

1 Proceedings

2 Forest Carbon in Climate Change Supermarket: Is 3 India Prepared to Sail? †

4 Lokesh Chandra Dube¹ and S Chatterjee²

5 ¹ Department of Natural Resources, TERI School of Advanced Studies, Institutional Area, Vasant Kunj and
6 New Delhi (Corresponding author). Email: lokeshchandra.dube@terisas.ac.in

7 ² Department of Natural Resources, TERI School of Advanced Studies, Institutional Area, Vasant Kunj, New
8 Delhi. Email: s.chatterjee@terisas.ac.in

9 † Presented at the 1st International Electronic Conference on Forests (IECF), 15–30 November 2020; Available
10 online: <https://sciforum.net/conference/IECF2020>

11 Published: DD MONTH 2020

12 **Abstract:** Several market-based instruments have been developed to facilitate effective mitigation
13 of climate change through voluntary and regulatory measures. A number of such instruments are
14 expected to hit the carbon markets with the take-off of the new global deal on climate change- The
15 Paris Agreement, agreed in 2015 under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate
16 Change (UNFCCC), along with other planned and potential regional, national and sub-national
17 regimes to address the problem of climate change. With the possibility of inter-linking the carbon
18 market segments in times to come, we see a complex picture of the existing carbon markets turning
19 into a future supermarket. India is a leading country in terms of registered clean development
20 mechanism (CDM) projects in afforestation and reforestation (AR) sector. We explore the potential
21 of India becoming a leading party in forest-based carbon supermarkets. We triangulated existing
22 literature, on-ground observations from two registered AR CDM projects being implemented in
23 Kashi and Mahoba forest divisions in the Indian state of Uttar Pradesh, and the expert interviews.
24 We list out the constraints and gaps in India's readiness to identify and embrace the opportunity of
25 being a top player in the upcoming climate change supermarket in the context of forest restoration.

26 **Keywords:** Carbon Markets; CDM; Paris Agreement; Forest Carbon.

27

28 1. Introduction

29 Several instruments have been developed to facilitate effective mitigation of climate change
30 through forestry activities under the voluntary and regulatory market structures. Recent trends tell
31 us that the initiatives of carbon pricing and co-operations among jurisdictions for carbon markets,
32 including their expansion and interlinking are on ascendance across national and sub-national
33 entities. Currently, there are 61 carbon pricing initiatives, including 31 emission trading schemes and
34 30 carbon tax regimes implemented or scheduled throughout the world. These initiatives cover
35 around 22% of the world's total greenhouse gas emissions and are spread across 36 national and 32
36 sub-national jurisdictions. The forestry sector has been on the forefront and delivered 42% of the total
37 carbon credits issued in the last five years. There are dedicated forestry-based offset mechanisms such
38 as Beijing Forestry Offset Mechanism and Fujian Forestry Offset Crediting Mechanism in China, and
39 Saitama forest absorption certification system in Japan [1]. In this paper, we postulate that further
40 expansion and interlinking of market-based instruments across legislations, treaties, voluntary
41 initiatives, jurisdictions and sectors will transform the carbon markets into a global climate change
42 supermarket with forestry sector playing a crucial role. This supermarket will encompass mitigation,
43 adaptation and joint mitigation and adaptation (JMA) activities. We explore the potential of India as
44 a leading party in the postulated climate change supermarkets in the context of the forestry sector.

45 2. Methods

46 We triangulated existing literature, on-ground observations from two registered Afforestation
47 and Reforestation Clean Development Mechanism (AR-CDM) projects, and the expert interviews.
48 Information about India's forestry sector initiatives aiming to enhance forest carbon stock was
49 gathered from the official documents of the Government of India. We chose two registered small-
50 scale AR-CDM project activities being implemented in the Mahoba and Kashi forest divisions of the
51 Indian state of Uttar Pradesh. Impression about the carbon market was gathered through interaction
52 with local forest department officials and local stakeholders of these projects. Web-based expert
53 interviews were conducted with carbon market consultants, researchers, government officials and
54 others. Questions were asked to explore if India is ready for a domestic carbon market for forestry
55 and if the experts foresee India as a leading country in the event of international carbon markets
56 reviving on implementation of the Paris Agreement, post-2020.

