

# **HOUSE**

# **EU-Project OPEN HOUSE**

#### Dr. Natalie Eßig (Architect, DGNB Auditor)

Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics (IBP) SB 11 Special Forum: "Core Indicators" October 23<sup>rd</sup> 2010, Helsinki Contact: natalie.essig@ibp.fraunhofer.de



## **The Objective**



#### **EU-Project: Seventh Framework Programme**

European Overview about sustainability building standards:

Category	Indicator	Country 1	Country 2	Country 3
Environmental Quality	Global Warming Potential (GWP)			
	Risks from materials			
	Biodiversity and depletion of habitats			

- Timeframe: 36 months, Febuary 2010 January 2013
- Coordination:
  - Management: Acciona Infrastructuras, Spain (Daniel Hiniesto)
  - Technical: Fraunhofer Institute for Building Physics (IBP), Germany (Dr. Natalie Eßig)
- Objective:
  - Development of a common transparent European building assessment approach
  - Bottom-up-Approach: OPEN House baseline will complement the existing ones and is based on existing standards, methodologies and assessment methods
  - Now new system: overview about country specific assessment methods/ actual building standards and supporting sustainability all over Europe and giving advice to existing systems





## **The Consortium**



*AHOUSE* 

20 partners from 11 EU countries covering the whole construction sector





#### **OPEN HOUSE Methodology**

WP0: Project Management



WP1: Awareness and methodology for sustainable building assessment baseline definition All Partners + External Experts **OPEN HOUSE** baseline WP2: Designing OPEN WP3: Selection of case HOUSE model and tools studies and mechanisms for decision making **WP4: OPEN HOUSE Platform Operation** WP5: OPEN HOUSE Platform Dissemination and **Exploitation** 

**OPEN HOUSE** 



### **OPEN HOUSE Baseline**



Assessment methods of the 1<sup>st</sup> generation:

Environmental and energy-efficient approach: "Green-Building-Approach"



Assessment methods of the 2<sup>nd</sup> generation:

Life-cycle-orientated approach based on sustainability pillars: *"Sustainable-Building-Approach "* 



Source: Essig, N. 2010





Evaluation of "all" indicators (560 indicators)

1.LEED 2.BREEAM 3.DGNB 4.HQE 5.SB-TOOL 6.VERDE 7.LENSE 8..... Preselection of suitable indicators (95 indicators)

 Grouping into 6 evaluation areas
 Questionnaire on their applicability/ feasibility in the EU-27 countries Preselection "Full System" (56 indicators)

1.Objectives
 2.Evaluation
 3.Documentation
 4.Resources

#### "Core System" (30 indicators)

1.Objectives 2.Evaluation 3.Calculation 4.Rating 5.Documentation 6.Resources 7.Weighting

Identification and evaluation of 37 international and 64 European rating tools from over 50 countries by questionnaires



#### 1- ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATORS - ACCEPTABILITY



Analyses of the applicability/feasibility of the 95 pre-selected indicators in the EU-27 countries by a questionnaire in every country – Outcome: 56 indicators





Environmental Quality	Social/Functional Quality	Economic Quality		
	Technical Characteristics			
Process Quality				
The Location				





## **Example: Economic Quality and Technical Characteristics**

Category	Nb.	Indicators	Full System	Core System
Economic	3.1	Building-related Life Cycle Costs (LCC)		
Quality	3.2	Value Stability		
Technical Characteristics	4.1	Fire Protection		
	4.2	Durability of the structure and Robustness		
	4.3	Cleaning and maintenance		
	4.4	Resistance against hail, storm high water and earthquake		
	4.5	Noise Protection		
	4.6	Quality of the building shell		
	4.7	Ease of Deconstruction, Recycling, and Dismantling		





# **Core System (30 Indicators)**

Environmental Quality	GWP, ODP, AP, EP und POCP (1.1-1.5)		
	Non-Renewable Primary Energy Demands (PEne)		
	Total Primary Energy Demands/ Percentage of Renewable Primary Energy		
	Water and Waste Water		
	Land Use		
	Waste		
Social/ functional Quality	Barrier-free Accessibility		
	Thermal Comfort		
	Indoor Air Quality		
	Acoustic Comfort		
	Visual Comfort		
	Operation Comfort		
	Electro Magnetic Pollution		
	Public Accessibility		
	Conversion Feasibility		
	Responsible Material Sourcing		
	Local Material		
	Bicycle Comfort		
Economic Quality	Building-related Life Cycle Costs (LCC)		
Technical Characteristics	Quality of the Building Shell		
	Ease of Deconstruction, Recycling and Dismantling		
Process Quality	Quality of the Project's Preparation		
	Construction Site impact/ Construction Process		
	Commissioning		
The Location	Risks at the Site		
	Options for Transportation		



