IECP 2020 The 1st International Electronic Conference on Pharmaceutics 01-15 DECEMBER 2020 | ONLINE







Fakhara Sabir¹, Gábor Katona¹, Ruba Ismail¹ and Ildiko Csoka¹

- ¹ Faculty of Pharmacy, Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology and Regulatory Affairs, University of Szeged, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary
- * Corresponding author: csoka@pharm.u-szeged.hu, Tel.+36-62-546-116



Development and Optimization of N-Propyl gallate Encapsulated Hyaluronic Acid Based Hydrogel for Nose to Brain Delivery by Applying Quality by Design Methodology

Abstract: The aim of this research work was to develop *n*-propylgallate encapsulated solid lipid nanoparticles (PG-SLNs) and load them into a Hyaluronic acid (HA) based hydrogel (HG) for intranasal delivery. Simple modified solvent injection technique was used for the preparation of the PG-SLNs via the Quality-by Design (QbD) approach. The optimized PG-SLNs with an average hydrodynamic diameter of 103±46.04 nm with polydispersity index (PDI) of 0.16±0.001 and zeta potential of -36±4.78 mV of were obtained. The percentage yield of PG-SLNs was found to be 80.78±0.1% with an encapsulation efficiency of 84±0.5% and loading capacity of 60±0.1%. In vitro drug release from the hydrogel containing PG-SLNs showed sustained release profile with a lower burst effect (less than 20%) and controlled release to a greater extent within 720 mints following diffusion based release kinetics. The in vitro permebility studies showed the total permeation of PG from HG was 600 μ g/cm² within 60 mints that showed significant permeation of PG. Findings of this work strongly emphasize that PG-SLNs loaded hydrogel and permeation enhancer holds significant potential to be delivered through intranasal route.

Keywords: nose to brain; n-propylgallate; SLN; hydrogel; Quality by Design

Graphical Abstract



Aims and Objective of study

- Development and loading of PG-SLNs into chemically linked HA hydrogel with permeation enhancer (Transcutol-P) and crosslinker glutaraldehyde suitable for nose to brain delivery by applying QbD.
- •Characterization of developed nanoparticles encapsulated with PG and PG loaded HA-hydrogel for intranasal delivery.



Methodology



Risk Assessment

CQA CP	P	Ratio of organic phase (Preparation of lipid solution)			Sonication time (Preparation of lipid solution)		Stirring speed (Preparation of surfactant solution)		Injection speed (Mixing of organic and aqueous phase)		Stirring speed (Mixing of organic and aqueous phase)		Temperature (Evaporation)		Stirring speed(Hydrogel preparation) (Preparation of HA Solution)		Weight of dried PG-SLNs added (Preparation of HA Solution)		Time in hours for mixing (Addition of GA and phenolethanolami ne)			
Particle size		High			High		High		High		High		High		Low		Low		Low			
Zeta potential	ISIS III	Low				Low		Medium		Medium		Medium		Medium		Low		Low		Low		
Permeation rate		Low -					Low	CLASSES	Low		Medium		Low		Medium		Medium		Me	Medium		High
Mucoadhesivity		Medium				Low		Low		Low		Low		Low			High	High		and the second states	High	
Swelling index		Low				Low		Low		Low		Low		Low		M	Medium		High		High	
Drug contents of		Low				Low		Low		I	Low	Low		Low		Medium		Me	dium		High	
EF of NPs		Medium			Medium		Medium		Medium		Medium		High		Low		Low		III MORELINESSING	Low		
Viscosity of gel		Low			STERNING ST	Low		Low		Low		Low		Low		High		High			High	
Lipids contents		High			na sa	Medium		Medium		High		Medium		High		Low		Low		S TESTERAT	Low	
Surfactant				State Re-			and the second		Constanting Statistics							a solution provide della solution		Contracting and the second		and the second state of the second state of the		
concentration			Hig	gh		M	edium	- THE PARTY OF	High		ligh		High			High		Low		ow		Low
CQA	Rou	te of		10110	Dissolu	tion	Contraction of the		Therape	eutic		ULUMP!	Brain	Sill Crock	Underster	Proce	SS STREET	and provide the second	CPP	Seconder Daugus	U.R.C. Sand	Occurrence
QTPP	adm	inistr	ation		orofile		Stabil	uy	indicati	on	Permeat	ion	distri	butic	-	Prepa	ration o	flipid	Ratio o	forganic	phase	High
Particle size		Hig	h	Si si s	High		Hig	High		igh Hig		h	Hig		h solutio		on Chuid		and a sugar of print			AND SELECTION OF THE REAL OF THE
Zeta potential		Medium			Low		High		Hig	ligh		h	Hij		h soluti		on		Sonication time			Medium
Permeation rate		High			High		Medi	um	n Low		High		High		Prepa surfa	paration of factant solution		Stirring speed			Low	
Mucoadhesivity		High		BERNE D	High		Mediu		m Low		Hig	h	Medium		Mixin	ng of organic and		Injection speed		High		
Swelling index		Low		DISTUR	Medium		Lov	v	Low		Hig	h Med		Medi	um aqueo		us phase					
Drug contents of HG		Low			High		Medi	um	n High		Hig	;h Hi		Hig	h aqueo Evapo		us phase pration		Stirring speed Temperature			Low High
EE of NPs		Low			High		Medi	um	im Medi		m High		Renormente	Hig	h	Prepa	aration of HA		Stirring	speed(H	ydrogel	Medium
Viscosity of gel	推动推进	High			High		Medium		m Media		High		Medium		Solut	ion		prepara	tion)		meanin	
Lipids contents		High			High		High		Mediu		High		High		h	Solution		THA	SLNs added		Medium	
Surfactant		High			High		High		Media		ium High		and the second second	Lin		Addi	ion of G	A and		and a start		STREET, LANDARD MAN
concentration	1000					E CHINESE CONTRACTOR			L'INCOMPANY OF THE OWNER OWN		Cara La			pheno		olethanolamine		Time in nours for mixing		ringn		
	400 - 350 - 300 -	0 - 378 0 - 306 0 - 306		306	~~~	(i)							- 0 - 0 -	-		11934		(ii) 4 9690 9366 9366		6 9210		
Severity score	250 - 200 - 150 - 100 - 50 -		Lipids contents	Surfactant concentration	Zeta potential	Particle distribution	Drug content in HG	28 2	82 210 Solubility of API	156 EE of NPs	132 Swelling index	800 600 400 200		Temperature(Evaporation)		Stirring speed	Time of sonication	Injection speed	Weight of dried NPs loading into HG	Time (mixing of two solvent)	Conc of gelling agent	2
							CQAS											CPPs				

