TGF-β Inhibition Combined with Cytotoxic Nanomedicine Normalizes the Tumor Microenvironment and Improves Immune Checkpoint Inhibition Therapy †
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Abstract: Tumor normalization strategies aim to improve tumor blood vessel functionality (i.e., perfusion) by restoring tumor vessel compression and hyper-permeability. Despite progress in tumor normalization strategies, their combinatorial antitumor effects with nano-immunotherapy remain unexplored. In this presentation, we re-purposed the TGF-β inhibitor tranilast, an approved anti-fibrotic and antihistamine drug, and combined it with Doxil nanomedicine to normalize murine models of triple negative breast cancer, increase perfusion and oxygenation, and enhance delivery and efficacy of Doxil and immune checkpoint blockers (ICBs). Specifically, we employed two triple-negative breast cancer mouse models to primarily evaluate the therapeutic and normalization effects of tranilast combined with Doxil. We demonstrated the optimized normalization effects of tranilast combined with Doxil and extended our analysis to investigate the effect of tumor normalization to the efficacy of ICBs. Combination of tranilast with Doxil caused a pronounced reduction in extracellular matrix components and increase in pericyte coverage, indicators of normalization. These modifications resulted in a significant increase in tumor perfusion and enhanced treatment efficacy as indicated by the notable reduction in tumor size. Furthermore, we found that combining tranilast with Doxil nanomedicine, significantly improved infiltration of T cells into the tumor and the immunostimulatory M1 macrophage content and improved the efficacy of the anti-PD-1/anti-CTLA-4 treatment. We concluded that combinatorial treatment of tranilast with Doxil optimizes tumor normalization towards anti-tumor immunity.
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1. Introduction

The efficacy of nanomedicines to solid tumors is hindered by abnormalities in the structure of the tumor vasculature, which can reduce drastically tumor perfusion and as a result the systemic delivery of drugs, including chemotherapy, nanomedicine and immunotherapy [1–3]. A major abnormality in so called desmoplastic tumors (e.g., subtypes of breast, pancreatic and colon cancers and sarcomas) is the dense tumor extracellular matrix and the rapid proliferation of cancer cells in...
the confined space surrounding the tumor that stiffen the tumor and result in the accumulation of mechanical compressive forces that can cause compression of intratumoral blood vessels [4–8]. Vessel compression in turn results in reduced tumor blood flow, rendering tumors hypo-perfused and hypoxic. Impaired blood supply and hypoxia hinder nanoparticle delivery but also help cancer cells evade the immune system and increase their invasive and metastatic potential [9–11]. Particularly, hypo-perfusion can reduce the number of immune cells that can infiltrate into the tumor, while hypoxia renders the tumor microenvironment immuno-suppressive and attenuates the killing potential of effector immune cells [11–14]. Normalization of the tumor interstitial space is a therapeutic strategy that has been proposed to normalize the tumor extracellular matrix in order to alleviate forces and decompress tumor vessels, thus, improving tumor perfusion. It is based on the use of drugs exerting anti-fibrotic properties and in previous studies we have re-purposed several approved drugs for this purpose [5,15–18]. The aim of the study was to investigate whether the normalization effects of tranilast, an approved in Japan and South Korea anti-fibrotic and antihistamine drug, can be optimized with use of subtherapeutic doses of Doxil. We demonstrate that combination of tranilast with Doxil nanomedicine, significantly improved blood vessel functionality and oxygenation and delayed tumor growth. Furthermore, the tranilast-Doxil treatment favored the accumulation of M1-like tumor associated macrophages (TAMs), presumably due to the increase in tumor oxygenation. Based on these data, we conducted a second study using the 4T1 tumor model to evaluate the therapeutic potential of immune checkpoint blockade combined with normalization of the tumor microenvironment. Again, we observed that the combinatorial tranilast-Doxil treatment significantly improved the efficacy of the immune checkpoint inhibition. Our findings strongly suggest that the effect of TGF-β inhibition is drastically increased when combined with Doxil nanomedicine and can improve significantly immunotherapy.