- 68 case studies along Europe will be deployed by actors inside and outside the OPEN HOUSE consortium
- The case studies will be selected by tenders
  - "Call for Tender" (finish: October 29<sup>th</sup> 2011) more information: www.openhouse-fp7.eu
- Building types: new office buildings (less than 10 years) and office buildings at design stage at least 70% office use



#### **OPEN HOUSE Assessment Process**

Assessment workshop with project partners Foto documentation at the building site Collecting building documents Analyzing the building documents Further meetings (if it is necessary) Overgiving the documents to the OH review committee Review by the OH review committe (4-eyes-principle)





**OH Case Study** 

#### **OH Certificate**

- "Basic and quick sustainability assessment" for case studies <u>outside</u> the consortium: gives first idea of sustainability level and proposes actions to improve the level, no stringent documentation needed, based on estimations, but must be reasonable
- "Complete assessment" for case studies <u>inside</u> the consortium: complete documentation needed for "OPEN HOUSE – core indicators" and no stringent documentation for the rest of the indicators from the "OPEN HOUSE – full system"



## **Assessment Workshop**





# Online: OPEN HOUSE building sustainability assessment portal



6.6.1	Show all evaluations SHOWCASE	raus, help vesk co	nd us your omments NTACT		
	Logout   Change pas	Hello, VK isword   Add project   My p	rojects		
	Project name: rdehseju				
	Country : Greece	Type : Office			
1915	Assessor: gvjkgc Lif	e cycle stage : In operation	Not available		
	Date : 14 - 07 - 2011 A	issessment : Basic & quick			
Z	Environmental Quality				
- 3 - K.	1.1 Global Warming Potential (GWP)	Not yet evaluated	Evaluate Upload		
	1.2 Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP)	Not yet evaluated	Evaluare Uplose		
	1.3 Acidification Potential (AP)	Nol.yet evaluated	Evoluste Uplosd		
	1.4 EutrophicationPotential (EP)	Not yet evaluated	Evalute Upload		
	1.5 Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential (POCP)	Not plitevalueted	Evaluate Upload		

#### **Complete Documentation**



VENTH FRAM

#### **OPEN HOUSE Paket for the Case Studies**



- Training course
- Handbook of templates (indicator)
- Guidelines for the assessment workshops
  - Equal powerpoint presentation for the assessment workshops
  - Questionaires: what do ask the investors, planners etc.
- Manual for the OPEN HOUSE assessment process
- Manual for how to use the online plattform
- Manual for documentation





#### **OPEN HOUSE Training Course**





- Location: London
- Time frame: 2 days course (Decembre 12th and 13th, 2011)
- Participants: OH trainers (consortium members), awarded tenderes, subcontractors and everybody who is interested
- Intents:
  - Explain OH assessment procedure (documentation and review)
  - Explain OH online platform
  - Explain OH categories, indicators and how to get information
- At the moment Fraunhofer is developing the manual for the course (pilot project ZUB)





1

Assessment Guideline >Social-fanctional <- Indicator >2.1 <- >Barrier-free Accessibility <

Indicator 2.1 Barrier-free Accessibility

1. Objective

 $^1$  around 10 million European have a distributy, representing one cut of us prospin in the EU. They have the dip to paratipate fully and paraly at a largest of fair, both in the second multi-People million in a paratic category is a white. They are represented to the business of the function of the

The main goal is to plan and construct buildings which have the best accessibility for handicapped people with physical, seasonical and cognitiv limitations ("Design for all").

#### 2. Assessment Methodology

<sup>2</sup> The rotents address the meets of people with distributes, as well as the meets of older persons. Physically handlapped people with wilding distributes, polices or mixing entermines used special address; lab whete datas, wilding datasets on balanci. Therefore the building more provide storugh free planes, arranges with special wilds had hanglin, plane anowanest sees and annuptements enty. Prople with sensoid lansations and worke desames on address: Balanci system.

Handicapped accessibility of an office building contains: all publically accessible areas (local public infrastructure) and the areas required for work (offices, toilets, kitchen etc.)

The evaluation is qualitative. Points will be given to which extent the use of the building is possible for all

people. An important aspect is the basic access and the compliance with the national building standard. If these standards are not observed, no points can be achieved. This means buildings with no basic handleapped

		10
accessibility will NOT	monies confidenties. Indifiers are accessible for all no mile, the better the huilding will be easy	

The following indicator will be assessed: 2.1.1 Emin.free Accessibility

#### 3. Calculation and Rating

The assessment of the barrier free accessibility of a building is measured in the way how muck percent of the net floor area of the public spaces and working areas could be used by all people ("Design for all").

