The Bird Assemblage of the Darwin Region (Australia): Twenty Years, No Change †
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Abstract: There has been considerable urban development in the Darwin region over the last twenty years; as for most fauna in Australia since colonisation, the potential effects to the bird assemblage were expected to be disastrous. To provide a broad overview of changes, bird survey data from 1998 and 2018 were extracted from the Birds Australia ‘New Atlas of Australian Birds’ database. A total of 164 species were categorised into primary food source feeding guilds and levels of food specialisation. This was integrated into ArcGIS along with land use change mapping from 1998 and 2018 to investigate its impact on bird assemblages. There was no significant change in overall species numbers when all sites were analysed. However, when sites were separated into those with increased urbanisation or decreased greenspace, several sites showed a significant change in the number of species. For the majority of species, analysis of primary food types found no difference in the proportion of species within the assemblages between 1998 and 2018, regardless of the level of urbanisation or greenspace; the exception being those species that primarily feed on insects where the difference was just significant. An analysis using bird community data sorted into levels of food specialisation also found no difference between 1998 and 2018 despite habitat changes. These findings suggest that although there has been considerable urban development in the Darwin region, bird communities are remaining relatively stable.
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1. Introduction

Global population trends show that humans are moving from rural areas into cities at a considerable rate and once sparsely populated regions are being transformed to cope with the influx of people [1,2]. Subsequently, urbanisation is now widely considered a major threat to biodiversity conservation [3–5]. Whilst studies of bird populations in urban areas abound in the temperate zones of both the Northern and Southern hemispheres, research into tropical urban avian communities is scant [6]. This trend is continued in Australian studies with the majority of research being undertaken in the temperate regions, predominantly in the two heavily populated states of New South Wales and Victoria. In contrast, this study is situated in the monsoonal tropics of northern Australia; specifically in Darwin, the capital of the Northern Territory (NT). There has been targeted research on a range of species and thorough overviews of bird distributions within the region [7–9], with more detailed studies of shorebirds [10–13] and mangrove assemblages.
To date, however, there have been no studies of trends in terrestrial bird assemblages as the city has grown. Given the increased rate of urbanisation in the global tropics [17], coupled with high levels of biodiversity [6,18], there is an increasing need to gather data to better understand how bird communities are coping with the rising encroachment of human habitation. One of the most common effects of urbanisation is the increasing prevalence of exotic species [19–21]. Interestingly this has not occurred in the Darwin region with only four species listed as ‘foreign invaders’, none of which have established permanent breeding populations [22]; it would appear that all the adaptation is being undertaken by native species as their environment is changed. In this paper we shall test this theory.

2. Experiments

2.1. Study Area

Darwin (12.4634° S, 130.8456° E) is situated on the north coast of Australia, a landscape dominated by tropical savanna. The population of approximately 140,000 [23] is an increase of nearly 60,000 people in twenty years [24]. The climate is monsoonal and experiences distinct annual dry (May to September) and wet (November to April) seasons with transitional periods between. Mean annual rainfall is approximately 1700 mm; the mean minimum and maximum temperatures range from 19.3° to 25.3° and 30.6° to 33.3°, respectively [25]. Compared to other major Australian capital cities, housing density is low; under 20 private dwellings per square kilometre as opposed to between 150 to 200 per square kilometre in Sydney and Melbourne [26]. A combination of urban and peri-urban environments in the Darwin region provides resources for avian populations elsewhere not available in the dry season.

2.2. Bird Survey Data

Survey data were extracted from Birds Australia ‘New Atlas of Australian Birds’ database (hereafter referred to as the ‘Bird Atlas’) for the years 1998 and 2018. As the focus of the project was on terrestrial, predominantly diurnal species, Bird Atlas records were excluded if the species was almost exclusively nocturnal, was a waterbird or seabird (except Magpie Geese, *Anseranas semipalmata*), or the species was considered ‘vagrant’. Using information from BirdLife Australia [27], The Atlas of Living Australia [28] and Australian Bird Data Version 1.0 [29], the feeding preferences of species were categorised from most preferred to occasional. To give a general overview of any assemblage changes, the records of 1998 and 2018 were categorized into primary food sources of: fruit, insects, invertebrates, nectar, omnivore, raptor, scavenger, seed, vegetation or vertebrate. If a species was considered to feed on two types of food source equally, both were considered the primary food source. Species were sorted by their level of specialisation; whether they had one, two, three or more food sources.

