

1 *Conference Proceedings Paper*

2 **Remote operations could be the future for Earth** 3 **Sciences teaching: A speculative discussion**

4 **Carlos Alves ^{1,*}, Carlos Figueiredo ² and Jorge Sanjurjo-Sánchez ³**

5 Published: date

6 Academic Editor: name

7 ¹ LandS/Lab2PT-Landscapes, Heritage and Territory laboratory (FCT-UIDB/04509/2020) and Earth Sciences
8 Department/School of Sciences, University of Minho, Braga, Portugal; casaix@dct.uminho.pt

9 ² CERENA—Centro de Recursos Naturais e Ambiente, FCT-UIDB/04028/2020, DEcivil, Instituto Superior
10 Técnico, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal; carlos.m.figueiredo@ist.utl.pt

11 ³ Instituto Universitario de Xeoloxía, Universidad de la Coruña, ESCI, Campus de Elviña, A Coruña, Spain;
12 jorge.sanjurjo.sanchez@udc.es

13 * Correspondence: casaix@dct.uminho.pt; Earth Sciences Department/School of Sciences, University of
14 Minho, Braga, Portugal.

15 **Abstract:** The current pandemic situation has created havoc in the regular workings of many
16 institutions such as those dedicated to teaching and, therefore, the urge for alternatives to
17 traditional face to face teaching has raised. However, for certain subjects, such as the Earth
18 Sciences, distance teaching approaches could be seen as counterintuitive to the essential
19 foundations of the subject (where empirical information, especially from fieldwork, was
20 paramount for its foundation and growth). We consider in this work remote operations, which
21 could complement, improve and perhaps even replace the traditional approach for Earth Sciences
22 teaching, potentially producing better learning outcomes, even in relation to laboratory and
23 fieldwork, including work concerning studies in locations out of planet Earth. Additionally, we
24 consider the possible advantages for other professional settings related to this area, such as those
25 concerning terrain characterization for Engineering works, mineral resources and environmental
26 studies, as well as possible support for space missions and stations in other astronomical bodies
27 (where mineral exploration and extraction could be developed and, hence, especially benefit from
28 remote operations).

29 **Keywords:** COVID-19, fieldwork, laboratory work, space exploration and exploitation.
30

31 **1. Introduction**

32 The currently ongoing pandemic has caused major disruptions in teaching activities around the
33 world. However, given that this is a problem happening worldwide, this also means that there will
34 be a wider interested audience (which also means a wider market).

35 One can admit that other situations of generalized isolation will happen in the future. They
36 could be due to the occurrence of pandemics (promoted by an increasingly "de facto" borderless
37 world) and to climatic catastrophes (in a sense akin to the mathematical catastrophe theory)
38 promoted by climate change and related events (floods, landslides).

39 Other situations of isolation such as sickness and disabilities (of staff and students) can promote
40 generalized or individual problems of access, in a temporal or permanent matter. The solutions to
41 overcome these situations will have, hence, wider social importance (see, for example, [1]).

42 Besides the immediate questions about teaching, the issues discussed here concerning the use of
43 remote operations could be relevant for developing skills that will be useful in diverse professional
44 settings and it might help to prepare students for 21st-century workplaces where collaborators can

45 participate from anywhere. Just like the internet, which began as a government (military) project, the
46 investment in these teaching alternatives could contribute to diverse business opportunities in
47 several geological areas such as mineral exploration and environmental studies, especially for
48 hardly accessible areas or those with conditioned access resulting, e.g., from radioactive conditions.

49 Teaching based on remote interactions could also have several advantages in terms of reducing
50 stress, atmospheric pollution, and overcrowding (as a side effect, the reduction of crowding could
51 reduce the number of people exposed to street crime or low-tech terrorist attacks). Being potentially
52 more flexible in terms of schedule, it will be advantageous for working students or people with
53 caring activities for infants or elderly and sick people.

54 **2. Materials and methods**

55 The materials considered include both objects and concepts relevant to Earth Sciences classes
56 (we will attempt to formulate a global discussion that applies to minerals, fossils, rocks, structures,
57 etc.). We are focused on the geological points and we will not discuss specific equipments for the
58 execution of the remote operations.

