported before that immobilized cells produce higher electricity than suspended algae [17]. This 157 could be due to the improved oxygen reduction with easy electron transfer when algae cells deposit 158 on the surface of the electrode whereas in the continuous mode less amount of cells settled at the 159 surface due to the suspension. This indicates the catalytic role of algae for oxygen reduction beside 160 its role as an oxygen supplier. The catalytic role of algae cells was reported by Cai et al. [18] in a 161 previous study where photosynthetic biocathode generated higher electricity in comparison to the 162 abiotic that was aerated to have the same level of dissolved oxygen (DO). Walter et al. [19] performed 163 cyclic voltammetry analysis of a photo-biocathode and abiotic control electrode and found reduction 164 peak for biocathode whereas no peak was observed for abiotic control electrode.

A new PMDC configuration integrating two PMDCs with one large algae biocathode chamber was developed (Figure 2c). The algae cells were maintained in suspension with a mechanical mixer. This new configuration was named as photo-bioreactor MDC (PBMDC). The advantage of this system is that two MDCs could work at the same time with one common photosynthetic biocathode

169 chamber which may increase the overall efficiency of the system. The cell voltage for this new 170 configuration is depicted in Figure 3b. The electricity generation profiles for the two cells followed a 171 similar pattern. The system reached its maximum cell voltage after 50 hours and could maintain its 172 maximum voltage for almost 50 hours. The maximum cell voltage was still lower than the SPMDC 173 due to the aforementioned reasons in the previous section. The other reason could be due to the very 174 large ratio of the cathode volume to electrode surface which may decrease the efficiency of this 175 system. It has been reported before that scaled MFCs could not generate power as well as smaller 176 scale MFCs due to the higher internal resistance that was created [20]. Our new system however, 177 operated very well in large volume algae production. The concentration of algae cells increased from 178 135 mgl-1 to 362 mgL-1. The system could work longer due to the better design of the system for 179 growth of algae cells compared to CFPMDC. pH and DO profiles are similar to CPMDC.

180

#### 181 3.2. Anammox microbial desalination cells

182 Voltage profiles generated by Anammox MDC (AnxMDC) for three batch experiments are 183 shown in Figure 4. Since we did not provide any chemical catalyst or aeration in the cathode chamber, 184 the production of electricity indicates the effective role of anammox bacteria as biocathode and 185 Nitrite/Nitrate as electron acceptor. Increase of maximum power for the third batch experiment 186 compared to the first and second test demonstrates an improvement in the catalytic activity of the 187 biofilm. The maximum produced voltage was 0.0896 V which is equal to power density of 0.114 W/m<sup>3</sup>. 188 These data highlights the fact that electricity generation by these cells has the potential to improve by 189 several batch tests and better formation of the biofilms on the electrodes. 190





192 Figure 4. Voltage generation by AnxMDC during three batch tests

193

Only 29% of the organic carbon in the anode chamber in the third batch was removed to generate electricity. Coulombic efficiencies and salt removals increased over the three batch tests. The coulombic efficiencies for glucose oxidation were 3.4%, 6.02% and 52.7% respectively for the three batch tests while the coulombic efficiencies for nitrite/nitrate reduction were 17.5%, 35.6% and 99% respectively for the three tests. The improvement in coulombic efficiency over the three batch tests indicates the improvement of microbial growth on electrodes after several batches. Due to the higher electricity production and longer operating time, salinity removal was also higher for the third test.

### 201 4. Conclusions

202 Photosynthetic MDCs (PMDCs) can be operated either in fed-batch, batch or continuous flow 203 conditions for maximizing the energy recovery from wastewater. The findings of this study 204 demonstrate the beneficial use of photosynthetic microorganisms as biocathodes or biocatalysts in 205 microbial desalination cells to produce oxygen, algae biomass and nutrient removal from wastewater. 206 Different efficiencies of PMDC (Static, Continuous and Photobioreactor PMDC) observed in this 207 study show that the design and process configuration play a critical role in the overall efficiency of 208 the system. If harvesting higher biomass is the major target, open large scale systems are more 209 suitable whereas for small systems, closed and static systems are more beneficial. The nutrient 210 removal capability of PMDCs provides the opportunities to utilize agricultural, food and other 211 industrial wastewaters that are rich in nutrients for use as catholyte medium.

212 The study demonstrated the feasibility of using an autotrophic microbial culture containing 213 anammox bacteria as the biocathode of MDC to contribute in simultaneous energy generation and 214 wastewater treatment. Batch experiments improved the coulombic efficiency of the system as well as 215 the nitrite and ammonium removal of the wastewater. A maximum power of 0.114 W/m<sup>3</sup> with more 216 than 90% removal of ammonium was achieved in this system. The finding of this research showed 217 that this system is more useful for wastewaters with low C/N ratio to suppress the possibility for 218 growth of heterotrophic bacteria. The proposed MDC configurations demonstrated great potential to 219 replace conventional energy intensive nutrient removal process while at the same time generating 220 clean energy and water and possibly valuable microalgae biomass.

221

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by the Office of Research and Economic Development
 (ORED), Bagley College of Engineering (BCoE), and the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
 (CEE) at Mississippi State University. The author would like to acknowledge the funding support from
 the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) under P3 (People, Planet, and Prosperity) Awards
 program through the grants <u>SU835721</u> and <u>SU835722</u>.