57 3. Results and Discussion

58 3.1. The supermarket postulate

59 Kyoto Protocol to the UNFCCC established CDM as a market-based mechanism that included
60 developing countries as host Parties to implement mitigation projects. After first commitment period
61 of Kyoto Protocol expired in 2012, the demand for carbon credits (a generic and colloquial term used
62 for emission reductions arising from mitigation projects) diminished, and their prices fell to a level
63 that the compliance market of CDM credits almost crashed. Future of the second commitment period
64 of the Protocol, proposed in its Doha Amendment was uncertain at that time. After eight years of
65 uncertainty, the Doha Amendment now stands ratified by the requisite number of Parties and is set
66 to enter into force on 31 December 2020 [2],[3]. With the Amendment coming into force, there are
67 chances of demand being generated in the carbon market with corresponding supplies being met
68 through already registered and operational projects as most of the certified emission reductions
69 issued so far have already been used to fulfil compliance demand in the European Union's Emission
70 Trading Scheme (EU ETS), the UNFCCC or have been retired [4]. Given the rules of accounting and
71 other scenarios in picture, it is expected that most demand will come from Switzerland [5].

72 Among Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) activities, only afforestation and
73 reforestation were included as eligible activities under the CDM [6]. Activities such as reducing
74 emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries, and the role of
75 conservation, sustainable management of forests, and enhancement of forest carbon stocks in
76 developing countries (collectively called *REDD-plus*) were later included under the ambit of
77 UNFCCC but remained outside CDM. Several decisions were taken by the Conference of Parties to
78 UNFCCC on *REDD-plus* in different sessions of Conference of Parties [7]. There are various schemes
79 outside the UNFCCC regime that include regional, national or sub-national legislations mandating
80 emitters to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases, e.g. European Union Emission Trade Scheme (EU-
81 ETS). Some of these schemes include forestry activities as eligible options to earn carbon credits.

82 Voluntary Carbon Market (VCM) aims to offset the emission footprints of activities, products
83 and services of a company, organisation or individual by trading Voluntary Emission Reductions
84 (VERs) to achieve carbon neutrality. A large portion of forestry activities including forest
85 conservation, revegetation and avoided deforestation are excluded from the regulatory markets such
86 as CDM but are allowed under various schemes of the VCM. Time consumed in developing A/R
87 projects is generally high, and these projects are also considered complex as compared to other CDM
88 projects. Besides, the EU had decided not to purchase carbon credits generated from A/R CDM. These
89 factors cumulatively contributed to the low number of A/R CDM projects globally and late arrival of
90 forest-based carbon credits in the market [8]. To the contrary, forestry is a leading sector in VCM [9].

91 Many compliance and voluntary instruments are expected to hit the carbon markets with the
92 take-off of the new global treaty on climate change for the post-2020 period- The Paris Agreement,
93 along with other planned and potential regional, national and sub-national regimes to address
94 climate change. Countries are coming forward with their aims and plans to achieve full or partial

95 carbon neutrality or the status of net-zero emissions, which would also create demand for carbon
96 credits. Such plans may have cascading effects on international trade policies such as in the form of
97 border carbon adjustments. Countries have communicated their Nationally Determined
98 Contributions (NDCs) that they intend to achieve towards meeting the long term common global
99 goal set by the Agreement. Article 6 of the Paris Agreement permits countries to use market
100 mechanisms and non-market approaches for Mitigation [10]. The Paris Agreement also establishes a
101 new type of mitigation 'currency' known as internationally tradable mitigation outcomes (ITMOs)
102 that can be traded towards meeting NDCs. The detailed rules of ITMOs are still to be prepared. The
103 NDCs of several countries have indicated that the level of their commitment is conditional upon
104 having access to international carbon markets. According to an ADB study [11], 102 countries which
105 are collectively responsible for 58.6% of the global GHG emissions, have mentioned the use of market
106 mechanisms in their respective NDCs. Article 6.4 of the Paris Agreement presents a new market
107 mechanism to which some refer as Sustainable Development Mechanism (SDM) [12] and some as
108 Sustainable Mitigation Mechanism (SMM) [13]. The mechanism is seen as the successor of CDM [14].
109 The Paris Agreement also recognises the role of forests in addressing climate change [10]. Forestry is
110 an essential component in NDCs of many countries, and NDCs hold noteworthy options for carbon
111 forestry projects [15]. United Nations General Assembly has proclaimed the decade of 2021-2030 as
112 UN Decade on Ecosystem Restoration. Emphasis has recently picked up on nature-based solutions.
113 Given these international circumstances and multilateral attention, we expect forestry sector playing
114 a major role in addressing climate change, especially in emerging economies like India. With the
115 possibility of inter-linking the carbon market segments in times to come, we see a complex picture of
116 the existing carbon markets turning into a future supermarket that will include both climate change
117 mitigation and adaptation.