59 We will consider two overly broad methodological options: interaction with humans and with
60 remotely controlled electronic systems. However, obviously, in the analyzed context, the interaction
61 with humans will necessarily involve the use of some kind of electronic system. These will be
62 discussed in relation to the traditional geological methods (fieldwork, hand sample observation,
63 polarizing microscope, etc.).

64 We must call the reader attention to an important shortcoming of the discussion presented here:
65 we will not consider safety issues related to the considered procedures (which, in some situations
66 could render them unviable). We will also, in general, avoid limitations related to economic factors.

67 **3. Discussion**

68 Interaction with human agents will be the easiest option to implement in the short term but the
69 least interesting in the long term, especially considering the possible use of these procedures in
70 future professional settings (namely those in extreme environments, for example in extraterrestrial
71 places).

72 An extremely simple and currently feasible technique for deploying this method of remote
73 operations by communication with other humans will be to implement live interactions between
74 teachers and students. Expectedly, this could be presently done without great effort or cost and there
75 are some examples related to survey of distance places (personal communications to the first author
76 from Prof. C. Leal Gomes and from Ana Patrícia Matos).

77 The interactive process can include requests from the teacher for the students to perform
78 specific activities (it can also be performed the other way around, with the students requesting
79 actions from the teacher).

80 During the current pandemic, there has been a more complex situation that nonetheless might
81 be very pertinent for the future: when some students (but not all) are unable to attend laboratory
82 activities. In this situation, it is necessary to preserve the privacy of the students that are present at
83 the laboratory.

84 In this circumstances, it will be frequent that at least one of the human agents will have to leave
85 the usual residence and this option might become problematic under conditions of extreme
86 restrictions to circulation (however, for studies performed in places away from human
87 conglomerates, for example for fieldwork, it could be possible to overcome this issue).

88 Interaction with humans will in principle allow developing any kind of study usually
89 performed in the field or in the laboratory. Besides the problem of communication of commands,
90 there is the major issue of observation at several scales and azimuths. Human flexibility allows using
91 other complementary tools like satellite imaging.

92 Remotely controlled electronic systems dispense the presence of humans on the studied site
93 (field or laboratory). In principle, this option could be implemented in ways compatible with total

94 human confinement (excluding the need for some human interventions for equipment
95 maintenance). However, there is the question of the distance range for controlling the electronic
96 devices (but we can expect that this will improve significantly in the future). These electronic
97 systems could have several enhancements coupled such as different wavelengths (e.g. for scheelite
98 observation). This is a sector that might grow in the coming years as the necessary technology
99 becomes more accessible.

100 The first step in performing fieldwork is to define the framework for the terrain survey, namely
101 an initial recognition of the objects that will be studied, which might be modified according to the
102 results of these preliminary data (e.g., further, more detailed studies, of constituents of these objects).

103 There are already several examples of the use of autonomous electronic systems in terrain
104 studies. We can refer to the study by Kromer et al. [2] concerning the monitoring of mass movements
105 in rock slopes with a land station equipped with a time-lapse camera system. One can also find
106 examples of the use of aerial vehicles in terrain studies related to slope stability [3-5].

107 One of the challenges for autonomous electronic systems will be to perform some hand tests
108 used for characterizing geological samples such as described in [6]. Perhaps it will be possible to use
109 electronic systems that perform physical tests on-site on the studied rocks and soils. Similar
110 considerations will apply to sample collection.

111 Regarding laboratory work, students could go to the laboratory at specific times to perform the
112 requested studies and collaboration between institutions would help to overcome travelling issues.
113 In practice, restrictions on the number of students that could be in the laboratory at the same time
114 and the need for the instructor presence could make this excessively costly.

115 For hand samples, besides the study of samples in the institution spaces, it will be, in principle
116 possible to send the samples to the students' domiciles and afterwards teaching staff can interact
117 with them remotely.

118 However, some properties of minerals cannot be taught by procedures where the students are
119 physically removed from the samples; for example, taste (the students will lose the "chance" of
120 tasting sylvite) and smell.

121 Performing studies of hand samples solely by electronic systems seem to be a significant
122 challenge, not only due to the potential difficulties in handling the samples but especially for some
123 of the properties that are frequently used in the study of hand samples of minerals and rocks. This
124 will be the case, namely, for those that involve the manipulation of the samples such as surface
125 hardness, or for organoleptic properties (taste, smell). While, in principle, it should be possible to
126 simulate these data based on the senses through neurological stimulation of the pertinent regions of
127 the brain, besides the technical difficulties, this will certainly raise serious ethical issues.
128 Nonetheless, in principle, any of these properties could be replaced for some kind of instrumental
129 test.

