

π - π Stacking Interactions of 3a-Aryl-2,3,3a,4-tetrahydro-1H-benzo[d]pyrrolo[1,2-a]imidazol-1-ones, X-Ray and DFT Study

Vyacheslav Grinev^{1,2,*} and Alevtina Yegorova¹

¹ Institute of Chemistry, Chernyshevsky Saratov State University, Ulitsa Astrakhanskaya, 83, 410012, Russia; grinev@ibppm.ru (V.G.), yegorovaay@gmail.com (A.Ye.)

² Institute of Biochemistry and Physiology of Plants and Microorganisms RAS, Prospekt Entuziastov, 13, 410049, Russia; grinev@ibppm.ru (V.G.)

* Correspondence: grinev@ibppm.ru; Tel.: +7-845-297-0444

Abstract: Compounds containing benzimidazole moiety in solid state often demonstrate the ability to the formation of π - π stacking interactions. In this work, we focused on the investigation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds and parallel displaced (PD) π - π stacking interactions found in the crystals of titled molecules, both crystallize with $Z = 2$ in the space group P-1. Differences of the π - π stacking interactions parameters depending on the volume of side aromatic substituent in a homologous series, as well as a theoretical estimation of the energy of these interactions using DFT at two different functionals (M06-2X, MPWB95) and three basis sets [6-31G(d), 6-31++G, and 6-31++G(d)] were shown.

Keywords: 3a-aryl-2,3,3a,4-tetrahydro-1H-benzo[d]-pyrrolo[1,2-a]imidazol-1-ones; stacking interactions; hydrogen bonds; DFT calculations; energy of the stacking interactions

1. Introduction

Molecules of organic compounds often organize themselves in solid state through non-covalent interactions into regular arrangements, or lattices [1]. Hydrogen bonds and weak aromatic interactions play an important role in it. So the understanding of non-covalent interactions between molecules will help in understanding the molecular recognition process.

Compounds containing benzimidazole moiety in solid state often demonstrate ability to the formation of π - π stacking interactions. Because these compounds exhibit anticancer activity, DNA-binding research, including theoretical and quantum-mechanical studies, on new complexes containing benzimidazole fragments is very common [2,3] and may lead to the development of a new generation of antitumor drugs.

The structure and some features of compound 1a, demonstrating plant growth regulating activity, we reported early [4,5].

2. Results

Compounds 1a,b crystallize with $Z = 2$ in the space group P-1. The partly saturated benzimidazole fragment is almost flat, only C(4) atom is going out of the plane, and the torsion angle C(4)-N(2)-C(10)-C(5) is 9.53(9)° and C(5)-N(1)-C(4)-N(2) is 17.45(9)°. Torsion angle N(1)-C(5)-C(10)-N(2) of 1.31(15)° in 1b slightly less than corresponding one in 1a [2.08(10)°]. Interatomic distances in benzene ring of this fragment in 1b are 0.001–0.010 Å shorter than those in 1a. The C(5)-C(10) bond is almost the same in these two structures (the difference is about 0.001 Å), but the other

bonds are significantly shorter in 1b. Small differences in the geometric parameters of the molecules can significantly affect their reactivity. More planar structure and shorter bonds of this fragment may be associated with more pronounced aromaticity of benzimidazole moiety that determines slightly greater reactivity of 1b than 1a in S_E reactions. The interatomic distances N(1)–C(5), N(2)–C(10), N(1)–C(4), and N(2)–C(4) in 1b are 0.001–0.004 Å longer than those in 1a and some similar structures, in which the imidazole moieties are unsaturated, and are close to those in structures, where imidazole moieties are completely saturated.

The pyrrolidone ring is non-planar and is in a distorted envelope conformation. The pyrrolidone moieties in compounds **1a** and **2** are also similar. The torsion angle C(1)–C(2)–C(3)–C(4) of compound **1a** with a value of 33.88(9)° is very close to that in compound **2** with a value of 38.6(3)°. The relevant bond lengths of this fragment in compounds **1a** and **2** are not significantly different, except for the N(2)–C(1) bond, which in compound **2** is about 0.02 Å shorter than that in compound **1a**, and they are typical of other pyrrolidone-containing compounds.




Figure 1. Crystal structure with atoms labeling of: (a) 1a; (b) 1b. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

X-ray analysis reveals extensive intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the secondary amino group's hydrogen atom of one molecule and the carbonyl group's oxygen atom of another one. These distances, $\text{NH}\cdots\text{O}=\text{C}$, are 2.112(17) and 2.20(2) Å for 1a and 1b, respectively. Complete parameters of H-bonds given in Table 1.

Table 1. Hydrogen bonds in crystals of 1a and 1b.