118 3.2. Policy landscape of forest carbon in India

119 India's national forest policy suggests a national goal of bringing 33% of country's geographical
120 area under forest and tree cover in the plains while 66% of the area should be under forest cover in
121 the hilly regions [16]. The National Forest Policy is currently under review, and the draft new policy
122 aims to bring 33% of the government-owned forests under community forest management regime by
123 2030. It also intends to double the trees cover area outside forest by 2030. An objective of the draft
124 policy is also to integrate climate change mitigation and adaptation measures in forest management
125 and enhance the carbon sequestration in forests and trees by 33% by 2030 [17].

126 Indian Remote Sensing Organization (ISRO) is the national body for all matters related to space
127 applications, including generation of remote sensing data. ISRO has a network of regional centers
128 and autonomous bodies spread across different states in the country. Remote sensing data is widely
129 used in India for biodiversity characterization, wetlands mapping, forest and biomass assessments,
130 land degradation and desertification processes, forest fires incidents etc. Forest and tree cover of India
131 is monitored using satellite-based remote sensing data and is reported biennially by the Forest Survey
132 of India (FSI) in the form of India- State of the Forest Reports. India's forest and tree cover is on
133 ascendance and currently account for 24.56% of total geographical area [18]. The forest and tree cover
134 sequester about 16% of India's annual carbon dioxide emissions [19]. India has an impressive but
135 ambitious NDC goal of creating an additional carbon sink of 2.5 to 3 billion tCO₂e through additional
136 forest and tree cover by 2030 [20].

137 India is implementing several promotional and legislative measures to conserve and enhance
138 the forest and tree cover. National Mission for a Green India (GIM) is the forest-related national
139 mission under India's National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC). One of the objectives of
140 the Mission is enhancing annual CO₂ sequestration by 50 to 60 million tonnes in the year 2020 [21].
141 To recognise and entrust the right of the forest-dwelling Scheduled Tribes and other traditional forest
142 dwellers to use and occupy forest lands, the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers
143 (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act was enacted in 2006. A Compensatory Afforestation Fund
144 Management and Planning Authority (CAMPA) Act was legislated in 2016 to provide institutional
145 arrangements for utilising the funds collected under the provisions of the Forest (Conservation) Act,

146 1980. The funds are to be utilized for undertaking artificial regeneration (plantations), assisted natural
147 regeneration, protection of forests, forest-related infrastructure development, wildlife protection and
148 other related activities. Afforestation is being carried out under the National Afforestation
149 Programme, National Mission on Clean Ganga, National Green Highway Mission, Nagar Van
150 Scheme and other schemes. Pradhan Mantri Ujjawala Yojna was started in the year 2016 to encourage
151 the use of clean fuel for cooking and has helped reducing dependence on forests for fuelwood,
152 thereby tackling an important driver of forest degradation [22].

153 A number of forestry projects with carbon component have been implemented with financial
154 support from bilateral and multilateral institutions in different parts of the country. For example, the
155 Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) has assisted Uttar Pradesh Participatory Forest
156 Management and Poverty Alleviation Project (UPPFMPAP) that includes ten registered AR-CDM
157 projects. USAID has funded The Partnership for Land Use Science (Forest-PLUS) project. GIZ and
158 World Bank have partnered in AR CDM projects in Odisha and Himachal Pradesh respectively.

159 3.3. Observations from the registered forest carbon projects

160 India has the highest number of registered AR-CDM projects in the world. Within India, Uttar
161 Pradesh is the leading state with ten registered AR-CDM projects. All these projects are being
162 implemented on degraded lands by the state's forest department in joint forest management (JFM)
163 mode. Two of these projects located in Kashi and Mahoba forest divisions were visited, and
164 interactions were done with forest department officials and local people, including the members of
165 JFM Committees. It was observed that though these projects have been registered and the after due
166 monitoring of both the projects, a third party designated operational entity has completed the
167 verification process. The emission reductions are in the pipeline of issuance.

168 3.4. Expert Survey

169 India is yet to see a domestic carbon market of any sort. There is a need to have a comprehensive
170 domestic mechanism of emission trading in India. In our survey, out of a total of 43 responding
171 experts, 25 experts (58%) believed that India is somewhat ready for a forest-based domestic carbon
172 market, while 17 experts (40%) believed that India is not ready for it. Only one expert (2.3%) believed
173 that India is absolutely ready. The World Bank's ongoing Partnership of Market Readiness (PMR)
174 project in India does not include forestry sector as a priority for piloting market-based mechanism
175 [23]. A framework for emission trading scheme in India was proposed [24], with the following
176 elements: a Regulatory Authority, inclusion of industries, allocation of allowances among states,
177 compliance plans, containment of price volatility. A top-down approach with standard protocols can
178 be used for carbon offset projects. Once domestic markets in India are in place, the question of
179 interlinking it with other regional markets would arise. The interlinking of carbon markets in the
180 Asia-pacific region is possible in the long run but there are huge bottlenecks in near-terms [25].
181 However, some guiding principles and diversification of strategies can help in reducing the risks and
182 in establishing a healthy forestry-based market.