2.3. Spatial Data

To investigate broad-scale changes in urbanisation, Landsat satellite imagery of the study area from April 1998 and 2018 were obtained from the United States Geological Survey scientific agency Global Visualization Viewer (GloVis) with 1998 imagery obtained from the Landsat 4–5 Thematic Mapper (TM) satellite and 2018 imagery taken by the Landsat 8–9 Operational Land Imager (OLI) and Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS). April marks the end of the wet season in Darwin, allowing for images with minimal cloud cover and maximum vegetation growth. Images were clipped in ArcGIS version 10.4.1 [30] to a shapefile of Darwin region localities provided by the Northern Territory Government Department of Environment and Natural Resources and then imported into SAGA GIS version 7.3.0 [31]. Classification of land use types was carried out via Geographic Object-Based Image Analysis (GEOBIA). After automated segmentation in SAGA GIS, the two clipped images were classified by assigning ‘training sites’ (essentially selecting a
minimum number of polygons and ascribing them a land use type) and then running a supervised classification. The resulting vector layers were then manually edited using the original satellite image to reassigned any misclassified polygons. The initial un-corrected GEOBIA and user-corrected images were then re-imported back into ArcGIS where fifty accuracy assessment points were randomly generated and an error matrix constructed to assess both the producer (SAGA) and user (human) accuracy when assigning classification. For all map classifications satellite imagery, aerial photographs and Google Earth Pro version 7.3.2.5776 (64-bit) were used to assist in the accuracy assessment; however, due to the retrospective nature of the earlier imagery, only the 2018 images could be further checked, if required, using ground control points.

2.4. Integration of Data

Following Hahs and McDonnell [32] and Conole and Kirkpatrick [21], the final edited spatial images were re-imported into ArcGIS and a 1 × 1km grid overlaid. The modified Bird Atlas data from 1998 and 2018 were then added as point layer files. As with Conole and Kirkpatrick [21], the locations were taken from the coordinates provided. The grid cells that contained records from both 1998 and 2018 were extracted for each year and the level of land use type in each grid cell calculated. Land use types were combined and simplified into: greenspace (woodland, grass and forest), coastal (mangrove, sea and sand), urbanisation (suburban, periurban, building and road), water and bare earth. Percentage change of greenspace and urbanisation between 1998 and 2018 was calculated for relevant grid cells.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data were analysed using R version 3.6.1 [33]. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to investigate whether the site and year had an effect on overall species numbers; paired t-tests were then used to examine the significance of any changes between 1998 and 2018 in those sites where urbanisation had increased or greenspace had decreased. The analysis was repeated to assess species primary food source categories. Finally, effects of land change on feeding specialisation numbers in bird assemblages was also explored. To do this, assemblage species proportions of one, two, three or more food sources were analysed for grid cells that were determined to have had: an increase in urbanisation; a decrease in urbanisation; no change in the amount of urbanisation; an increase in greenspace; a decrease in greenspace.

3. Results

3.1. Spatial Data

For the 1998 imagery, overall accuracy of the land use classification was 75% (producer accuracy 72%; user accuracy 84%). The 2018 classification returned an overall accuracy of 87% (84% producer and 90% user accuracies). This difference in accuracy was expected due to the quality differences in the Landsat 5 versus Landsat 8 imagery.

3.2. Bird Atlas Data

Overall, there were 23 grid cells that contained Bird Atlas records from both 1998 and 2018. Of these, 9 showed an increase in urbanisation ranging from 0.9 to 58.2%; 8 indicated a decrease in urbanisation and 6 were recorded as no change. A decrease in greenspace was found in 15 grid cells and 8 were determined to have had an increase. No species considered primarily a scavenger was found in the 23 grid cells.

3.3. Overall Change in Species Numbers

164 individual species were represented in the bird records used. Of these, 23 species were recorded in 1998 only and 18 in 2018 only. All sites showed a change in species
numbers between 1998 and 2018; however, when all sites were included, there was no significant change in total species numbers ($F = 1.1909, p = 0.3999$ and $F = 1.9395, p = 0.1707$ respectively).