130 In the case of microscopic studies, it will be harder, but not impossible, at least in principle, to
131 send the physical items to the students, especially petrographic microscopes, which are costly and
132 delicate equipments. It will be exceedingly difficult in practice to distribute them among the usual
133 number of students. But this option might be possible for some students under special circumstances
134 (in a more inclusive perspective). And one can hope that, if there is a market for them, it will be
135 possible to obtain cheaper, sturdier, and more portable microscopes. It will be useful to remember
136 that the first petrographic microscopes were handmade and used solar light (so, this will be a way to
137 promote the use of renewable solar energy and a, albeit small, contribution to decarbonization).
138 There are already publications with instructions for how to make a kind of petrographic microscope
139 [7], albeit without the possibility of obtaining interference figures. While, certainly, it will be a very
140 steep challenge to prepare conditions were students could mount their own petrographic
141 microscopes, the long-term benefits could be enormous. Furthermore, the changes required to
142 prepare sturdier, portable, solar light-based, petrographic microscopes will be much less dramatic
143 than the change from room-sized to pocket-sized computers that happened in less than a century

144 and the change that the first two authors saw during their lifetime from “the” computer in “a” lab to
145 computers in our pockets.

146 It will be even harder, of course, to send to the students equipments like X-ray diffractometers
147 where safety issues are much direr (impossible at present and it does not seem viable to promote
148 "home-made X-ray diffractometers", namely for safety reasons).

149 Remotely operated instruments like microscopes or X-ray diffractometers are currently a
150 question of implementation details. An example of a computer-controlled petrographic microscopy
151 can be found in Fueten [8].

152 The assessment of the potential relevance of these remote procedures in teaching Earth Sciences
153 should consider, also, their eventual impact on future professional activities. Remote operations
154 could contribute to exploration and monitoring studies in isolated and hardly accessible locations,
155 under conditions that limit the movements of people in general and especially in terms of those with
156 temporary or permanent restrictions.

157 The discussion presented here about the traditional geological studies can be extended to
158 surveys of objects located out of Earth. The teaching perspective could contribute to valuing space
159 missions with the transmission of observation and analysis in real-time. Additionally, the training
160 and investment in the development of remote procedures for the study of geological bodies can
161 contribute to implementing exploration and exploitation operations of other astronomical bodies (in
162 this case by interaction with remotely-controlled electronic systems, at least for the immediate future
163 – but see below). The present relevance of the issues concerning other celestial bodies might be
164 illustrated by the existence of proposals for protection for the geological objects in them, as shown in
165 the publication on exogeoconservation by [9] and will converge with general questions about
166 possible terraforming operations. The possibility of Mars colonization in the relatively near future
167 has been widely discussed by one of the leading companies, see, e.g., [10] and this should be a
168 significant incentive for the developing of these techniques in Earth Science teaching.

169 The use of remote electronic systems is an area where it seems that some companies are ahead
170 of academic teaching as some other examples help to illustrate [11,12]. This might prompt questions
171 on whether universities are failing one of their main purposes (to prepare students for the
172 workplace).

173 **4. Final considerations**

174 Remote teaching procedures seem presently viable with reasonable investments and in a
175 relatively expedite manner when they are based on interactions with other humans (there will be
176 variable options in terms of the position of students and teaching staff according to the objects and
177 techniques involved).

178 Besides the more technical details related to observation and communication, one should also
179 consider potential ethical issues (especially in terms of privacy) for distanced lectures using spaces
180 where other students are present.

181 There are several issues concerning the use of interactions with electronic systems, including
182 the financial costs required by the use of such systems. However, one should consider the many
183 benefits that could be derived from the use of these electronic systems, not only for working
184 students but also in terms of inclusivity. Furthermore, this creates an opportunity for geological
185 teams to collaborate with informatic ones since it could also contribute to the development of
186 electronic solutions with the potential to be implemented in other situations. In a similar fashion to
187 what has happened with other technological solutions (e.g., cars, computers, phones, and also
188 already for drones), one can expect that the development of opportunities for the use of these
189 electronic systems will make them both better and less costly.