183 The Paris agreement allows countries to reduce their carbon emissions by flexible means and on
184 a bottom-up basis. It is high time that India should design its own carbon market. The forestry sector
185 is an emerging sector and an investment opportunity as it provides not only climate change
186 mitigation but also several adaptation benefits and other co-benefits such as livelihood and
187 biodiversity. Of the total, 72% of the respondent experts foresee India as a leading country in the
188 event of international carbon markets reviving on implementation of the Paris Agreement, post-2020.
189 The carbon market is volatile and has many associated risks. The new markets have higher risks than
190 established and mature markets. Even policymakers and investors cannot control the risks that
191 depend on many macro- and micro-economic factors and the uncertainties associated with these
192 factors; for example, prices of carbon in even established emission trading schemes have fallen due
193 to slowed down economic activities because of COVID-19 pandemic [1].

194 Followings constraints and gaps were identified in India's readiness to identify and embrace the
195 opportunity of carbon markets in forest restoration:

- 196 - A legislative or strong voluntary framework agreeable to all stakeholders
- 197 - Setting up sub-national goals
- 198 - Encouraging private and civil society participation
- 199 - Ensuring fair price for forest carbon
- 200 - Enabling the funding environment and new channels of investments
- 201 - Technical know-how and technology application
- 202 - Tools and methods suiting India
- 203 - Institutional mechanism and effective governance including better coordination among
- 204 agencies and between the center and state governments, fund allocation from government,
- 205 pilot projects, vision
- 206 - Monitoring, Reporting and Verification concerning international norms, simplified yet
- 207 transparent MRV
- 208 - Capacity building and awareness including capacity building of forestry personnel of the
- 209 State forest departments

210 4. Conclusions

211 It is postulated that the market-based climate policy initiatives across territories will display
212 increased levels of influence, interactions, interconnections and interdependence. Though India is
213 currently not completely ready to take up domestic carbon markets in the forest sector, it has the
214 potential of becoming a leading player in the new and upcoming international climate change
215 supermarkets in the future. Currently, the understanding in India is limited to the implementation of
216 the offset projects under international carbon markets. Some critical steps need to be considered while
217 designing the domestic forestry carbon market in India. The structure and function of the domestic
218 carbon market need to be planned carefully, keeping in line with national policies and schemes. Fair
219 demand should be created at the national level to prevent potential market failure due to price shock
220 and hyper-volatility. Risks for investments in such forestry projects must also be reduced through
221 building innovative models.

222 **Funding:** This research received no external funding.

223 **Acknowledgments** The authors thank experts for participating in online survey/interview, forest department
224 officials and local stakeholders of Kashi and Mahoba Forest Divisions for their valuable time and efforts.

225 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

226 References:

- 227 1. World Bank. *State and Trends of Carbon Pricing 2020*; World Bank: Washington DC, 2020; p. 347; DOI:
228 10.1596/978-1-4648-1586-7.
- 229 2. UNFCCC. Database of PAs and POAs 2020. Available online:
230 <https://cdm.unfccc.int/Statistics/Public/files/Database%20for%20PAs%20and%20PoAs.xlsx> (accessed on 06 May
231 2020)
- 232 3. UN. Doha Amendment to the Kyoto Protocol 2020. United Nations. Available online:
233 <https://treaties.un.org/doc/Publication/CN/2020/CN.425.2020-Eng.pdf>
- 234 4. Bagh, T.; Esparta, A.; Sangsun, H.; Kolmetz, S.; Madero, M.; Morton, T.; Patrickson, C.; Tuchten, O.; Vrolijk,
235 C. *The Myth of Oversupply A PD Forum study*; Project Developer Forum, 2020; p. 17.
- 236 5. Michaelowa, A.; Shishlov, I. The Doha Amendment: ratification status and implications for credit demand
237 2020. P.6.