2. Materials and Methods

Animal tumor models and treatment protocols. 4T1 and E0771 tumor models were generated by orthotopic implantation into the mammary fat pad of BALB/c and C57BL/6 female mice, respectively. Animals were treated with saline (Control), tranilast (200 mg/kg, orally), doxorubicin (5 mg/kg, intraperitoneal), Doxil (3 mg/kg, intravenously) or combination of tranilast-doxorubicin and tranilast-Doxil until time of death or time to reach a maximum tumor burden of 1200 mm³. Animal survival was monitored daily, while tumor size was measured every 2–3 days.

The effect of immunotherapy on tumor growth was evaluated in syngeneic 4T1 and E0771 tumor models. Immunotherapy was administrated as a cocktail of 10 mg/kg anti-PD-1 (CD279, clone RPM1-14, BioXCell, Lebanon, NH, USA) and 5 mg/kg anti-CTLA-4 (CD152, clone 9D9) following dilution in the recommended InVivoPure pH 7.0 Dilution Buffer (BioXCell). The immunotherapy cocktail was administered i.p. on days 14, 17 and 20 post-implantation. Animals were treated with saline, tranilast and Doxil as previously in combination with a non-targeting isotype control antibody (BioXCell).

Immunohistochemical assessment of tumor microenvironment. For fluorescent immunohistochemistry tumors were excised, fixed with 4% PFA and embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT). Extracellular matrix content was studied using immunostaining against collagen I and hyaluronan. Pericyte coverage of functional vessels was determined as the ratio of lectin, αSMA and CD31 positive staining to total CD31 area fraction. Macrophage status of 4T1 and E0771 TME was determined following immunostaining with anti-cd11c (HL3, BD Pharmingen 1:100), anti-CD206 (MR5D3, BIO-RAD 1:50) and rat anti-F4/80 (A3-1, BIO-RAD 1:50) antibodies to detect M1-like TAMs, M2-like TAMs and total TAM population, respectively. Quantification of M1-like TAMs was defined as the ratio of cd11c positive signal to f4/80 area fraction. M2-like TAM content was indicated by cd206 area fraction after subtraction of the cd11c and cd206 overlapping signal. All measurements were normalized to DAPI positive staining.
3. Results

3.1. Doxil Enhances Tranilast-Induced Normalization of Tumor Extracellular Matrix

Immunofluorescence staining of tumor sections showed that tranilast alone or in combination with doxorubicin or Doxil decreased collagen and hyaluronan content of both 4T1 and E0771 tumors, compared to control. When tranilast was administered with Doxil, collagen and hyaluronan levels were further significantly decreased (Figure 1A,C,D). Doxil enhances the interstitial space normalization effects of tranilast. Given the known anti-fibrotic properties of doxorubicin, this result can be attributed to the fact that tranilast enhances Doxil delivery and acts with Doxil to further reduce extracellular matrix components. Subsequently, the impact of the treatment on blood vessel pericyte coverage was studied, which is a measure of vascular normalization. In normal vessels, pericytes are attached to the vessel wall, whereas in tumors, pericyte coverage is poor thus contributing to increased vessel permeability. Immunofluorescence analysis of tumor sections counterstained with the CD31 endothelial marker and the αSMA marker depict the presence of pericytes attached to the tumor vasculature at the overlapping regions. Only animals treated with tranilast-Doxil exhibited a significant increase in pericyte coverage (Figure 1B,E), indicating vascular normalization.

![Figure 1](Figure 1. Doxil enhances tranilast-induced normalization. (A) Representative images of collagen (green) and hyaluronan (red) staining of 4T1 tumors. (B) Representative images of biotinylated tomato lectin (cyan), CD31 endothelial marker (red) and αSMA pericyte marker (green) immunostaining of 4T1 breast tumors treated as indicated. Quantification of collagen (C), hyaluronan (D) area fractions and (E) pericyte coverage of perfused vessels. Statistical analyses were performed by comparing the treated groups with the control * and the tranilast-Doxil group with all other treatment groups **, p ≤ 0.05, (n = 8–10). Scale bar: 200 μm.)