Focussing on those sites where urbanisation had increased or greenspace had decreased over the 20-year period, changes in species numbers was more distinctive. Where urbanisation had increased, five of the nine sites showed an increase in overall species numbers with four of these increases found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). At sites where species numbers decreased two were significant.

In sites where there was a decrease in greenspace, the majority (ten from fifteen) displayed an increase in species numbers but of these only three were found to be significant. The remaining five sites where a decrease in species was recorded three were statistically significant.

3.4. Changes to Species Numbers with Regards to Primary Food Sources

Analysis of sites where urbanisation had increased found that there was no significant change in species number by primary food source overall. However, those sites where greenspace was determined to have decreased found a significant change in those species whose primary food source were insects ($p < 0.05$). Some change, too, was noted for raptors but this was not quite statistically significant ($p = 0.06$).

3.5. Changes to Bird Assemblages with Regards to Primary Food Sources

Alongside changes to the number of species within bird communities over time, it was also pertinent to investigate whether the proportion of feeding guild types were altered within assemblages due to changing habitats, specifically in those sites where urbanisation has increased or greenspace decreased.

Subsequent analysis showed an increase in urbanisation resulted in no significant difference in the proportion of species of different primary food sources between 1998 and 2018. However, in grid cells that had a decreased amount of greenspace, a slight significant difference was shown in those species that chiefly feed on insects and there is a suggestion of change in those species that primarily feed on fruit, although this was not found to be significant.

3.6. Levels of Specialisation

The levels of feeding specialisation within bird communities between 1998 and 2018 showed no significant change despite varying levels of urbanisation and greenspace.

4. Discussion

Of the 258 bird species recorded in the Darwin area (escaped, introduced or vagrants excluded), approximately 40% are considered resident [34] with the remaining species described as mobile. It has previously been thought that mobile species cope better with urbanisation than sedentary species [35,36], although other studies have suggested that highly mobile species may be more vulnerable to habitat changes due to their dependence on larger habitat patches and generally far-reaching home ranges [37–39]. Furthermore, there is also evidence to suggest that the fragmentation of habitats caused by urbanisation is going some way to changing the status of some birds from ‘visitor’ to ‘resident’ [40–42].

That there is a significant difference, albeit slight, in the proportion of those species that primarily feed on insects in areas where greenspace has decreased may possibly be attributed to the establishment of urban gardens. Although the size of the greenspace has decreased overall in these locations, in 73% of the grid cells analysed the proportion of species that preferred insects increased; in contrast, only 47% of grid cells contained an increase in fructivores. It may be that the plants in these gardens attract a larger array of insects and thus providing more appealing spaces for insectivores. Numerous studies have indicated that those bird species that tend to thrive in urban environments are those
that nest in cavities or canopies (sites less likely to be disturbed by human activity) and are more likely to be granivorous or omnivorous whereas those species that avoid urban areas are those that predominantly nest in shrubs or trees or at ground level, and have a more specialised diet, frequently insectivores (see [20,21,43], among others). However, these characteristics have been determined from research undertaken in either the Northern Hemisphere or the temperate zones of the Southern Hemisphere, places where garden vegetation is often vastly different to the original habitat. Suburban gardens of the Darwin region often contain native plant species alongside exotics and this mixture may be enough to maintain, or even increase, insect numbers.

That there is no significant difference in the levels of feeding specialisation in bird assemblages is something of a surprise as it would be fair to assume that more generalised species would be increasing and those species with one preferred food source would be forced out, particularly in areas of urban increase. Homogenisation of habitat is an oft-quoted result of urbanisation (see [5,44,45] among others) but from this broad overview, it appears not to be affecting the composition of bird communities in the Darwin region. Further research into the characteristics of species making up urban assemblages will go some way to better understanding why this may be.

5. Conclusions

Whilst very transient, the population of Darwin and its surrounds has grown significantly between the years 1998 and 2018, and urbanisation has expanded more than 50km from the city centre. Despite this avian communities appear to be stable.
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