- 238 6. UNFCCC. *Kyoto Protocol to the UNFCCC*; 1997; P. 24. Available online:
239 <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/docs/cop3/107a01.pdf>
- 240 7. UNFCCC. Key decisions relevant for reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation in
241 developing countries (REDD+) 2016. UNFCCC Secretariat, Bonn. P. 46.
- 242 8. Robiedo, C. and H.O.M. Why there are so few forestry projects under the Clean Development Mechanism.
243 *ITTO's Tropical Forests Update Online Newsletter*. 2008,.
- 244 9. Donofrio, S.; Maguire, P.; Merry, W.; Zwick, S. *Financing Emission Reductions for the Future: State of Voluntary*
245 *Carbon Markets 2019*; Forest Trends' Ecosystem Marketplace: Washington DC, 2019; p. 9.
- 246 10. UNFCCC. Paris Agreement 2015. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. P. 27.
- 247 11. Amarjargal, B.; Ebro, H.; Nylander, J.; Duggal, V.K. *Achieving Nationally Determined Contributions through*
248 *Market Mechanisms in Asia and the Pacific*; ADB Sustainable Development Working Paper Series; Asian
249 Development Bank, 2020; p. 60; <https://doi.org/10.22617/WPS200088-2>.
- 250 12. Segger, M.-C.C. Advancing the Paris Agreement on Climate Change for Sustainable Development. *CILJ*
251 **2016**, 5, 202–237, doi:10.4337/cilj.2016.02.03.
- 252 13. Olsen, K.H.; Arens, C.; Mersmann, F. Learning from CDM SD tool experience for Article 6.4 of the Paris
253 Agreement. *Climate Policy* **2018**, 18, 383–395, doi:10.1080/14693062.2016.1277686.
- 254 14. Gao, S.; Li, M.-Y.; Duan, M.-S.; Wang, C. International carbon markets under the Paris Agreement: Basic
255 form and development prospects. *Advances in Climate Change Research* **2019**, 10, 21–29,
256 doi:10.1016/j.accre.2019.03.001.
- 257 15. van der Gaast, W.; Sikkema, R.; Vohrer, M. The contribution of forest carbon credit projects to addressing
258 the climate change challenge. *Climate Policy* **2018**, 18, 42–48, doi:10.1080/14693062.2016.1242056.
- 259 16. MoEF. National Forest Policy 1988. Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India.
260 https://mpforest.gov.in/img/files/Policy_NFP.pdf
- 261 17. MoEFCC. Draft National Forest Policy, 2018. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change,
262 Government of India, New Delhi. P. 12. [http://moef.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Inviting-comments-](http://moef.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Inviting-comments-from-concerned-Ministries-on-Draft-National-Forest-Policy-2018.pdf)
263 [from-concerned-Ministries-on-Draft-National-Forest-Policy-2018.pdf](http://moef.gov.in/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/Inviting-comments-from-concerned-Ministries-on-Draft-National-Forest-Policy-2018.pdf). Accessed on 06 Oct 2020.
- 264 18. FSI. *India State of Forest Report*; Forest Survey of India: Dehradun, 2019; p. 185;.
- 265 19. MoEFCC. *India Second Biennial Update Report to the UNFCCC*; Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate
266 Change: New Delhi, 2018; p. 228;.
- 267 20. MoEFCC. India's Intended Nationally determined contribution 2015. Ministry of Environment, Forest and
268 Climate Change, Government of India.
- 269 21. MoEF, G. of I. National Mission for a Green India (Under The National Action Plan on Climate Change)
270 not dated. NAEB, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India, New Delhi. P. 37.
- 271 22. Rajya Sabha. *Status of Forests in India*; Department-Related Parliamentary Standing Committee On Science
272 & Technology, Environment & Forests, Rajya Sabha, Parliament of India: New Delhi, 2019; p. 46;.
- 273 23. PMR. *PMR Project Management Status Report*; Partnership for Market Readiness, 2018; p. 12. Partnership for
274 Market Readiness. Available online:
275 [https://www.thepmr.org/system/files/documents/India%20PMR%20Project%20Implementation%20Status%20](https://www.thepmr.org/system/files/documents/India%20PMR%20Project%20Implementation%20Status%20Report_18%20Oct%202018.pdf)
276 [Report_18%20Oct%202018.pdf](https://www.thepmr.org/system/files/documents/India%20PMR%20Project%20Implementation%20Status%20Report_18%20Oct%202018.pdf). Accessed on 26 Oct 2020.
- 277 24. Shubham, J.; Rosencranz, A. Fighting India's war on carbon with an emissions trading program. *NLUD*
278 *Student Law Journal* **2018**, 5, 57–70.

- 279 25. Mo, L.; Lu, X. Barriers and Options for Carbon Market Integration. In *Investing on Low-Carbon Energy*
280 *Systems*; Anbumozhi, V., Kalirajan, K., Kimura, F., Yao, X., Eds.; Springer Singapore: Singapore, 2016; pp. 391–
281 434 ISBN 978-981-10-0760-6.
282
283
284