3.2. Tranilast-Doxil Combinatorial Treatment Promotes M1 Macrophages Polarization

Considering the normalization effects of tranilast-Doxil therapy on promoting interstitial and vascular normalization, which are related directly to improved perfusion and oxygenation, we performed immunofluorescence analysis of tumor sections stained with antibodies against CD11c and CD206 proteins, which are predominately expressed in M1- and M2-like tumor associated macrophages (TAMs), respectively. M2-TAMs are immunosuppressive and expressed under hypoxic conditions, whereas improved oxygenation can promote M1-phenotype and immunostimulation. Total macrophage population was calculated from the f4/80 positive fraction (Figure 2A). Only the combination of tranilast with Doxil successfully increased the M1- to M2-like TAMs ratio, increasing the M1-like TAMs population (Figure 2B,C). Since the total amount of TAMs remained unaffected
between the various treatment groups (Figure 2C), we concluded that tranilast-Doxil treatment redirects the M2-like immunosuppressive phenotype towards the M1-like immunosupportive TAMs.

**Figure 2.** Tumor normalization in combination with Doxil but not doxorubicin reprograms macrophages by towards a M1 polarization. (A) Representative images of 4T1 tumors stained for the M1-like tumor associated macrophage (TAM) marker cd11c (green), the M2-TAM marker cd206 (red) and the f4/80, which is a pan-macrophage marker (magenta). Quantification of anti-tumoral M1- to M2-like TAM ratios (B), pro-tumoral M2-like TAMs (C) and total TAMs area fraction (D) in the various treatment groups. Statistical analyses were performed by comparing the treated groups with the control * and the tranilast-Doxil groups with all other treatment groups **, p ≤ 0.05, (n = 8–10). Scale bar: 200 μm.

### 3.3. Tranilast Improves Efficacy of Nano-Immunotherapy

To test our hypothesis that tumor normalization can improve the efficacy of nano-immunotherapy, a tumor growth study was performed, employing a cocktail of immune checkpoint blockers (ICBs). Specifically, an immunotherapy cocktail was used consisting of the programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated antigen-4 (CTLA-4) antibodies. Interestingly, immunotherapy cocktail alone did not delay tumor growth, whereas Doxil treatment exhibited a modest decrease in tumor growth rate. Combination of immunotherapy with Doxil reduced tumor growth by 40% compared to the control, while its combination with the tranilast caused a reduction greater than 50% compared to the untreated group. Consistent with our previous results, tranilast-Doxil combinatorial therapy significantly reduced tumor growth by 60%. However, only the combination of tranilast with nano-immunotherapy treatment significantly reduced tumor volume in both mammary tumor models, 4T1 and E0771 (Figure 3).
Figure 3. Tumor volume results of (A) 4T1 and (B) E0771 tumors treated with saline (Control), tranilast (200 mg/kg), anti-CTLA4/anti-PD-1 immunotherapy cocktail (5 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg, respectively), Doxil (3 mg/kg), tranilast-immunotherapy, tranilast-Doxil, Doxil-immunotherapy and tranilast-Doxil-immunotherapy. Tranilast in combination with Doxil-immunotherapy inhibits tumor growth. Statistical analyses were performed by comparing the treated groups with the control * and the tranilast-Doxil-immunotherapy group with all other treatment groups **, p ≤ 0.05 (n = 8–10).

4. Discussion

Our study suggests that tumor microenvironment normalization is enhanced when combined with cytotoxic nanomedicine. It also provides a rationale for combining tumor normalization agents nano- and immuno-therapies, given the enhanced therapeutic outcome upon the combination of the three. Importantly, a programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) antibody (Tecentriq) combined with the nanomedicine (nab-paclitaxel chemotherapy Abraxane) has recently obtained FDA approval as the first immunotherapy drug for PD-L1 positive unresectable or metastatic triple negative breast cancers. Our findings also identify vessel compression as a main mechanism of resistance to nanoformulations of chemotherapy, which could explain to some extent why nanomedicines have not been successful in drastically increasing overall survival. It also provides new insights for the use of nanomedicine and the development of new nanoparticle formulations. We suggest that nanomedicine efficacy can be significantly improved in combination with agents that normalize the tumor microenvironment.
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