

Phytophthora diversity in a protected natural area and in a botanical garden

Mario Riolo^{1,2,3†}, Federico La Spada^{1†}, Francesco Aloï^{1,4†}, Giampietro Giusso del Galdo⁵, Elena Santilli², Antonella Pane^{1*}, Santa Olga Cacciola^{1*}

¹ Department of Agriculture, Food and Environment (Di3A), University of Catania, Catania, Italy;

² Department of Agriculture, University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria, Reggio Calabria, Italy;

³ Council for Agricultural Research and Agricultural Economy Analysis, Research Centre for Olive, Citrus and Tree Fruit-Rende CS (CREA-OFA), Rende, Italy;

⁴ Department of Agricultural, Food and Forest Sciences, University of Palermo, 90128 Palermo, Italy;

⁵ Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, Catania University, 95125 Catania, Italy.

* Correspondence: apane@unict.it; olga.cacciola@unict.it

† These authors contributed equally to the study.

Abstract:

Most soilborne *Phytophthora* species are invasive plant pathogens and nursery plants for transplanting are considered a primary pathway for the introduction of exotic *Phytophthora* species into plant diversity conservation sites. As a preliminary contribute to the study of *Phytophthora* populations in plant conservation sites, we compared the diversity of *Phytophthora* in the protected natural area Complesso Speleologico Villasmundo S. Alfio Nature Reserve (NR) (Siracusa) and the botanical garden (BG) of the University of Catania, in eastern Sicily (Italy). Sampling was carried out in spring 2019. Overall 29 rhizosphere soil samples were collected, 17 from different types of vegetation in NR and 12 from different plant species in BG. *Phytophthora* species were recovered from soil samples by leaf baiting and isolation on a selective medium. Isolates were identified by combining morphological features with phylogenetic inferences from ITS-rDNA sequence analysis. Overall 82 *Phytophthora* isolates, 30 from NR and 52 from BG, were characterized. Five *Phytophthora* species, *P. pseudocryptogea*, *P. cryptogea*, *P. bilorbang*, *P. plurivora* and *P. gonapodyides*, were recovered from NR, while only three species, *P. nicotianae*, *P. multivora* and *P. parvispora*, were found in BG. Factors contributing to shape *Phytophthora* populations of rhizosphere soil in these two vegetational contexts are discussed.

Keywords: Nature Reserve | Botanical garden | leaf baiting | molecular analysis | ITS-rDNA | morphological characters | monitoring

Results

Table 2. *Phytophthora* spp. recovered from plant rhizosphere and physico-chemical soil properties in samples collected in two different plant diversity conservation sites.