Author Contributions: Gude V.G. conceived and designed the experiments; B.K. performed the experiments;
B.K analyzed the data; V.G.G and B.K. wrote the paper."

229

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design
 of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in the
 decision to publish the results.

233

# 234 References

- Gude, V. G., Nirmalakhandan, N., & Deng, S. (2010). Renewable and sustainable approaches for
   desalination. Renewable And Sustainable Energy Reviews, 142641-2654.
- Gude, V. G. (2011). Energy consumption and recovery in reverse osmosis. Desalination & Water Treatment,
   36(1-3), 239-260.
- 3. Gude, V. G. (2015a). Energy and water autarky of wastewater treatment and power generation systems.
  Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 45, 52-68.
- 4. Gude, V. G. (2015b). Energy storage for desalination processes powered by renewable energy and waste
  heat sources. Applied Energy, 137, 877-898.
- 243 5. Gude, V. G., Kokabian, B., Gadhamshetty, V. (2013). Beneficial Bioelectrochemical Systems for Energy,
- Water, and Biomass Production. Journal of Microbial & Biochemical TechnologyS6: 005 doi: 10.4172/1948 5948.56-005

Journal Name **2016**, x, x

246	6.	Logan, B. E., & Rabaey, K. (2012). Conversion of wastes into bioelectricity and chemicals by using microbial
247		electrochemical technologies. Science (Washington), 337(6095), 686-690.
248	7.	Kokabian, B., & Gude, V. G. (2015a). Role of membranes in bioelectrochemical systems. Membrane water
249		treatment, 6(1), 53-75
250	8.	Cao, X., Huang, X., Liang, P., Xiao, K., Zhou, Y., Zhang, X., & Logan, B. (2009). A new method for water
251		desalination using microbial desalination cells. Environmental Science And Technology, 43(18), 7148-7152.
252	9.	Kokabian, B., & Gude, V. G. (2013). Photosynthetic microbial desalination cells (PMDCs) for clean energy,
253		water and biomass production. Environmental Science. Processes & Impacts, 15(12), 2178-2185.
254		doi:10.1039/c3em00415e
255	10.	Kokabian, B., & Gude, V. G. (2015b). Sustainable photosynthetic biocathode in microbial desalination cells.
256		Chemical Engineering Journal, 262, 958-965. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2014.10.048
257	11.	Terada, A., Zhou, S., & Hosomi, M. (2011). Presence and detection of anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing
258		(anammox) bacteria and appraisal of anammox process for high-strength nitrogenous wastewater
259		treatment: a review. Clean Technologies & Environmental Policy, 13(6), 759-781. doi:10.1007/s10098-011-
260		0355-3
261	12.	Siegrist, H., Salzgeber, D., Eugster, J., & Joss, A. (2008). Anammox brings WWTP closer to energy autarky
262		due to increased biogas production and reduced aeration energy for N-removal. Water Science $\&$
263		Technology, 57(3), 383-388.
264	13.	Pandit, S., Nayak, B. K., & Das, D. (2012). Microbial carbon capture cell using cyanobacteria for simultaneous
265		power generation, carbon dioxide sequestration and wastewater treatment. Bioresource Technology, 10797-
266		102. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2011.12.067
267	14.	Rothrock, M. J., Vanotti, M. B., Szögi, A. A., Gonzalez, M. G., & Fujii, T. (2011). Long-term preservation of
268		anammox bacteria. Applied Microbiology And Biotechnology, 92(1), 147-157.
269	15.	Zhang, F., Jacobson, K., Torres, P., & He, Z. (2010). Effects of anolyte recirculation rates and catholytes on
270		electricity generation in a litre-scale upflow microbial fuel cell. Energy And Environmental Science, 3(9),
271		1347-1352.
272	16.	Commault, A., Weld, R., Lear, G., & Novis, P. (2014). Photosynthetic biocathode enhances the power output
273		of a sediment-type microbial fuel cell. New Zealand Journal Of Botany, 52(1), 48-59.
274		doi:10.1080/0028825X.2013.870217
275	17.	Zhou, M., He, H., Jin, T., & Wang, H. (2012). Power generation enhancement in novel microbial carbon
276		capture cells with immobilized Chlorella vulgaris. Journal Of Power Sources, 214216-219.
277		doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2012.04.043
278	18.	Cai, P., Xiao, X., He, Y., Li, W., Zang, G., Sheng, G., & Yu, H. (2013). Reactive oxygen species (ROS)
279		generated by cyanobacteria act as an electron acceptor in the biocathode of a bio-electrochemical system.
280		Biosensors & Bioelectronics, 39(1), 306-310.
281	19.	Walter, X. A., Greenman, J., & Ieropoulos, I. A. (2013). Oxygenic phototrophic biofilms for improved cathode
282		performance in microbial fuel cells. Algal Research, 2(3), 183-187.
283	20.	Logan, B. E. (2010). Scaling up microbial fuel cells and other bioelectrochemical systems. Applied
284		Microbiology & Biotechnology, 85(6), 1665-1671.
285		



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).