The summary is the optimizing and a strong plant is a strong plant of the strong plant

#### Therefore the assessment is based on the current building standards for barnerfree accessibility of the

 ÖNORM E 1600:1994 Earsierefreies Eauer Flassurgsgrundsätze.
 ÖNORM E 1601:1994 Spezielle Emlichkeiten für helösderte und alte Messechene Planuessnud

If there is no national building standard for larnier free accessibility the following definition of will be the basis for the evaluation.

A bulding it basically horndizego et ac cossible: • One extensor does not have a thorshold and has a desense with a of at least 90cm. • Operating informatic (statusee, devetor...) is offered in more base one servery format (sinkle, audit, with)

audhle, taoile) Free space in front of file extrance (and all elevators) measures at least 15%:150 cm. At least one hafter orm is designed for use by persons with pipeised limitations

Assumnt Guidelins >Social-functional <- Indicator >2.1<->Barrier-free Acc	essibility<
ublic places of office buildings are:	
<ul> <li>Entrance</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Lobby (desk officer etc.)</li> <li>Cafeteria</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Public sanitary rooms</li> </ul>	
Forking areas of office buildings are:	
<ul> <li>Working rooms (offices, conference rooms etc.)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Infrastructure (doors, stairways, lifts, emergency exit etc.)</li> <li>Secondary rooms (rooms for printing etc.)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Sauitary cooms (toilets, changing rooms etc.)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Nitchen and break rooms</li> </ul>	
Overall Rating/ Assessment Matrix:	
2.1 Barrier-free Accessibility	Points
The public areas of the building fulfil the building standards of the country	
or other applicable standards for barrier free accessibility.	
In addition at least 95% of the work areas (net floor area) and the accessible	
parts of the outdoor facilities -if existing- are handicapped accessible in	100
compliance with applicable standards or the building standard of the country for barrier free accessibility.	
FOR GALLER LIFE ACCESSIONLY.	
	. 00
	. 50
	. 80
The public areas of the building fulfil the building standards of the country or other applicable standards for barrier free accessibility.	
In addition at least 75% of the work areas (net floor area) and at least 50% of	75
the accessible parts of the outdoor facilities -if existing- are handicapped	
secessible in compliance with applicable standards or the building standard of the country for barrier free accessibility.	
	. 70
The public areas of the building fulfil the building standards of the country or other applicable standards for barrier free accessibility.	
	100
In addition at least 50% of the work areas (net floor area) are handicapped accessible in compliance with applicable standards or the building standard	50
of the county for barrier free accessibility.	
	40
	30
The public areas of the building fulfil the building standards of the country	
or other applicable standards for barrier free accessibility.	
	25
In addition some work areas are handicapped accessible in compliance with	20
applicable standards or the building standard of the country for barries free accessibility.	



SEVENTH FRAMEWORK

#### 4. Documentation Guidelines

The following documents will be needed to assess the building:

#### For Planning Stage (Pre-Assessment):

- · Floor plan of the entrance level with outdoor facilities and illustration of connection to

- Todo plato to at Enables Pere visa volución instantes ana anomalia do complete parte 
   Todo plato
   Todo
   T

#### For Post Construction Stage (Asses

- Floor plan of the entrance level with outdoor facilities and illustration of connection to

- rices parties and an annual sector of the packing lens
  Plane said photos of the packing lens
  Reservat devices that devices the stockes heights
  Relevant devices that devices (from foce, room extracers, lefts, stairnys, handrall etc.)

#### 5. Relation to other Indicators

**OPEN HOUSE** 





#### **Manual for Documentation**

Manual for Documentation Case Study: Zentrum für Umweltbewusstes Bauen, ZUB Kassel





Manual for documentation

Example: Indicator "2.1 Barrier-free accessibility" (Social-functional Quality) Documentation





#### **Manual for documentation**

Example: Indicator "2.1 Barrier-free accessibility" (Social-functional Quality) Glossary

#### **Glossary of terms:**

- barrier free: barrierefrei
- **not barrier free:** nicht barrierefrei: gesamt: total
- net floor area: NGF
- % accessible parts of the net floor area: Anteil der barrierefreien Bereiche an NGF

# Now we are ready for SUPER, PERFECT und OPEN buildings!

R 2.09 Halle		67,78	
barrierfrei gesamt:		1281,50	
nicht barrierefrei gesamt:			135,69
	NGF	1732 m <sup>2</sup>	2
Anteil der barrierefreien Bereiche an NGF:		73,99%	