Sampling site	Rhizosphere soil sample ID.	Host	Baited <i>Phytophthora</i> spp. ^a	pH	Soil properties			
					Electrical conductivity at 25°C (µS/cm)	Soil texture	Nitrates (mg/Kg)	Organic matter (%)
Compleso Speleologico Villasmundo S. Alfio Regional Nature Reserve	NR_1903_S1	<i>Salix pedicellata</i>	PSC	7.6±0.1	1497.0 ± 49	Sandy clay loam	11.0 ± 1	6.5 ± 0.3
	NR_1903_S2	<i>S. pedicellata</i>	CRY	7.7 ± 0.1	938.0 ± 43	Sandy clay loam	1.6 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.1
	NR_1903_S3	<i>Platanus orientalis</i>	-	7.0 ± 0.1	913.0 ± 43	Sandy clay	7.1 ± 0.7	4.9 ± 0.2
	NR_1903_S4	<i>P. orientalis</i>	BIL	7.1 ± 0.1	1023.0 ± 44	Sandy clay loam	6.9 ± 0.7	5.4 ± 0.3
	NR_1903_S5	<i>Euphorbia dendroides</i>	-	7.3 ± 0.1	976.0 ± 44	Sandy clay	5.9 ± 0.6	7.1 ± 0.4
	NR_1903_S6	<i>Cynara cardunculus</i>	-	7.5 ± 0.1	822.0 ± 41	Sandy clay	7.3 ± 0.7	5.5 ± 0.3
	NR_1903_S7	<i>Asphodelus</i> sp.	-	7.5 ± 0.1	1122.0 ± 45	Sandy clay	7.2 ± 0.7	5.4 ± 0.3
	NR_1903_S8	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	GON	7.3 ± 0.1	1463.0 ± 48	Clay loam	13.0 ± 1	13.1 ± 0.7
	NR_1903_S9	<i>Q. ilex</i>	PLU	7.4 ± 0.1	1617.0 ± 53	Loamy sand	17.0 ± 2	21.0 ± 1
	NR_1903_S10	<i>Q. ilex</i>	-	7.6 ± 0.1	1397.0 ± 46	Sandy loam	11.0 ± 1	16.3 ± 0.8
	NR_1903_S11	<i>Q. pubescens</i> sensu lato	GON	7.2 ± 0.1	1174.0 ± 45	Clay loam	11.0 ± 1	11.4 ± 0.6
	NR_1903_S12	<i>Sarcopoterium spinosum</i>	-	7.2 ± 0.1	922.0 ± 42	Sandy clay	6.1 ± 0.5	5.1 ± 0.2
	NR_1903_S13	<i>S. spinosum</i>	-	7.3 ± 0.1	1102.0 ± 49	Sandy clay	7.1 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 0.1
	NR_1903_S14	<i>Pistacia lentiscus</i>	-	7.4 ± 0.1	831.0 ± 41	Sandy clay	6.7 ± 0.7	8.2 ± 0.4
	NR_1903_S15	<i>P. lentiscus</i> + <i>Pyrus</i> sp., mixed sample	-	7.2 ± 0.1	856.0 ± 43	sandy clay loam	5.3 ± 0.7	7.2 ± 0.2
	NR_1903_S16	<i>P. lentiscus</i>	-	7.3 ± 0.1	796.0 ± 41	Sandy clay	1.7 ± 0.2	7.7 ± 0.4
	NR_1903_S17	<i>P. lentiscus</i>	-	7.3 ± 0.1	1056.0 ± 44	Sandy clay	3.6 ± 0.4	8.7 ± 0.4

^a BIL = *P. bilorbang*; CRY = *P. cryptogea*; GON = *P. gonapodyides*; MUL = *P. multivora*; NIC = *P. nicotianae*; PLU = *P. plurivora*; PSC = *P. pseudocryptogea*; PAR = *P. parvispora*

Results

Con. Table 2.