Compd	D-H...A	d(D-H)	d(H...A)	d(D...A)	$\angle(\text{DHA})$
1a	N(1)-H(1N)...O(1) ^{#1}	0.914(16)	2.112(17)	2.9994(11)	163.4(14)
1b	N(1)-H(1N)...O(1) ^{#2}	0.88(2)	2.20(2)	3.0509(17)	161.2(2)

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1: $x-1, y, z$; #2: $x-1, y, z$.

The hydrogen-bonded chains in 1a,b are linked by aromatic parallel displaced π - π stacking interactions to form a three-dimensional structure (Figure 2a,b). Important parameters of stacking interactions, such as intercentroid distances, interplanar distances, ring offsets and angle θ , in 1a,b are shown in Figure 2c.




Figure 2. Packing diagrams in projection, showing π - π stacking interactions: (a) 1a; (b) 1b; (c) Parameters of stacking in 1a and 1b.

3. Discussion

To evaluate the energy of π - π stacking interactions we performed a theoretical study using the DFT quantum-chemical calculations. The π - π stacking is an interaction of electron clouds of two or more aromatic rings, so importance of a method describing accurately non-covalent interactions is obvious. Many popular hybrid functionals like B3LYP not so good describes van der Waals forces. Functionals with dispersion corrections are much more accurate in such cases, so they are often been used for modeling of π - π stacked systems. So, we have chosen M06-2X [6], MPWB95 [7] and the basis sets of 6-31G(d), 6-31++G, and 6-31++G(d), which are common in case of modeling of non-covalent interactions.

To evaluate π - π stacking interactions energy we performed geometry optimization of single molecules and the dimers with the initial geometries obtained from X-ray analysis. The π - π stacking energy was determined using following simple equation:

$$E_{\text{stacking}} = E_{\text{dimer}} - 2 \times E_{\text{monomer}}$$

This equation allows us to compare the energy of the dimeric system in two states: when the distance between the interacting rings corresponds to the minimum of energy and when these rings are infinitely distant from each other.

Table 2. Calculated and experimental intercentroid distances and estimated energies of stacking.

Compd	X-ray	MPWB95/ 6-31G(d)	MPWB95/ 6-31++G	MPWB95/ 6-31++G(d)	M06-2X/ 6-31G(d)	M06-2X/ 6-31++G	M06-2X/ 6-31++G(d)
1a	4.258	4.284 ¹	4.285	4.276	3.938	3.970	3.950
		-4.37 ²	-4.15	-3.81	-10.42	-10.77	-10.58
1b	4.386	5.246	4.678	5.448	4.090	4.094	4.167
		-4.40	-3.81	-3.25	-9.25	-9.88	-9.17

1: calculated intercentroid distance, (Å); 2: Energy of stacking (kcal/mol).

Comparing results obtained with the use of different levels of theory we can conclude that M06-2X functional, in general, gives the E_{stacking} significantly greater than MPWB95 (about 3-times) (Table 2). The addition of two diffuse functions to the basis set gives almost no effect. As expected, the E_{stacking} for 1b is 1.17–1.41 kcal/mol less than corresponded value for 1a. To compare the calculated data with corresponding ones obtained from X-ray we can choose an intercentroid distance as a criterion of correctness of calculations. As it can be seen M06-2X functional overestimates of E_{stacking} : intercentroid distances are smaller than corresponding ones obtained from X-ray, especially for 1a. Only in case of M06-2X/6-31++G(d) level of theory the intercentroid distance for 1b is quite close to the corresponding value from X-ray, but the E_{stacking} is still enormous (–9.17 kcal/mol).

In case of MPWB95/6-31++G we obtained the best agreement with the X-ray data values for both intercentroid distances in 1a and 1b – 4.285 and 4.678 Å, respectively (compare to those from X-ray, 4.258 and 4.386 Å, see Table 2).

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Synthesis of the Compounds

3a-Phenyl-2,3,3a,4-tetrahydro-1*H*-benzo[*d*]-pyrrolo[1,2-*a*]imidazol-1-one (1a). A solution of 2 g (11 mmol) of 4-oxo-4-phenylbutanoic acid and 1.2 g (11 mmol) of 1,2-phenylenediamine in 30 mL of anhydrous benzene was boiled while continuously distilling off the azeotropic mixture with formed water over 5 h. After being left to stand overnight, the separated crystals or precipitate was washed with benzene and then with *n*-hexane. If necessary, it may be recrystallized from acetone. Yield 2.12 g (75%), light-yellow crystals, mp 156–159 °C. IR spectrum, ν , cm^{-1} : 3330 (NH), 1710 (C=O, "Amide-I"), 1320 (C–N). ^1H NMR spectrum (CDCl_3), δ , ppm: 2.35–2.60 m (2H, C^3H_2 pyrrolidine), 2.70–2.90 m (2H, C^2H_2 pyrrolidine), 4.38 s (1H, NH), 6.50–6.90 m (4H aromatic), 7.25–7.60 m (5H aromatic, Ph). Found, %: C 76.56; H 5.73; N 11.56. $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2\text{O}$. Calculated, %: C 76.80; H 5.60; N 11.20.