****Interdependence rating and estimation of the QTPP and CQAs and CQAs-CPPs, and Pareto charts showing the severity/impact among selected

Results and Discussion Response Surface Quadratic Model



3D surface plot show combine effect of cholesterol and surfactant on Particle size,PDI and Zeta potential. One factor interaction plot showed Cholesterol was significantly invovled in effecting the all studies factors under obervation.



component and final formulation, XRPD results show conversion of crystalline form of PG into amorphous form in PG-SLNs

Relative Intensity (a.u.)

Results and Discussion Particle Size, Zeta Potential, PDI



Zeta sizer were used for analysis PG-SLNs with an average size of 103±46.04 nm,PDI of 0.16±0.001, Zeta potential of -36±4.78 mv

Results and Discussion Morphological study (TEM analysis)



IECP 2020

***Particles were spherical in shape and within nanorange And results were comparable with particle size analysis of zeta sizer

Results and Discussion Characterization of Hydrogel

Conc. Hydro gel	pH value of different conc of HA- Hydrogel	% Swelling ratio	% Drug Contents	Spread ability mm ²	Muco- adhesivity	Viscosity with CL(Pas)	Viscosity without CL(Pas)
0.5	5.3±0.2	400	78±2.5	222.45 ± 0.22	***	0.112	0.181
1	5.2±0.3	900	82±3.3	360±0. 33	Good	1.88	2.11
2	5.5±0.4	1300	80±1.4	320±0. 44	Good	14.29	15.45
3	5.9±0.6	2000	79±4.2	340±0. 012	Good	66.34	157

***The hydrogel at concentration 1% show best results in all performed studies. We selected this concentration for further in vitro release and permeation testing



Swelling study results showed that hydrogel without cross linker showed greater swelling index. it can be concluded that by adding cross-linker, mechanical strength of hydrogel enhances and has more precise control on release of nano-carrier from gel matrix as compare to simple hydrogel which is mechanically fragile that can get fractured easily thus larger pores may be created through which nano-carriers can easily escape with initiating a burst release





Results and Discussion Viscosity measurement

At different concentration of hyaluronic acid viscosity of hydrogel was measured to get optimized concentration suitable for intranasal administration





For In vitro permeability study of nano-formulation and hydrogel Side bi side equipment was used for permeation study





In vitro Release study of Nano-formulation and Hydrogel showed initial burst released in case of hydrogel has been slower and controlled to a greater extent



2020

Conclusions

- PG-SLNs were successfully prepared in this study using the modified injection method. For the optimization of formulation and process parameters, initial risk assessment study was applied as a part of QbD approach.
- The PG-SLNs showed an average size of roughly 100 nm with a homogenous distribution, negative surface charge, drug release reaching roughly 60% and high EE (> 80%) (complying with the predefined QTPP).
- The viscosity and mucoadhesivity results reflect enhanced adherence property of optimized HA-HG loaded with PG-SLNs. Compared to PG-SLNs, in vitro permeation studies showed the superiority of the PG-SLNs loaded into HA-HG and transuctol-P system with a less initial burst effect and more sustained release.
- The optimized platform provides in vitro proof of the potential of combining the advantages of lipid based NPs with HG as a promising intransal delivery system.

Acknowledgments

The authors want to express their acknowledgement to the supporters. This study was supported by the Ministry of Human Capacities, Hungary (Grant 20391-3/2018/FEKUSTRAT) and by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office, Hungary (GINOP 2.3.2-15-2016-00060) projects.







NATIONAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND INNOVATION OFFICE HUNGARY



References

1. Mistry, A., S. Stolnik. ; L. Illum, Nanoparticles for direct nose-to-brain delivery of drugs. Int.J.Pharm, 2009. 379(1): p. 146-157.

2. Kumar, M., et al., Evaluation of neuropeptide loaded trimethyl chitosan nanoparticles for nose to brain delivery. Int.J Biol.Macro, 2013. 61: p. 189-195.

3. Gonçalves, J., et al., Nose-to-brain delivery of levetiracetam after intranasal administration to mice. Int.J.Pharm, 2019. 564: p. 329-339.

4. Eskandani, M., et al., Formulation, characterization, and geno/cytotoxicity studies of galbanic acid-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles. Pharm.Bio, 2015. 53(10): p. 1525-1538.





Dr. Ildiko Csoka Dr.Gábor Katona Dr. Pallagi Edina Dr.Rita Ambrus Dr. Dorina Gabriella Dobó Dr. Ruba Ismail