Sampling site	Rhizosphere soil sample ID.	Host	Baited <i>Phytophthora</i> spp. ^a	pH	Soil properties			
					Electrical conductivity at 25°C (µS/cm)	Soil texture	Nitrates (mg/Kg)	Organic matter (%)
Botanical garden of Catania	BG_1903_S1	<i>Eucalyptus citridora</i>	MUL, NIC	7.99 ± 0.1	877.5 ± 48	Loamy sand	145.3 ± 0.4	1.07 ± 0.2
	BG_1903_S2	<i>Araucaria cooki</i>	MUL, NIC	8.19 ± 0.1	3437.5 ± 46	Sandy loam	1210.9 ± 0.6	1.29 ± 0.1
	BG_1903_S3	<i>Gravillea robusta</i>	-	8.14 ± 0.1	852.5 ± 40	Loamy sand	145.3 ± 0.6	0.86 ± 0.1
	BG_1903_S4	<i>Phytolacca dioica</i>	-	8.26 ± 0.1	997.5 ± 43	Sandy clay loam	188.2 ± 0.7	1.01 ± 0.1
	BG_1903_S5	<i>Pistacia atlantica</i>	MUL	7.43 ± 0.1	3945.0 ± 45	Loamy sand	1076.6 ± 0.1	1.49 ± 0.1
	BG_1903_S6	<i>Quercus suber</i>	-	8.14 ± 0.1	765.0 ± 45	Loamy sand	45.3 ± 0.2	1.48 ± 0.2
	BG_1903_S7	<i>Zelkova sicula</i>	MUL	8.55 ± 0.1	970.0 ± 44	Sandy clay loam	103.9 ± 0.8	0.73 ± 0.1
	BG_1903_S8	<i>Sterculia diversifolia</i>	MUL, NIC	8.10 ± 0.1	1675.0 ± 48	Clay loam	1366.6 ± 1.0	0.11 ± 0.05
	BG_1903_S9	<i>Mangifera indica</i>	MUL, NIC	8.60 ± 0.1	765.0 ± 41	Clay loam	176.7 ± 0.4	1.0 ± 0.1
	BG_1903_S10	<i>Olea europaea</i>	-	7.10 ± 0.1	1540.0 ± 43	Sandy clay loam	55.3 ± 0.4	0.66 ± 0.1
	BG_1903_S11	<i>Pistacia lentiscus</i>	PAR	8.64 ± 0.1	867.5 ± 46	Sandy loam	148.2 ± 0.5	0.99 ± 0.1
	BG_1903_S12	<i>Coffea arabica</i>	-	8.40 ± 0.1	677.5 ± 50	Loamy sand	41.0 ± 0.3	0.82 ± 0.1

^a BIL = *P. bilorbang*; CRY = *P. cryptogea*; GON = *P. gonapodyides*; MUL = *P. multivora*; NIC = *P. nicotianae*; PLU = *P. plurivora*; PSC = *P. pseudocryptogea*; PAR = *P. parvispora*

Discussion

Differences in the variability of *Phytophthora* species from both sites:

- the presence in the restricted area of the botanical garden of the University of Catania of different potential woody host-plants in close proximity to each other could favor the spread and prevalence of invasive as well polyphagous *Phytophthora* species, such as *P. multivora* and *P. nicotianae*.
- in the nature reserve of Villasmundo the presence of different vegetational types and peculiar ecological niches may have favored the diversity of *Phytophthora* community even in a relatively restricted area.

Discussion

Effects of different soil properties in the occurrence of *Phytophthora* spp.:

- The widespread occurrence of *Phytophthora* species in soils with different physico-chemical characteristics in both surveyed sites confirms the ability of these oomycetes to adapt to different environments and thrive in a wide range of ecological conditions

Conclusions

- Most of the recovered species are aggressive plant pathogens and two of them, in particular, *P. multivora* and *P. nicotianae* are invasive and polyphagous.
- The isolation methods based on leaf baiting, which have the advantage of recovering living and culturable isolates, might have contributed to isolate some species selectively. This limit can be excluded using in parallel detection methods based on next generation sequencing (NGS) technology which are more sensitive to detect *Phytophthora* species in environmental samples and are less influenced by environmental conditions.
- NGS-based methods can help the fine tuning of studies aimed at exploring the complexity of *Phytophthora* communities in different ecosystems and the effects of ecological factors driving the diversity and the structure of these communities.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by “MIUR-FFABR 2017” of S.O.C., grant number 5A725192051; University of Catania, “project DIFESA” of S.O.C., grant number 5A722192134, by the Ministry of Science and Innovation (PID2019-108070RB-100) of S.O.C. and A.P.; F.L.S. was supported by a Ph.D. fellowship funded by “PON Ricerca e Innovazione” 2014–2020 (CCI2014IT16M2OP005); M.R. has been granted a fellowship by CREA “OFA” (Rende), this study is part of his activity as PhD, Doctorate “Agricultural, Food, and Forestry Science”, University Mediterranea of Reggio Calabria, XXXV cycle. The authors wish to thank the director of CUTGANA (Protected Area Management), University of Catania, Giovanni Signorello, and the manager of the Regional Nature Reserve, Elena Amore, for their support and helpful assistance.