190 While all the procedures discussed here will potentiate the future professional relevance of the
191 students, the use of remotely controlled electronic systems will be especially useful for remote and
192 inaccessible locations and any situation where human access is restricted. This will also include
193 potential operations in extraterrestrial locations.

194 Universities should consider seriously these remote teaching techniques, looking at
195 groundbreaking examples coming from companies in order to better prepare their students for
196 future professional settings.

197 **Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank first and foremost all the authors of the freeware used for the
198 preparation of this paper (more details in Materials and Methods and in the references). The
199 Lab2PT-Landscapes, Heritage and Territory laboratory - UIDB/04509/2020 is supported by the Portuguese FCT
200 - "Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia". The authors also gratefully acknowledge the support of the
201 CERENA (funded by a strategic project of the FCT-UIDB/04028/2020) and the LAMPDIST of the DECivil,
202 Instituto Superior Técnico, University of Lisbon, Portugal. The University Institute of Geology of the University
203 of A Coruña (Spain) receives support from the Xunta de Galicia from the program "Consolidación y
204 estructuración de unidades de investigación competitivas: Grupos de potencial de crecimiento" (ED431B
205 2018/47) and Redes de investigación (R2017/008). The first author would like also to thank his former MSc
206 student Ana Patrícia Matos and to Prof. C. Leal Gomes (also from the Lab2PT/DCT-ECUM) for conversations
207 that were the seed for the reflections on teaching by remote operations (interactions with other human agents in
208 distant locations).

209 **Author Contributions:** All authors contributed to the present paper.

210 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the
211 design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in
212 the decision to publish the results.

213 References

- 214 1. Shew, A. Let COVID-19 expand awareness of disability tech. *Nature* 2020, 581, 9–9,
215 doi:10.1038/d41586-020-01312-w.
- 216 2. Kromer, R.; Walton, G.; Gray, B.; Lato, M.; Group, R. Development and Optimization of an Automated
217 Fixed-Location Time Lapse Photogrammetric Rock Slope Monitoring System. *Remote Sensing* 2019, 11,
218 1890, doi:10.3390/rs11161890.
- 219 3. Wang, S.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, C.; Zhu, C.; Ren, Y. Multistep rocky slope stability analysis based on
220 unmanned aerial vehicle photogrammetry. *Environ Earth Sci* 2019, 78, 260, doi:10.1007/s12665-019-8145-z.
- 221 4. Al-Rawabdeh, A.; He, F.; Moussa, A.; El-Sheimy, N.; Habib, A. Using an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle-Based
222 Digital Imaging System to Derive a 3D Point Cloud for Landslide Scarp Recognition. *Remote Sensing*
223 2016, 8, 95, doi:10.3390/rs8020095.
- 224 5. Tung, W.Y.; Nagendran, S.K.; Mohamad Ismail, M.A. 3D rock slope data acquisition by photogrammetry
225 approach and extraction of geological planes using FACET plugin in CloudCompare. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth*
226 *Environ. Sci.* 2018, 169, 012051, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/169/1/012051.
- 227 6. International society for rock mechanics commission on standardization of laboratory and field tests.
228 *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences & Geomechanics Abstracts* 1978, 15,
229 319–368, doi:10.1016/0148-9062(78)91472-9.
- 230 7. Elkins, L. Petrographic Microscope Home Kit for Smartphones. Available online at
231 <https://serc.carleton.edu/teachearth/activities/237997.html> (accessed on 13 September 2020).
- 232 8. Fueten, F. A computer-controlled rotating polarizer stage for the petrographic microscope. *Computers &*
233 *Geosciences* 1997, 23, 203–208, doi:10.1016/S0098-3004(97)85443-X.
- 234 9. Matthews, J.J.; McMahon, S. Exogeconservation: Protecting geological heritage on celestial bodies. *Acta*
235 *Astronautica* 2018, 149, 55–60, doi:10.1016/j.actaastro.2018.05.034.
- 236 10. Available online at <https://www.planetary.org/articles/20170929-spacex-updated-colonization-plans>
237 (accessed on 19 November 2020).
- 238 11. Available online at <https://spectrum.ieee.org/automaton/transportation/self-driving/robot-vehicles-make-contactless-deliveries-amid-coronavirus-quarantine>
239 (accessed on 19 November 2020).
- 240 12. Available online at <https://www.bbc.com/news/business-5443105> (accessed on 19 November 2020).
- 241



© 2020 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).