3a-(4-Methylphenyl)-2,3,3a,4-tetrahydro-1*H*-benzo[*d*]pyrrolo[1,2-*a*]imidazol-1-one (1b). Yield 1.98 g (78%), light-yellow crystals, mp 158–160 °C. IR spectrum, ν , cm^{-1} : 3350 (NH), 1690 (C=O, "Amide-I"), 1330 (C–N). ^1H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 2.25 s (3H, CH_3), 2.40–2.55 m (2H, C^3H_2 pyrrolidine), 2.50–2.70 m (2H, C^2H_2 pyrrolidine), 4.35 s (1H, NH), 6.50–6.85 m (4H aromatic), 7.15–7.35 d.d (4H aromatic). Found, %: C 76.16; H 6.15; N 10.10. $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_2\text{O}$. Calculated, %: C 75.69; H 6.06; N 10.61.

4.2. Xray study

Xray diffraction was performed on an Bruker SMART 1000 CCD area-detector diffractometer (graphite monochromator, $\lambda(\text{MoK}\alpha) = 0.71073$ Å, ω scan) at 100 K. The structures were solved by a direct method and was refined by full-matrix least-squares versus F^2_{hkl} with anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. The N-bound H atom was located from a Fourier difference synthesis and refined isotropically. C-bound H atoms were refined as riding, with methylene C–H = 0.99 Å and aryl C–H = 0.95 Å, and with $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. Solving and refinement were carried out using the SHELX software package [8]. The overlays and packing diagrams as well as parameters of non-covalent interactions were obtained using Olex2 software [9].

4.3. DFT study

All DFT calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 package [10]. Coordinates from X-ray data were used as initial and full geometry optimization of monomers and dimers were performed using MPWB95 and M06-2X functionals with 6-31G(d), 6-31++G, and 6-31++G(d) basis sets.

Acknowledgments: The work was supported by the RFBR (research project No. 16-03-00530).

Author Contributions: V.G. carried out the synthesis of reported compounds and obtained the single crystals, took part in the spectral characterization of synthesized compounds, performed DFT calculations, drafted the

manuscript, prepared final version of the manuscript, A.Ye. designed and supervised all experiments, and manuscript drafting. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

MDPI: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute

DOAJ: Directory of open access journals

CCDC: The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre

PD: Parallel displaced

RFBR: Russian Foundation for Basic Research

S_E: Electrophilic substitution reactions

References

1. B.D. Fahlman. Materials Chemistry. Chapter 2. *Solid-State Chemistry*. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2007, pp. 13–85.
2. Li, L.; Zhu, M.-L.; Lu, L.-P. (Glycylglycinato-[kappa]3O,N,N')(2-methyl-1H-benzimidazole-[kappa]N3)copper(II) trihydrate. *Acta Cryst. Sect. C*. **2006**, C62, m227–m228.
3. Fan, J.; Cai, S.-L.; Zheng, S.-R.; Zhang, W.-G. Two mononuclear octahedral complexes with benzimidazole-2-carboxylate: Supramolecular networks constructed by hydrogen bonds. *Acta Cryst. Sect. C*. **2011**, C67, m346–m350.
4. Grinev, V.S.; Egorova, A.Y.; 3a-Phenyl-2,3,3a,4-tetrahydro-1H-benzo[d]pyrrolo[1,2-a]imidazol-1-one, a potential plant-growth regulator. *Acta Cryst. Sect. C*. **2013**, C69, 880–883.
5. Grinev, V.S.; Lyubun', E.V.; Egorova, A.Yu. *Agrokhimiya (Agrochemistry)*. **2011**, 3, 46–50. (in Russian).
6. Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D.G. The M06 suite of density functionals for main group thermochemistry, thermochemical kinetics, noncovalent interactions, excited states, and transition elements: Two new functionals and systematic testing of four M06-class functionals and 12 other functionals. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **2008**, 120, 215–241.
7. Zhao, Y.; Truhlar, D.G. Hybrid Meta Density Functional Theory Methods for Thermochemistry, Thermochemical Kinetics, and Noncovalent Interactions: The MPW1B95 and MPWB1K Models and Comparative Assessments for Hydrogen Bonding and van der Waals Interactions. *J. Phys. Chem. A*. **2004**, 108, 6908–6918.
8. Sheldrick, G.M. A short history of SHELX. *Acta Cryst. Sect. A*. **2008**, A64, 112–122.
9. Dolomanov, O.V.; Bourhis, L.J.; Gildea, R.J.; Howard, J.A.K.; Puschmann, H. OLEX2: A complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2009**, 42, 339–341.
10. Frisch, M.J. *et al.* GAUSSIAN09, Revision C.01. Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2010.



© 2018 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).